

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92049706
Party	Plaintiff American University
Correspondence Address	ALISA C SIMMONS FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY LLP 120 S LASALLE ST, STE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 UNITED STATES trademark@fitcheven.com, asimmons@fitcheven.com
Submission	Motion for Summary Judgment
Filer's Name	Alisa C. Simmons
Filer's e-mail	trademark@fitcheven.com, asimmons@fitcheven.com
Signature	/Alisa C. Simmons/
Date	05/14/2016
Attachments	Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support - REDACTED.pdf(74434 bytes) Exhibit A to Motion for Summary Judgment - Charter - AU-DC002969.pdf(431694 bytes) Exhibit B Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf(47850 bytes) Exhibit C to Motion for Summary Judgment - REDACTED Declaration of Sharon Alston.pdf(1613913 bytes) Exhibit D to Motion for Summary Judgment - 1892 -1928 Newspapers.pdf(5391411 bytes)

8802-93003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

American University, Petitioner, v. American University of Kuwait Respondent.	Cancellation No. 92049706 Registration. No. 3387226 Issued: February 26, 2008  Mark:
---	--

Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD.....	2
III.	ARGUMENT.....	4
A.	AMERICAN UNIVERSITY and AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF KUWAIT AUK & Design Are Confusingly Similar.....	4
B.	The Petitioner's and Respondent's Services Are Identical.....	8
C.	The Similarity of the Parties' Respective Trade Channels Increases the Likelihood of Confusion Between Respondent's and Petitioner's Mark.	9
D.	The Petitioner's Mark is Famous and Therefore Entitled to a Broad Scope of Protection.....	11
E.	Any Doubts Regarding the Likelihood of Confusion Must Be Resolved Against American University of Kuwait.	12
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505 (1986)	2
<i>Application of E.I. DuPont DeNemours & Co.</i> , 476 F.2d 1357, 177 U.S.P.Q. 563 (C.C.P.A. 1973).....	4, 7, 8, 9
<i>California Pizza Kitchen, In re</i> , 10 U.S.P.Q.2d 1704 (TTAB 1989).....	5, 6, 7
<i>Carlisle Chemical Works, Inc. v. Harman & Holden, Ltd.</i> , 434 F.2d 1403, 168 U.S.P.Q. 110 (C.C.P.A. 1970).....	13
<i>Century 21 Real Estate Corporation v. Century 21 Life of America</i> , 970 F.2d 874, 23 U.S.P.Q.2d 1698 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	5, 10, 12
<i>Continental Can Co. USA, Inc. v. Monsanto, Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	2
<i>El Torito Restaurants, Inc., In re</i> , 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 2002 (TTAB 1988).....	5
<i>First National Bank v. Cities Services Co.</i> , 391 U.S. 253 (1968)	3
<i>Fiserv, Inc. v. Electronic Transaction Systems Corp.</i> , 113 U.S.P.Q.2d 1913 (TTAB 2015).....	6
<i>Fleischmann Distilling Corp. v. Maier Brewing Company</i> , 314 F.2d 149 (9 th Cir. 1963)	9
<i>J.C. Hall Company v. Hallmark Cards, Inc.</i> , 340 F.2d 960, 144 U.S.P.Q. 435 (C.C.P.A. 1965).....	8
<i>Kabushiki Kaisha Hattori Tokeiten v. Scuotto</i> , 228 U.S.P.Q. 461 (TTAB 1985).....	7
<i>Kenner Parker Toys, Inc. v. Rose Art Industries, Inc.</i> , 963 F.2d 350, 22 U.S.P.Q.2d 1453 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	7, 12
<i>Midwest Gaming & Entertainment LLC</i> , 106 U.S.P.Q.2d 1163 (TTAB 2013).....	9
<i>Morrison Industries, Inc., In re</i> , 178 U.S.P.Q. 432 (TTAB 1973).....	8
<i>Nina Ricci, S.A.R.L. v. E.T.F. Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 889 F.2d 1070, 12 U.S.P.Q.2d 1901 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	6
<i>Pure Gold, Inc. v. Syntex (U.S.A.), Inc.</i> , 739 F.2d 624 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	3
<i>Riddle, In re</i> , 225 U.S.P.Q. 630 (TTAB 1985).....	6
<i>Safety-Kleen Corp. v. Dresser Industries, Inc.</i> , 518 F.2d 1399, 186 U.S.P.Q. 476 (C.C.P.A. 1975).....	8
<i>W.E. Kautenberg Co. v. Ekco Products Company</i> , 251 F.2d 628, 116 U.S.P.Q. 417 (C.C.P.A. 1958).....	8

<i>Weiss Associates, Inc. v. HRE Associates, Inc.,</i> 902 F.2d 1546 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	3
Rules	
Fed.R.Civ.P. 56.....	1, 2
Treatises	
McCarthy, J. Thomas, <i>McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition</i> §23:20 (4 th ed. 2016).....	8
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 2.116.....	3

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.