ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA362219 08/09/2010

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| Proceeding                | 92048691   |
|---------------------------|--|
| Party                     | Plaintiff Pandol Bros., Inc.   |
| Correspondence<br>Address | Michael K. Bosworth IPxLAW Group LLP 95 S. Market St., Suite 570 San Jose, CA 95113 UNITED STATES mimam@ipxlaw.com   |
| Submission                | Other Motions/Papers   |
| Filer's Name              | Maryam Imam  |
| Filer's e-mail            | mimam@ipxlaw.com,mike@ipxlaw.com,vmoitoso@ipxlaw.com   |
| Signature                 | /maryam imam/  |
| Date                      | 08/09/2010   |
| Attachments               | Motion to Resume Cancellation Proceeding.pdf ( 10 pages )(76827 bytes ) Bosworth Declaration.pdf ( 3 pages )(11946 bytes ) EXHIBITS 1-4.pdf ( 40 pages )(1937231 bytes ) |



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of:

Registration No.: 3,152,058

Registration Date: October 3, 2006

Mark:

**PANDOL** 

and

Registration No.: 3,195,027

Registration Date: January 2, 2007

Mark: PANDOL FAMILY FARMS

PANDOL BROS., INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

JAMES A. PANDOL,

Registrant.

Cancellation No. 92048691

MOTION BY PETITIONER, PANDOL BROS., INC., TO RESUME CANCELLATION PROCEEDING AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF

### PETITIONER'S MOTION TO RESUME CANCELLATION PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 2.217 and Trademark Board Manual of Procedure § 510.02(b), Petitioner, Pandol Bros., Inc. ("Pandol Bros." or "Petitioner"), hereby requests that the present Cancellation proceeding be resumed. In addition, Petitioner hereby requests that relevant dates be reset and a ruling made on Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed and served on October 27, 2009.



## This motion is supported by the Memorandum filed herewith and Declaration of

Michael K. Bosworth ("Mike Bosworth") submitted herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 9, 2010

By: \_\_/michael Bosworth/

IPxLAW Group, LLP Michael K. Bosworth 95 S. Market St., Suite 570 San Jose, CA, 95113

Telephone: (408) 827.3300 ext 267

Facsimile: (408) 271-8886 Attorneys for Petitioner PANDOL BROS. INC.



### **MEMORANDUM**

### I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 2.217 and Trademark Board Manual of Procedure § 510.02(b), Petitioner, Pandol Bros., Inc. ("Pandol Bros." or "Petitioner"), hereby requests that (1) the present Cancellation proceeding be resumed; (2) relevant dates be reset; and (3) Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed and served on October 27, 2009, be decided by the Trademark Trial and Appeals Board (TTAB).

Petitioner filed a Motion for Summary Judgment and a Motion to Suspend Proceedings Pending Decision On Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment on October 27, 2009 and served both motions on opposing counsel on October 27, 2009. On November 9, 2009, the parties stipulated to and filed with the TTAB, a Stipulation Re Dispositive Motions, Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Petitioner and Pending Motion to Suspend Proceedings proposing Petitioner's noticed Rule 30(b)(6) deposition on November 19 and 20, 2009, at the offices of Respondent's counsel and to file and serve their opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment as well as any Cross-Motions, by December 21, 2009. On November 16, 2010, the TTAB approved the parties' stipulation with respect to the provisions that the motion for summary judgment was timely and the November 19 and 20 dates for the previously-noticed Rule 30(b)(6) deposition (the "Stipulated Depositions") and the time period for filing a response to Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment as well as any cross-motion for summary judgment is extended to December 21, 2009 but did not approve the parties' stipulation with respect to the provisions that the Petitioner's time for filing a reply to the motion for summary judgment, as well as any opposition to the cross-motion, to be extended beyond



the fifteen days from the date of service of the brief in response to the motion allowed under the Trademark Rule 2.127(e)(1). Accordingly, the TTAB suspended the present proceeding pending disposition of the Petitioner's October 27, 2009 Motion for Summary Judgment.

On November 19, 2009, the Stipulated Depositions were conducted at the offices of Respondent's counsel and ended in one day with no need to resume on November 20, 2009. Bosworth Decl.; ¶ 3.

On December 17, 2009, opposing counsel, D. Greg Durbin, filed James A. Pandol's Notice of Bankruptcy with the TTAB, attaching a copy of Registrant's Voluntary Petition under Chapter 12, filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court Eastern District of California, and served the same on Petitioner's attorneys. On January 11, 2010, the TTAB suspended the present proceeding under the automatic stay provisions of the Section 362 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 362, pending final determination of the bankruptcy case and ruled that twenty one days after the final determination of the bankruptcy case, Respondent must notify the TTAB and that upon resumption of the proceedings, Registrant will be allowed time in which to respond to Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment.

On March 15, 2010, Petitioner's counsel, Michael K. Bosworth, filed a copy of the relevant pages of an Order for Relief from Stay, dated March 10, 2010, by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court ordering the termination and annulment of the automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. Section 362 of the present cancellation proceeding and authorizing Petitioner to perform any and all acts necessary and/or proper to enforce rights relative to the cancellation proceeding and to obtain a final order.



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

