

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA316647**

Filing date: **11/12/2009**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92048392
Party	Plaintiff ICU Medical, Inc.
Correspondence Address	Kimberly Van Voorhis, Jennifer Taylor Morrison & Foerster LLP 755 Page Mill Rd. Palo Alto, CA 94304 UNITED STATES kvanvoorhis@mofo.com, jtaylor@mofo.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Kimberly Van Voorhis
Filer's e-mail	kvanvoorhis@mofo.com, jtaylor@mofo.com
Signature	/KNV/
Date	11/12/2009
Attachments	Neutral - motion for entry of judgment.pdf ( 37 pages )(1572770 bytes )

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ICU MEDICAL, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

RYMED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Respondent.

Cancellation No. 92048392

Mark: NEUTRAL  
Reg. No. 3168566

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Dated: November 12, 2009

**MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

Petitioner ICU Medical, Inc. (“ICU” or “Petitioner”) hereby moves the Board for an Order entering judgment in its favor and cancelling the “NEUTRAL” mark based on the October 8, 2009 order and judgment of the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California in *RyMed Technologies, Inc. v. ICU Medical, Inc.*, Case No. SA CV-07-1199 (DOC) (attached hereto as Exhibit A). *See* Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Procedure (“TMBP”) § 510.02(b).

## BACKGROUND

On November 6, 2007, Petitioner filed a petition to cancel RyMed Technologies, Inc.'s ("RyMed" or "Respondent") mark, Reg. No. 3,168,566 ("the '566 trademark"), "NEUTRAL," on the basis that the mark is "generic" and/or "merely descriptive" of the identified goods and should not have registered as a trademark.

On October 10, 2007, RyMed filed a civil action against ICU in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, *RyMed Technologies, Inc. v. ICU Medical, Inc.*, Case No. SA CV-07-1199 (DOC) alleging, *inter alia*, infringement of the '566 trademark.

On February 14, 2008, Respondent filed a motion with the Board to issue an order suspending the instant cancellation proceeding, pending the disposition of the Civil Action because the claims asserted in the District Court in California involve common legal and factual issues in dispute in the Board proceedings. On April 1, 2008, the Board issued an Order granting Respondent's motion and this Cancellation was suspended pending "final determination" of the Civil Action, and ordered that: "Within twenty days after the final determination of that civil action in California, the interested party should notify the Board so that this case may be called up for appropriate action." Attached as Exhibit B is a copy of the Board's April 1, 2008 Order.

On April 22, 2009, the Court issued an order, attached hereto as Exhibit C, granting ICU's motion for summary judgment on the trademark claims, holding that the "NEUTRAL" mark at issue in this cancellation proceeding is generic and not entitled to any trademark protection.

On October 8, 2009, the Court issued an order granting ICU's counterclaims 1 through 4 for invalidity and cancellation of the "neutral" and "neutral displacement" trademarks, and dismissing as moot counterclaim 5 for non-infringement of the "neutral" and "neutral displacement" trademarks. *See* Exhibit A. The Court further ordered that pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1119, that the Director of the Patent and Trademark Office shall cancel U.S. Registration No. 3,168,566 for the term "neutral." *Id.*

On October 8, 2009, the Court also entered final judgment concerning the trademark counterclaims in favor of ICU. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a copy of the Court's October 8, 2009 judgment.

The deadline for Respondent to file a notice of appeal of the District Court's order and judgment was November 9, 2009. *See* Fed. R. App. Proc. 4(a)(1)(A). RyMed did not file a notice of appeal prior to the deadline.

### ARGUMENT


The Board should cancel the '566 trademark in accordance with the Court's order that "pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1119, the Director of the Patent and Trademark Office shall cancel U.S. [sic] Registration No. 3,168,566 for the term 'neutral' ...." *See* Exhibit A.

Furthermore, the Board should issue judgment in favor of Petitioner because the District Court's judgment in the Civil Action in favor of Petitioner has become final and is therefore binding upon the Board. *See* TBMP § 510.02(a) ("To the extent a civil action in a federal district court involves issues in common with those in a proceeding before the Board, the decision of the Federal district court is binding upon the Board.")(citing *Goya Foods Inc. v. Tropicana Products Inc.*, 846 F.2d 848 (2d Cir. 1988); *American Bakeries Co. v. Pan-O-Gold Baking Co.*, 650 F.Supp. 563 (D.Minn. 1986); *Toro Co. V. Hardigg Industries, Inc.*, 187 U.S.P.Q. 689 (T.T.A.B. 1975), *rev'd on other grounds*, 549 F.2d 785 (C.C.P.A. 1977); *Other Telephone Co. v. Connecticut National Telephone Co.*, 181 U.S.P.Q. 125 (T.T.A.B. 1974), *petition denied*, 181 U.S.P.Q. 779 (Comm'r 1974); *Tokaido v. Honda Associates Inc.*, 179 U.S.P.Q. 861 (T.T.A.B. 1973); *Whopper-Burger, Inc. v. Burger King Corp.*, 171 U.S.P.Q. 805 (T.T.A.B. 1971); *Tuvache, Inc. v. Emilio Pucci Perfumes International, Inc.*, 263 F.Supp. 104 (S.D.N.Y. 1967) .

Since RyMed opted not to file a notice of appeal on or before its deadline of November 9, 2009, the District Court's order directing the Director of the Patent and Trademark Office to cancel the '566 trademark for "NEUTRAL" has become final. *See* TMBP § 510.02(b) ("a proceeding is considered to have been fully determined when a decision on the merits of the case (i.e. a dispositive ruling that ends litigation on the merits) has been rendered and no appeal has been filed therefrom, or all appeals filed have been decided.")

Thus, ICU respectfully requests that the Board enter judgment in favor of Petitioner and cancel registration of the '566 trademark.

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By:   
Kimberly N. Van Voorhis

Morrison & Foerster, LLP  
755 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, California 94304-1018  
Telephone: (650) 813-5600  
Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

Attorneys for Petitioner  
ICU MEDICAL, INC.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.