IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APEAL BOARD

ELISABETH MORANDO		
Petitioner,		
V.		
CHRIS E. KARLSRUD		
DAVID C. KARLSRUD		
Registrant.		

Cancellation No.: 92043081

(

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES, PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, AND DEEMING ADMITTED UNANSWERED REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

Upon Motion, Petitioner has requested the Board render judgment of default against Registrant or alternatively compel Registrant to respond to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Requests for Admissions. The Board finds that a default judgment against Registrant should be rendered in this case or alternatively that Registrant has deemed admitted each Request for Admission to which Registrant has failed to timely respond and is compelled to respond to Petitioner's Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents without objection within ten (10) days from the date of this Order.

It is therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that a default judgment against Registrant should be rendered in this case or alternatively that Registrant has deemed admitted each Request for Admission to which Registrant has failed to timely respond and is compelled to respond to Petitioner's Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents without objection within ten (10) days from the date of this Order. JUDGE

I,

(

· · •

Date: _____

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APEAL BOARD

ELISABETH MORANDO)
Petitioner, V.))) Cancellation No.: 92043081
CHRIS E. KARLSRUD DAVID C. KARLSRUD)))
Registrant.)

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES, PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, AND FOR AN ORDER DEEMING ADMITTED UNANSWERED REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS AND SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW Petitioner, Elisabeth Morando, and pursuant to Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Trademark Rule 2.120 files this Motion in response to Registrant's, Chris E. Karlsrud and David C. Karlsrud (collectively known as "Registrant"), complete failure to respond to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Requests for Admissions, stating as follows:

1. On September 3, 2004, Petitioner served Registrant with Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Request for Admissions, copies of which are attached as Exhibit "A."

2. On October 6, 2004, Registrant's responses to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Request for Admissions were due.

M DA 790073 v3 0-0 10/26/04

On October 11, 2004, counsel for Petitioner sent a letter to Registrant requesting the status of Registrant's responses to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Requests for Admissions, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." On October 19, 2004, Chris Karlsrud, representing himself pro se, called Danny Awdeh, counsel for Petitioner, to discuss Registrant's response to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Request for Admissions. During this telephone conversation, Chris Karlsrud stated he had received Petitioner's Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Request for Admissions and that he could not provide Petitioner's counsel with a date for his response. Chris Karlsrud stated he did not feel motivated to respond at this time. Leaving Petitioner with no other choice, Petitioner's counsel notified Chris Karlsrud that Petitioner would file a Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Request for Admissions. Chris Karlsrud did not object to Petitioner's Motion to Compel and commented that this matter should be brought before the Trademark Trial and As of this date, Registrant has completely failed to respond or seek extensions time to respond to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents Appeal Board. Registrant's answers to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Requests for Producti Documents and Requests for Admissions are late and Registrant has thereby waive Requests for Admissions. objections which it might have interposed.

> M DA 790073 v3 0-0 10/26/04

3

۲.,

۴...

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2

7. Under Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Registrant's failure to respond to Petitioner's Requests for Admissions is deemed an admission.

8. Rule 37(b)(2)(C) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Trademark Rule 2.120(g)(2) authorize the Board to render a judgment of default against Registrant because of Registrant's complete failure to respond and failure to indicate when such responses will be forthcoming.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner respectfully request the Board enter an Order:

(a) rendering a judgment of default against Registrant, or alternatively,

(b) finding Registrant has admitted each request for admission to which the Registrant failed to timely respond,

(c) compelling Registrant to produce the requested documents no later than ten (10) days from the date of the Order,

(d) compelling Registrant to answer Interrogatories no later than ten (10) days from the date of the Order,

(e) finding that Registrant has waived all objections to the discovery, including objections based on privilege, and

(f) for all other and further relief the Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Registrant has completely failed to make any response to Petitioner's Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Request for Admissions without providing any

M DA 790073 v3 0-0 10/26/04



3

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.