

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1047416**

Filing date: **04/06/2020**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91254347
Party	Defendant GRUNT STYLE, LLC
Correspondence Address	MATTHEW DE PRETER ARONBERG GOLDGEHN DAVIS & GARMISA SUITE 1700 330 NORTH WABASH AVE CHICAGO, IL 60611 UNITED STATES cdepreter@agdglaw.com, USPTO@dockettrak.com, tmdocket@agdglaw.com, Kdiesner@agdglaw.com 312-755-3153
Submission	Motion to Dismiss - Rule 12(b)
Filer's Name	Matthew De Preter
Filer's email	cdepreter@agdglaw.com, tmdocket@agdglaw.com, uspto@dockettrak.com
Signature	/Matthew De Preter/
Date	04/06/2020
Attachments	Motion to Dismiss TWD Amended opposition.pdf(630419 bytes) Exhibit A - ORDER Granting in Part and Denying in Part GS 12c MTD.pdf(989593 bytes) Exhibit B - TWD Complaint.pdf(2515719 bytes) Exhibit C - Grunt Style First Amended Counterclaims.pdf(2041096 bytes) Exhibit D - Grunt Style LLC Motion to Dismiss.pdf(1958094 bytes) Exhibit E - TWD Amended Answer.pdf(2353805 bytes) Exhibit F - Assignment.pdf(885299 bytes)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TWD, LLC,)	
)	
Opposer,)	Opposition No.: 91254347
)	
v.)	Application Serial No.: 85926093
)	
GRUNT STYLE LLC,)	Mark: GRUNT STYLE
)	
Applicant.)	
)	

GRUNT STYLE’S MOTION TO DISMISS TWD’S FIRST AMENDED OPPOSITION

Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	The Background Facts	2
III.	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) Requires Factual Pleadings Not Met by TWD	3
IV.	This Court Should Dismiss TWD’s Opposition in Its Entirety	4
	A. TWD Lacks Standing to Bring the Opposition.....	4
	B. TWD Lacks Standing to Challenge Grunt Style’s Assignment and Thus Lacks Standing to Assert its Bases for Opposition.....	7
	C. TWD’s Opposition Is Barred by Collateral Estoppel	8
	D. Even if All of TWD’s Alleged Facts Were True, TWD Still Fails to Assert a Valid Basis for Opposing Grunt Style’s Registration of GRUNT STYLE	10
V.	Conclusion	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	2, 3, 4
<i>Asian and Western Classics B.V. v. Selkow</i> , 92 USPQ2d 1478 (TTAB 2009)	13
<i>Bank of America Nat'l Ass'n v. Bassman FBT, L.L.C.</i> , 2012 IL App (2d) 110729, 981 N.E.2d 1 (2nd Dist. 2012).....	8, 12
<i>Bank v. Al Johnson's Swedish Rest. & Butik, Inc.</i> , 795 F. App'x 822 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	5, 6
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 167 L.Ed.2d 929 (2007).....	3
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	2, 4
<i>Coca-Cola Co. v. Victor Syrup Corp.</i> , 218 F.2d 596, 104 USPQ 275 (C.C.P.A. 1954).....	14
<i>Colt Industries Operating Corp. v. Olivetti Controllo Numerico S.p.A.</i> , 221 USPQ 73 (TTAB 1983)	13
<i>Defiance Button Machine Co. v. C & C Metal Products Corp.</i> , 759 F.2d 1053 (2nd.Cir. 1985).....	12
<i>Double Coin Holdings, Ltd. V Tru Dev.</i> , No. CANCELLATION 9206380, 2019 WL 4877349 (Oct. 1, 2019)	11
<i>Edgewood Manor Apartment Homes, LLC v. RSUI Indem. Co.</i> , 733 F.3d 761 (7th Cir. 2013)	7
<i>Giant Food, Inc. v. Standard Terry Mills, Inc.</i> , 229 USPQ 955 (TTAB 1986)	13
<i>Harrison v. Diamonds</i> , No. 14 Civ. 484(VEC), 2014 WL 3583046 (S.D.N.Y. July 18, 2014).....	1
<i>In re Bose Corp.</i> , 91 USPQ2d 1240 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	13
<i>Ingersoll v. Klein</i> , 46 Ill.2d 42, 262 N.E.2d 593 (1970)	7

<i>Kramer v. Time Warner Inc.</i> , 937 F.2d 767 (2d Cir.1991).....	1
<i>Lexmark Intern., Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 118 (2014).....	9
<i>Lipton Indus., Inc. v. Ralston Purina Co.</i> , 670 F.2d 1024 (C.C.P.A. 1982)	5
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555, 112 S.Ct. 2130, 119 L.Ed.2d 351 (1992).....	7
<i>Merry Hull & Company v. Hi-Line Co.</i> , 243 F.Supp. 45 (S.D.N.Y.1965).....	12
<i>Murphy v. Gallagher</i> , 761 F.2d 878 (2d Cir.1985).....	8
<i>Olsen v. Celano</i> , 234 Ill.App.3d 1045, 175 Ill.Dec. 799, 600 N.E.2d 1257 (1992)	7
<i>P. A. B. Produits et Appareils de Beaute, v. Satinine Societa in Nome Collettivo Di S.A. E. M. Usellini</i> , 570 F.2d 328, 196 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1978).....	11
<i>Prince v. Dart</i> , 2015 WL 3798435 (N.D. Ill. 2015)	4
<i>Qualcomm Inc. v. FLO Corp.</i> , 93 USPQ2d 1768 (TTAB 2010)	13
<i>Rawoof v. Texor Petroleum Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 750 (7th Cir. 2008)	7
<i>Roux Labs., Inc. v. La Cade Prods. Co.</i> , 558 F.2d 33 (C.C.P.A. 1977)	3
<i>S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc. v. Gold Seal Co.</i> , 90 USPQ 373 (Comm’r Pats. 1951)	14
<i>SiRF Tech., v. ITC</i> , 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7, 12
<i>Smith International Inc. v. Olin Corp.</i> , 209 USPQ 1033 (TTAB 1981)	13
<i>Standard Knitting, Ltd. v. Toyota Jidosha Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , 77 USPQ2d 1917 (TTAB 2006)	12, 13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.