ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA729999

Filing date:

02/28/2016

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91223944	
Party	Plaintiff VeriFone, Inc.	
Correspondence Address	TODD BRAVERMAN PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER BARATZ LLP 1500 BROADWAY, 12TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036 UNITED STATES tm-uspto@pearlcohen.com	
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action	
Filer's Name	Todd Braverman	
Filer's e-mail	tm-uspto@pearlcohen.com	
Signature	/tjbraverman/	
Date	02/28/2016	
Attachments	Motion to SUSPEND - VERIFONE - POYNT - February 28, 2016.pdf(37487 bytes) Verifone v. Poynt - Complaint.pdf(91810 bytes) Verifone v Poynt - Exhibits A - G.pdf(4874576 bytes) Verifone v Poynt - Coversheet.pdf(71724 bytes) Verifone v Poynt - Report to PTO.pdf(17493 bytes)	



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

	X	
VERIFONE, INC.,	Opposer,	: : Opposition No. 91223944 : Application Serial No. 86/424,322 : Mark: POYNT
POYNT CO.,	V.	
	Applicant.	

OPPOSER'S MOTION TO SUSPEND OPPOSITION PROCEEDING WITHOUT CONSENT OF APPLICANT

Opposer VERIFONE, INC. ("Opposer") hereby respectfully requests the Trademark Trial & Appeal Board suspend proceedings in Opposition No. 91223944 pending the resolution of Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-00105-UNA ("Complaint"), filed by Opposer, Verifone, Inc. in the U.S. District Court, District of Delaware, on February 24, 2016 against Applicant, Poynt Co.

As required pursuant to TMEP Section 510.02(a), attached as Exhibit A hereto is Opposer's Complaint, Civil Action Cover Sheet for Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-00105-UNA and Report on the Filing or Determination of an Action Regarding a Patent or Trademark, in Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116. Opposer served the Complaint on Applicant, Poynt Co. on February 24, 2016.



Opposer, Verifone, Inc. filed the Complaint against Applicant, Poynt Co., alleging that Applicant's POYNT mark, the subject of U.S. Application Serial No. 86424322, for "computer hardware; computer software to allow users to accept financial payments through multiple means," in International Class 09 infringes Opposer's U.S. trademark registrations for the marks VERIFONE POINT, U.S. Registration No. 4844307 and POINT., U.S. Registration No. 4844308 under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and creates a false designation of origin under 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15. U.S.C. § 1125(a). Opposer further alleges against Applicant claims for cybersquatting under Section 43(d), 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d) and state claims under Delaware state law, including, Trademark Dilution Under the Delaware Trademark Act, 6 Del. C. § 3301 et seq., Unfair Competition under Del. Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 6 Del. C. § 2531 et seq., Delaware Common Law Trademark Infringement And Unfair Competition and Delaware Common Law Unjust Enrichment.

Opposer's Complaint for trademark infringement of Opposer's VERIFONE

POINT and POINT marks by Applicant's POYNT mark raises issues that have a direct bearing on Opposition No. 91223944.

37 C.F.R. § 2.117 provides that:

(a) Whenever it shall come to the attention of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board that a party or parties to a pending case are engaged in a civil action or another Board proceeding which may have a bearing on the case, proceedings before the Board may be suspended until termination of the civil action or the other Board proceeding.



Opposer's Complaint bears directly on the issue of registrability of Applicant's POYNT mark. TMEP Section 510.02(a) states that "most commonly, a request to suspend pending the outcome of another proceeding seeks suspension because of a civil action pending between the parties in a federal district court. To the extent that a civil action in a federal district court involves issues in common with those in a proceeding before the Board, the decision of the federal district court is often binding upon the Board, while the decision of the Board may not be binding upon the court." See, e.g., Goya Foods Inc. v. Tropicana Products Inc., 846 F.2d 848, 6 USPQ2d 1950, 1954 (2d Cir. 1988) (doctrine of primary jurisdiction might be applicable if a district court action involved only the issue of registrability, but would not be applicable where court action concerns infringement where the interest in prompt adjudication far outweighs the value of having the views of the USPTO).

Suspension of a Board proceeding pending the final determination of another proceeding is solely within the discretion of the Board; the court in which a civil action is pending has no power to suspend proceedings in a case before the Board. *See Opticians Association of America v. Independent Opticians of America Inc.*, 734 F. Supp. 1171, 14 USPQ2d 2021 (D.N.J. 1990) (district court has no control over Board docket and no power to stay Board proceedings), *rev'd on other grounds*, 920 F.2d 187, 17 USPQ2d 1117 (3d Cir. 1990).

Further, unless there are unusual circumstances, the Board will suspend proceedings in the case before it if the final determination of the other proceeding may have a bearing on the issues before the Board. *See, e.g., New Orleans Louisiana*Saints LLC v. Who Dat? Inc., 99 USPQ2d 1550, 1552 (TTAB 2011) (civil action need



not be dispositive of Board proceeding, but only needs to have a bearing on issues

before the Board);

In this instance, the trademark infringement issues before the U.S. District Court,

District of Delaware are equivalent and overlap the issues before the TTAB, namely,

that Applicant's use and registration of the POYNT mark, U.S. Application Serial No.

86/424,322, creates a likelihood of confusion and infringes Opposer's prior U.S.

registrations for VERIFONE POINT and POINT., U.S. Registration Nos. 4844307 and

4844308.

For the above reasons, Opposer respectfully submits that the TTAB suspend

Opposition No. 91223944 pending the disposition of Civil Action No. Civil Action No.

1:16-cv-00105-UNA. Moreover, Opposer is filing this motion in good faith and submits

that it did not delay unduly in filing this Motion to Suspend.

Accordingly, based on the above, Opposer requests that its Motion to Suspend

be GRANTED.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER BARATZ LLP

Told Browning

Attorneys for Opposer

Todd Braverman

1500 Broadway, 12th Floor New York, New York 10036

(646) 878-0820

Date: February 26, 2016



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

