Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. http://estta.uspto.gov

ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA740871 Filing date:

04/19/2016

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91221923
Party	Defendant Molotov Entertainment
Correspondence Address	M SCOTT ALPRIN ALPRIN LAW OFFICES PC 5 PINEHURST CIR NW WASHINGTON, DC 20015 UNITED STATES trademarks@alprinlaw.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's Name	M. Scott Alprin
Filer's e-mail	trademarks@alprinlaw.com
Signature	/M. Scott Alprin/
Date	04/19/2016
Attachments	MTG v. Molotov Entertainment - Mot. to Suspend Pending Civil Case - Final PDF.pdf(1144083 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Molotov Theatre Group) V. Molotov Entertainment) Applicant.)

Opposition No. 91221923

Ser. No. 86230826 (opposed application)

MOTION TO SUSPEND CASE PENDING OUTCOME OF CIVIL MATTER BEFORE D.C. SUPERIOR COURT

Pursuant to TBMP Section 510.02(a) and 37 CFR Section 2.117(a), Applicant Molotov Entertainment ("Applicant") hereby moves the Board to suspend the subject proceeding, including discovery deadlines for both sides, pending final determination of a related civil proceeding before the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Civil Division, Case #2016 SC3 000849, WRIGHT, MICHAEL VS. MOLOTOV THEATRE GROUP et al (hereinafter, "the Civil Action"), involving Applicant in the position of plaintiff and Opposer Molotov Theatre Group ("MTG" or "Opposer") in the position of defendant. It is noted that Michael Wright is the sole proprietor of Molotov Entertainment. A copy of the Complaint and a copy of the Praecipe setting the trial date for May 26, 2016 in the Civil Action are attached hereto. It is noted that an Answer was not required to be filed by Opposer in the Civil Action.

I. Background

On or around September 1, 2012, the owner of Applicant, Michael Wright, entered into an oral agreement with the owner of Opposer, Alex Zavistovich, to work together as Co-Artistic Directors and partners to promote MTG as a horror theatre production company, and to make MTG a success. This agreement shall be referred to as the "Five Year Plan." Mr. Wright did not agree to be a volunteer, and did not agree that any financial contribution he made to MTG was to be considered a donation. Rather, Mr. Wright agreed to future compensation from MTG for his position as MTG's co-artistic director, or, if MTG never succeeded by accomplishing their financial goals, to defer reimbursement of his costs. In order to promote MTG and help to make it a success, Mr. Wright spent an immense amount of time and significant amount of money, expecting that Mr. Zavistovich would honor the aforementioned Five Year Plan. A sample of some of the actions that Mr. Wright undertook to promote MTG follow:

- The development of a multi-season schedule of shows.
- The expansion of the Board of Directors, fundraising efforts and audience development.
- The development of new educational programs and course offerings.
- The establishment of a permanent theater home and repertory company of actors.
- The creation, development and maintenance of a web based e-commerce portal for MTG.

On February 13, 2014, MTG, which had assembled a Board of Directors after Mr. Wright had become Co-Artistic Director, terminated Mr. Wright's position as Co-Artistic Director of MTG. As the Five Year Plan had not come to fruition, Mr. Wright seeks reimbursement from MTG for his expenses that benefitted MTG and/or financial contributions on behalf of MTG in the Civil Action.

I. Argument

DOCKE.

Applicant herein moves the Board to suspend the subject opposition proceeding pending the outcome of the Civil Action.

As exemplified by TBMP Section 510.02(a) and 37 CFR Section 2.117(a), the Board's traditional policy is to suspend a Board action when there is a related civil action. *See General Motors Corp. v. Cadillac Club Fashions Inc.*, 22 USPQ2d 1933, 1936-37 (TTAB 1992). Further, it is not necessary that the related civil matter be dispositive of all issues before the Board. Rather, as the language in Section 2.117(a) states, it is in the Board's discretion to suspend an opposition or cancellation action based on a civil action which "may have a bearing on the case."

There is no doubt that the issues being litigated in the Civil Action are related to and have a bearing upon the issues being litigated in the subject opposition. In order for the judge in the Civil Action to determine if Mr. Wright is owed money by MTG under a number of legal doctrines, such as unjust enrichment, the judge will have to determine if Mr. Wright was a volunteer, an employee, or an independent contractor. The question of Mr. Wright's status at MTG is related to whether Mr. Wright is the proper owner of his applied-for trademark for "Molotov ENTERTAINMENT and Design." MTG has claimed that it is the owner of the "skull logo" that is part of Applicant's mark in discovery for this subject opposition; yet Mr. Wright was billed directly and paid the designer half of the fee to design the "skull logo" with his own money, and was never reimbursed. MTG approved of Mr. Wright being responsible for the operation of Molotov ENTERTAINMENT and agreed to give Mr. Wright autonomous control and ownership of this business. MTG did not want any affiliation with this "for-profit" business due to MTG's concern that a conflict may exist that would jeopardize MTG's 501(c)3 non-profit charitable status with the Internal Revenue Service.

Based on the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board grant Applicant's request to suspend the subject proceeding, including discovery deadlines for both sides, pending the outcome of the Civil Action.

Respectfully submitted,

ALPRIN LAW OFFICES, P.C.

Date: April 19, 2016

DOCKE.

Ms thy

M. Scott Alprin Nicholas T. Santucci Alprin Law Offices, P.C. 5 Pinehurst Circle, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20015 Tel: (202) 659-8225 Fax: (202) 659-0441 Email: trademarks@alprinlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing <u>Motion To Suspend Case</u> <u>Pending Outcome Of Civil Matter Before D.C. Superior Court</u> has been served on Opposer via e-mail, on April 19, 2016, to Kelu L. Sullivan, counsel for Opposer, at:

bhipdocket@bakerlaw.com, ksullivan@bakerlaw.com

> /M. Scott Alprin/ M. Scott Alprin

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.