Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. http://estta.uspto.gov

ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA697531 Filing date:

09/22/2015

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91218369
Party	Plaintiff Voke Tab, Inc.
Correspondence Address	VIJAY K TOKE COBALT LLP 918 PARKER STREET BUILDING A21 BERKELEY, CA 94710 UNITED STATES trademarks@cobaltlaw.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's Name	Gregory Soltys
Filer's e-mail	trademarks@cobaltlaw.com
Signature	/Gregory Soltys/
Date	09/22/2015
Attachments	VOKE motion.pdf(2363031 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOKE TAB, INC.,

Opposer,

Opposition No. 91218369

v.

Serial No. 79/138873

NICOVENTURES LIMITED,

Applicant.

Trademark: VOKE (stylized)

OPPOSER VOKE TAB, INC.'S MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS IN VIEW OF PENDING CIVIL ACTION PURSUANT TO TRADEMARK RULE §2.117(a)

Opposer Voke Tab, Inc. ("Voke Tab") hereby moves for suspension of these proceedings pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.117(a).

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On August 27, 2015, Voke Tab served on Applicant Nicoventures Limited

("Nicoventures") a Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition (the "Notice") stating that Voke Tab would

take the deposition of Nicoventures on September 22, 2015. On September 14, Voke Tab served

a First Amended Notice of Deposition (the "First Amended Notice"), continuing the date of the

deposition to October 23, 2015.

On September 14, Nicoventures filed in this proceeding a Motion to Quash both the Deposition Notice and the First Amended Notice.

On September 16, Voke Tab initiated a miscellaneous action for the issuance of a deposition subpoena in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against Nicoventures based on this proceeding (Case No. 1:15-mc-00027-CMH-TCB). Voke

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Tab filed with the court a Motion for the Issuance of a Subpoena to Testify at Deposition, requesting that the clerk of the court issue a subpoena (the "Subpoena") to Nicoventures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and 35 U.S.C.A. § 24. The Subpoena would command Nicoventures to appear pursuant to the First Amended Notice. Voke Tab expects that the court will issue the subpoena within a matter of days.

In support of this Motion to Suspend, Voke Tab submits as <u>**Exhibit 1**</u> the following documents that it filed with the District Court: (1) cover letter; (2) filing fee; (3) Civil Cover Sheet; (4) Motion for Issuance of a Subpoena; (5) Memorandum in Support of the Motion with exhibits.

ARGUMENT

Trademark Rule 2.117(a) provides that "[w]henever it shall come to the attention of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board that a party or parties to a pending case are engaged in a civil action... which may have a bearing on the case, proceedings before the Board may be suspended until termination of the civil action or the other Board proceeding." 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a); *see also* TBMP § 510.02(a) n.1-7; *Whopper-Burger Inc. v. Burger King Corp.*, 171 U.S.P.Q. 805, 807 (T.T.A.B. 1971) (suspending proceeding in light of pending federal litigation where "the outcome of the civil action will have a direct bearing on the question of the rights of the parties herein....") "[T]he civil action does not have to be dispositive of the Board proceeding to warrant suspension, it need only have a bearing on the issues before the Board." *New Orleans Louisiana Saints LLC & NFL Properties LLC*, 99 U.S.P.Q.2d 1550 (P.T.O. July 22, 2011) (citing 6 *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition* §32:47 (4th ed.) ("It is standard procedure for the Trademark Board to stay administrative proceedings pending the outcome of court litigation between the same parties involving related issues."); *see also* TBMP § 510.02(a) n.7.

("Unless there are unusual circumstances, the Board will suspend proceedings in the case before it if the final determination of the other proceeding may have a bearing on the issues before the Board.")

As the Board lacks the authority to compel witnesses through the subpoena power to appear for testimony in *inter partes* proceedings, district courts have subpoena authority under 35 U.S.C.A. § 24 to command the appearance of witnesses in administrative proceedings before the PTO. *Rosenruist-Gestao E Servicos LDA v. Virgin Enterprises Ltd.*, 511 F.3d 437, 443-44 (4th Cir. 2007) (citing *Frilette v. Kimberlin*, 508 F.2d 205, 207 (3d Cir. 1975) (en banc); *Vogel v. Jones*, 443 F.2d 257, 259 (3d Cir. 1971)). "Thus, § 24 assigns a supportive role to the district courts to ensure the smooth functioning of the procedures adopted by the PTO." *Id.* (citing *Frilette v. Kimberlin*, 508 F.2d at 210 (describing the function of the district court as "cooperatively complementing" the PTO.))

The Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has held that a foreign corporation shall obey a subpoena issued by the District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, pursuant to a Rule 30(b)(6) notice in a TTAB proceeding, and must appear in Virginia to give trial testimony. *Rosenruist-Gestao*, 511 F.3d at 448-49.

Here, in its Motion for Issuance of a Subpoena, Voke Tab has asked that the District Court issue the Subpoena commanding Nicoventures, a foreign corporation, to appear in Virginia for deposition pursuant to the *Rosenruist-Gestao* rule. The Subpoena would command Nicoventures to appear pursuant to the First Amended Notice, the same Notice that Nicoventures has asked the Board to quash in this proceeding. The pending civil action accordingly involves issues that are involved in this proceeding, namely whether Nicoventures may be compelled to appear in Virginia to give trial testimony. The District Court's determination of this issue will have a direct bearing on the questions that Nicoventures has raised in its Motion to Quash. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a). Because the Board customarily defers to determination by the District Court's on legal issues having a direct bearing on Board proceedings, it is appropriate for the Board to suspend of this proceeding pending the issuance of a subpoena by the District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.

Voke Tab therefore respectfully requests that the Board follow its customary practice of deferring to determinations by the federal district courts (*see* TBMP § 510.02(a) n.7) and suspend this proceeding pending the District Court's issuance of the Subpoena, and Nicoventures' compliance therewith, pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.117(a).

Dated this 22nd day of September, 2015

Respectfully submitted, VOKE TAB, INC.

By Counsel:

Vijay K. Toke Cobalt LLP 918 Parker Street Bldg. A21 Berkeley, CA 94710 T 510.841.9800 F 510.925.2401 vijay@cobaltlaw.com

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.