

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1260760**

Filing date: **01/18/2023**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	91213597
Party	Plaintiff Caterpillar, Inc.
Correspondence address	NARESH KILARU FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 UNITED STATES Primary email: docketing@finnegan.com Secondary email(s): laura.johnson@finnegan.com , ttab-legal-assistants@finnegan.com , naresh.kilaru@finnegan.com 202-408-4000
Submission	Brief on Merits for Plaintiff
Filer's name	Naresh Kilaru
Filer's email	docketing@finnegan.com , aura.johnson@finnegan.com , ttab-legal-assistants@finnegan.com , naresh.kilaru@finnegan.com
Signature	/Naresh Kilaru/
Date	01/18/2023
Attachments	2023.01.18 REDACTED - Opposer's Trial Brief - PUBLIC FILING_Redacted.pdf(4637568 bytes) Exhibit A - Combined.pdf(2386565 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CATERPILLAR INC., Opposer, v. TIGERCAT INTERNATIONAL, INC., Applicant.	Opposition No. 91213597 Application No. 85/814,584 Mark: TIGERCAT Filing Date: January 3, 2013
--	---

OPPOSER'S TRIAL BRIEF

REDACTED

Date: January 18, 2023

Naresh Kilaru
Laura K. Johnson
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4413
Telephone: 202-408-4000

docketing@finnegan.com
naresh.kilaru@finnegan.com
laura.johnson@finnegan.com
TTAB-Legal-Assistants@finnegan.com

Attorneys for Caterpillar Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	1
III.	DESCRIPTION OF THE RECORD.....	2
	A. Caterpillar’s Evidence.....	2
	B. Tigercat’s Evidence.....	3
	C. Caterpillar’s Rebuttal Testimony	4
IV.	CATERPILLAR’S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS	5
V.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	5
	A. Caterpillar and Its CAT Trademark	5
	B. Use of the CAT Mark on Machinery, Products, and Services.....	6
	C. Caterpillar Owns Numerous Registrations for Its CAT Mark	8
	D. The CAT Mark Is Among the Most Famous Marks in the World.....	10
	1. Caterpillar Has Sold Hundreds of Billions of Dollars of Products and Services Under Its CAT Mark	10
	2. Caterpillar Has Extensively Advertised Its CAT Mark.....	11
	E. Strong Consumer Awareness for the CAT Brand.....	23
	F. Third Parties Rank CAT as One of the Most Famous Brands in the World.....	24
	G. Caterpillar Aggressively Protects Its Marks	25
	H. District Courts and the TTAB Have Found the CAT Mark Is Famous	26
	I. The TIGERCAT Application and Corresponding Use	27
	J. Caterpillar’s Survey Evidence Confirms that a Likelihood of Confusion Exists	28
VI.	CATERPILLAR HAS A STATUTORY CAUSE OF ACTION	29
VII.	CATERPILLAR HAS PRIORITY OF REGISTRATION AND USE	30
VIII.	CONFUSION IS LIKELY BETWEEN THE CAT AND TIGERCAT MARKS.....	31
	A. The Indisputable Fame of the CAT Mark Overwhelmingly Supports a Finding of Likelihood of Confusion.....	31

B.	The CAT and TIGERCAT Marks Are Highly Similar in Overall Commercial Impression.....	33
C.	The Parties' Goods Are Identical and Will Be Sold to the Same Consumers Through the Same Trade Channels	36
D.	Tigercat's Limited Evidence of Third-Party Use Does Not Meaningfully Impact the Strength of the CAT Brand.....	39
E.	The Parties' Consumers Can Be Unsophisticated Buyers and/or Their Products Can Be Purchased Hastily.....	40
F.	Survey Evidence Confirms a Significant Number of Consumers Are Confused Between the CAT and TIGERCAT Marks	42
G.	In Addition to Caterpillar's Survey Evidence, Tigercat Has Acknowledged At Least One Inquiry That May Be Evidence of Actual Confusion	42
H.	Tigercat Cannot Rely Upon the TIGERCAT Registration or Its Prior Use of the TIGERCAT Mark	43
I.	Any Doubt Concerning Likelihood of Confusion Should be Resolved in Caterpillar's Favor.....	44
IX.	THE TIGERCAT MARK IS DILUTING THE CAT MARK.....	44
A.	The CAT Mark Is Inherently Distinctive.....	44
B.	The CAT Mark Has Achieved Widespread Fame.....	45
C.	The TIGERCAT Mark Is Likely to Lessen the Capacity of the CAT Mark to Identify Caterpillar's Goods and Services	45
X.	CONCLUSION.....	46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Amer. Express Marketing & Dev. Corp. v. Tung B. Vo</i> , Opposition No. 91230559, 2018 WL 6650136 (TTAB Dec. 13, 2018)	45
<i>AutoZone Parts, Inc. v. Dent Zone Cos.</i> , 100 USPQ2d 1356 (TTAB 2011).....	32
<i>Bose Corp. v. QSC Audio Prods.</i> , 293 F.3d 1367, 63 USPQ2d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	31, 32, 35
<i>Cartier, Inc. v. Designer Wholesale, L.L.C.</i> , 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4157 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 3, 2000)	24
<i>Caterpillar Inc. v. Big Cat Energy</i> , 2014 TTAB LEXIS 559 (TTAB Sept. 3, 2014).....	26, 39
<i>Caterpillar Inc. v. Kelly</i> , 2015 TTAB LEXIS 407 (TTAB Sept. 30, 2015).....	26, 35, 41
<i>Caterpillar Inc. v. Pave Tech, Inc.</i> , Cancellation No. 92041776, TTAB Order (Mar. 12, 2007).....	26, 39
<i>Caterpillar Inc. v. Telescan Techs., LLC</i> , No. Civ. A. 00-1111, 2002 WL 1301304 (C.D. Ill. Feb. 13, 2002)	26, 45
<i>Caterpillar Tractor Co. v. Gehl Co.</i> , 177 USPQ 343 (TTAB 1973)	126, 35, 40
<i>Caterpillar Tractor Co. v. Katrak Vehicle Co.</i> , 172 USPQ 409 (TTAB 1971)	27
<i>Coach Servs. Inc. v. Triumph Learning LLC</i> , 101 USPQ2d 1713 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	44
<i>Corcamore, LLC v. SFM, LLC</i> , 978 F.3d 1298, 2020 USPQ2d 11277 (Fed. Cir. 2020), <i>cert. denied</i> , 210 L. Ed. 2d 833 (2021).....	29
<i>In re Davey Prods.</i> , 92 USPQ2d 1198 (TTAB 2009).....	40
<i>In re Decombe</i> , 9 USPQ2d 1812 (TTAB 1988).....	41
<i>In re Dixie Rests., Inc.</i> , 105 F.3d 1405, 41 USPQ2d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	31
<i>In re E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.</i> , 476 F.2d 1357, 177 USPQ 563 (CCPA 1973).....	31, 33

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.