ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA565916

Filing date:

10/18/2013

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91206495
Party	Defendant Amy Gurvey
Correspondence Address	AMY GURVEY 315 HIGLAND AVE MONTCLAIR, NJ 07043 UNITED STATES amygurvey@verizon.net, amyweissbrod@verizon.net
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	AMY R. GURVEY
Filer's e-mail	amygurvey@verizon.net
Signature	/AMY R. GURVEY/
Date	10/18/2013
Attachments	TRADEMARK TTAB 91206495 Motion Ext Time Retain Counsel 10-18-13.pdf(333976 bytes)



PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Respondent *Pro Se* herein Amy R. Gurvey, a USPTO inventor and trademark registration applicant, owning intellectual property assets in primary and secondary mobile ticketing operations, and event and broadcast production, editing and distribution systems, hereby moves the USPTO Trademark Trial and Appeals Board ("TTAB"), for an extension of time of 30 days until November 18, 2013 to retain new litigation counsel for the instant trademark opposition proceeding.

X

Respondent, who has been represented in trademark matters since 2005 by the Rutgers Law Clinic is permanently disabled as defined under the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 USC 12000 et seq. ("ADA"). In addition, Respondent has been hospitalized three times over the past six months including for two weeks commencing August 10, 2013 suffering from acute ulcerative colitis, diverticulitis, Clostridium difficile colitis and pleurisy of the left lung with nodule proliferation (Documents annexed).



Respondent's previous IP attorneys Ohlandt Greeley Ruggerio & Perle, LLP ("OGRP") were retained in March, 2012 to handle Respondent's patent prosecution matters only. Respondent was then represented in trademark matters by the Rutgers Law Clinic.

However, seventeen months after being retained, in August, 2013, an OGRP patent partner conceded to Respondent that the firm had failed to perform Respondent's patent prosecution work but had all of Respondent's relevant files. In addition, he said that OGRP had been performing services on the instant opposition proceeding. In fact services herein had been performed without Respondent's express authorization and the relevant files were sent to a different attorney of the firm.

A dispute then ensued as to the scope of OGRP's retainer and the firm's failure to perform services per retainer. On August 27, 2013, OGRP then unilaterally moved to withdraw from this proceeding. This was the exact time Respondent was released from the hospital. [Respondent now has stricture of the descending colon that may now require surgery.]

Early in September, 2013, during the continuing acute illness, Respondent was granted 30 days by this Court until October 18, 2013 to retain a new attorney for this proceeding. However, the Court did not compel return of all Respondent's files from OGRP.

OGRP's has since refused without justification to return all of Respondent's relevant files including those relevant to Opposer's discovery demands. The combination of factors – non-cooperation by OGRP and Respondent's illness, has made it impossible for Respondent to retain a new litigation attorney in the time allotted.

There is an additional for majeure issue that explains why Respondent does not have second copies of relevant IP files.

On May 5, 2009 Respondent's NJ home and offices were virtually destroyed when insurance carrier and their water remediation firm cut soaking plaster ceilings damaged from a pipe burst without performing pre-asbestos testing required as by law. Upon imposing the cuts, the entire ceilings avalanched



contaminating the entire home, offices, air systems and all contents with friable asbestos and ultrahazardous waste that were primary component of the plaster. Respondent and her husband were seriously injured and were in forced evacuation for 27 months, during times relevant to this proceeding. This is how Respondent contracted the chronic pleurisy and nodules in the left lung.

Respondent and her husband's asbestos property damages and personal injuries lawsuit was filed in 2010 before Superior Court of NJ, Essex Vicinage, [Gurvey v. State Farm Insurance and Allan Industries et al., 2010-L-10711]. Respondent was in forced evacuation and her personal hard copies of the relevant IP files could not be salvaged by the remediation firm.

Based on the foregoing, Respondent pro se Amy R. Gurvey prays that her motion for an extension of time to retain new trademark litigation counsel be granted. Respondent requires new counsel to move to compel production of all Respondent's IP files from OGRP, to respond to Opposer's discovery requests, to move for an order for in camera inspection under seal of Respondent's confidential business files, and to submit objections to certain of Opposer's discovery requests and seek discovery from Opposer.

Dated: October 18, 2013 Upper Montclair, NJ

Respectfu

MY R. GURVEY

To: Eric Stevens, Esq.
Poyner & Spruill
Attorney for Opposer Signal Share, LLC
301 Fayetteville Street Suite 1900
Raleigh, NC 27601







DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

