

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA570982**

Filing date: **11/14/2013**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91204474
Party	Plaintiff Mycoskie, LLC
Correspondence Address	JAMIE STOCKTON SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-6522 UNITED STATES bgoldner@skadden.com, limor.robinson@skadden.com, smarquez@skadden.com, kplevan@skadden.com, jamie.stockton@skadden.com, kenneth.plevan@skadden.com
Submission	Rebuttal Brief
Filer's Name	Kenneth A. Plevan
Filer's e-mail	Kenneth.Plevan@Skadden.com, Jamie.Stockton@Skadden.com, Xiyin.Tang@Skadden.com, smarquez@skadden.com
Signature	/Kenneth A. Plevan/
Date	11/14/2013
Attachments	91204474Reply Brief.pdf(930921 bytes) 91204474Reply Brief Appendix A.pdf(2339358 bytes) 91204474Reply Brief Exhibits.pdf(479203 bytes)

**THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

----- x
MYCOSKIE LLC, :
 :
 : **Opposer,** : **Serial No. 85/258,577**
 :
 : **- against -** : **Opposition No. 91204474**
 :
MIIR, :
 :
 : **Applicant.** :
 :
----- x

REPLY BRIEF OF OPPOSER MYCOSKIE, LLC

Kenneth A. Plevan
Jamie Stockton
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
(212) 735-2000 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Opposer
Mycoskie, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
ARGUMENT	3
THE OPPOSITION SHOULD BE SUSTAINED.....	3
I. Factor 1: Similarity Of The Marks; Applicant Fails In Its Attempt To Minimize The Significance Of This Key Factor.....	3
II. Factor 5: Strength of the Mark; Opposer’s Mark Has Enormous Commercial Strength As Well As Inherent Strength.....	4
A. Commercial Strength – Applicant Overlooks TOMS Shoes’ 3,000 Retail Outlets.....	5
B. Inherent Strength – Applicant’s “Suggestiveness” Argument Fails.....	7
III. Factor 2: Similarity of the Goods; Applicant Fails In Its Effort to Show Dissimilarity	8
A. Zone of Expansion.....	8
B. Identical Marketing Themes	9
C. The Cases Cited By Applicant Do Not Support Its Position	10
IV. Factor 4: Degree of Care Exercised by Purchasers – The Purchasers and Transactions Here Are Not Sophisticated	11
V. The Remaining Factors Favor Opposer Or Are Neutral	12
A. Factor 12: Extent of Potential Confusion.....	12
B. Factors 7 and 8: Actual Confusion and “Concurrent Use”	12
C. Factor 9: Variety of Goods on Which a Mark is Used	13
D. Factor 11: The Right To Exclude Others.....	14
E. Factor 3: Channels of Trade.....	15
F. Factor 6: Third-Party Uses of ONE FOR ONE for Similar Goods and Services.....	15
G. Factor 10: Market Interface Between Applicant and the Owner of the Prior Mark.....	16
VI. Applicant’s Evidentiary Objections	16
CONCLUSION.....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<u>In re Aladdin's Eatery, Inc.</u> , Serial No. 76020517, 2006 WL 402558 (T.T.A.B. Feb. 7, 2006)	14
<u>America Century Services, Corp. v. Vista Investment Advisors LLC</u> , Opp'n No. 123,203, 2002 WL 2022691 (T.T.A.B. Sept. 4, 2002).....	14
<u>In re Azteca Restaurant Enterprises</u> , 50 U.S.P.Q.2d 1209 (T.T.A.B. 1999)	12
<u>CAE, Inc. v. Clean Air Engineering, Inc.</u> , 60 U.S.P.Q.2d 1449, 267 F.3d 660 (7th Cir. 2001)	12
<u>Coach Services, Inc. v. Triumph Learning LLC</u> , 96 U.S.P.Q.2d 1600 (T.T.A.B. 2010)	10
<u>In Re Davey Products Pty Ltd.</u> ,	14
92 U.S.P.Q.2d 1198 (T.T.A.B. Aug. 7, 2009)	
<u>H.D. Lee Co. v. Maidenform, Inc.</u> , 87 U.S.P.Q.2d 1715 (T.T.A.B. 2008)	8
<u>Harjo v. Pro-Football Inc.</u> , 45 U.S.P.Q.2d 1789 (T.T.A.B. 1998)	16
<u>In re Kathryn A. De Court</u> , Serial No. 77479384, 2010 WL 4036047 (T.T.A.B. Sept. 30, 2010).....	15
<u>Kenner Parker Toys Inc. v. Rose Art Industries, Inc.</u> , 22 U.S.P.Q.2d 1453, 963 F.2d 350 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	4
<u>L.C. Licensing, Inc. v. Berman</u> , 86 U.S.P.Q.2d 1883 (T.T.A.B. 2008)	9, 10
<u>In re Majestic Distilling Co.</u> , 65 U.S.P.Q.2d 1201, 315 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	11
<u>In re Mars, Inc.</u> , 222 U.S.P.Q. 938, 741 F.2d 395 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	10
<u>Marshall Field & Co. v. Mrs. Fields Cookies</u> , 25 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321 (T.T.A.B. 1992)	17
<u>Michael Stars, Inc. v. Michael Starr</u> , Opp'n No. 91164989, 2008 WL 3274130 (T.T.A.B. Aug. 1, 2008).....	14

<u>In re Mighty Leaf Tea</u> ,	15
94 U.S.P.Q.2d 1257, 1259, 601 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	
<u>Milwaukee Nut Co. v. Brewster Food Service</u> ,	
125 U.S.P.Q. 399, 277 F.2d 190 (C.C.P.A. 1960)	7
<u>Nina Ricci, S.A.R.L. v. E.T.F. Enterprises</u> ,	
12 U.S.P.Q.2d 1901, 889 F.2d 1070 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	4, 12
<u>Palm Bay Imports, Inc. v. Veuve Clicquot Ponsardin Maison Fondée En 1772</u> ,	
73 U.S.P.Q.2d 1689, 396 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	3, 11
<u>Pass & Seymour, Inc. v. Syrelec</u> ,	
224 U.S.P.Q. 845 (T.T.A.B. 1984)	17
<u>In re Pearce & Pearce</u> ,	
Serial No. 78769245, 2007 WL 4135840 (T.T.A.B. Nov. 7, 2007)	13
<u>Societe Des Produits Nestle S.A. v. Midwestern Pet Foods, Inc.</u> ,	
Opp'n No. 91163853, 2011 WL 1495460 (T.T.A.B. Mar. 31, 2011), <u>aff'd</u> , 103 U.S.P.Q.2d 1435 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
<u>Sure-Fit Products Co. v. Saltzon Drapery Co.</u> ,	
117 U.S.P.Q. 295, 254 F.2d 158 (C.C.P.A. 1958)	7
<u>In re Thor Tech, Inc.</u> ,	
90 U.S.P.Q.2d 1634 (T.T.A.B. 2009)	8
<u>Top Tobacco, L.P. v. North Atlantic Operating Co.</u> ,	
101 U.S.P.Q.2d 1163 (T.T.A.B. 2011)	4

Other Authorities

J. Thomas McCarthy, <u>McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition</u> (4th ed. West 2013)	4, 7
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, <u>Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Procedure</u> (3rd ed. 2011).....	6, 16, 17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.