

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA425987**

Filing date: **08/19/2011**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91201239
Party	Defendant Gelocity (UK) Ltd
Correspondence Address	JEFFREY SONNABEND SONNABENDLAW 600 PROSPECT AVE BROOKLYN, NY 11215-6012 UNITED STATES jsonnabend@sonnabendlaw.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's Name	Jeffrey Sonnabend
Filer's e-mail	jsonnabend@sonnabendlaw.com
Signature	/JS/
Date	08/19/2011
Attachments	gelocity motion to suspend [2011-08-18].pdf (36 pages)(1047389 bytes)

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Jell-E-Bath, Inc.,

Opposer,

v.

Gelocity (UK) Ltd,

Applicant.

Opposition No. 91/201239

APPLICANT'S MOTION TO
SUSPEND

Applicant submits the instant paper moving the Board to suspend the proceedings in view of a currently pending case in the Eastern District of New York concerning the same parties and same marks at issue here. For the following reasons, the Board should suspend the present proceedings.

On December 7, 2010, roughly eight months before the present proceeding was instituted, Applicant filed suit against Opposer in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The case, *Gelocity UK Limited v. Jell-E-Bath, Inc. et al.*, CV10-5677, concerns the same parties as this proceeding and the same mark at issue here. A copy of the complaint and answer/counterclaims are included herewith as Exhibits 1 and 2.

In the Eastern District of New York action, the parties are disputing precisely the same issue presently before the Board in this proceeding, namely, whether Applicant's mark, when used in connection with the goods listed in the instant application, is likely to cause confusion with Opposer's mark. Opposer confirms the relevancy of the Eastern District of New York case by citing the same in its Notice of Opposition. *See Notice of Opposition* ¶¶ 12-13 at 3.

The outcome of the Eastern District of New York action will be dispositive of the same issues presently before the Board. In particular, the action there will determine whether Applicant's mark, when used in conjunction with Applicant's goods, is likely to cause confusion with Opposer's mark, thereby violating section 2(d) of the Lanham Act. This is precisely the issue now before the Board in this proceeding.

Resolution of the parties' dispute has recently been complicated. Opposer's principal has entered bankruptcy, terminating representation by her attorney in the Eastern District of New York case and forcing that case to be suspended for just over one month. *See Order of July 28, 2011*, attached hereto as Exhibit 3. Opposer's counsel the Eastern District of New York case is the same attorney representing Opposer here. The undersigned, seeking to enter into stipulated suspension of this proceeding, was informed by Opposer's counsel that Opposer (i.e., its principal, Ms. De Alicante) he has been uncommunicative with him. All indications are that Opposer will terminate its counsel here as Opposer did in the Eastern District of New York case. What is more, Opposer's recent conduct in the Eastern District of New York case indicate that Opposer will be unable and/or unwilling to prosecute this matter before the Board. Thus, moving forward with this proceeding presently would not likely lead expeditiously to resolution.

For these reasons, pursuant to 37 CFR § 2.117, Applicant respectfully requests that the present proceedings be suspended pending outcome of the Eastern District of New York Action.

Dated: August 18, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,
Applicant Gelocity UK Ltd.,
by its Attorney



Jeffrey Sonnabend
SonnabendLaw
600 Prospect Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215
718-832-8810
jsonnabend@sonnabendlaw.com

EXHIBIT 1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.