

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA228379**

Filing date: **08/05/2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91185234
Party	Defendant VERSACOMP, INC.
Correspondence Address	DANIEL S. POLLEY, ESQ. DANIEL S. POLLEY, P.A. 1215 E BROWARD BLVD FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301-2133 betty@danpolley.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Daniel S. Polley
Filer's e-mail	dan@danpolley.com
Signature	/Daniel S Polley/
Date	08/05/2008
Attachments	Answer to Second Notice of Opposition.pdf (9 pages)(31341 bytes) Answer Exhibit A.pdf (69 pages)(3114081 bytes) Answer Exhibits B through G.pdf (33 pages)(1268513 bytes)

As to the numbered paragraphs of the Notice Of Opposition, Applicant states as follows:

1. Applicant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies such allegations.

2. Applicant admits the first sentence of Paragraph 2. With respect to the second sentence of Paragraph 2 Applicant states that it sells movable lifts and platforms secured to the transom of a boat. Applicant denies all other statements in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition.

3. Applicant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore deny such allegations.

4. Applicant denies that the '606 Patent is directed to a vehicle lift for a boat. Applicant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief concerning the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies such allegations.

5. Applicant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore deny such allegations. Applicant does admit that Opposer's company TNT Marine Equipment, Inc. transferred any and all rights and goodwill to the TNT mark to a third party (namely MAO, LC whose principal/manager was Michael A. O'Conner, Jr.) in 2000 as part of the sale of Opposer's business and as part of such sale Opposer also executed a ten-year non-compete agreement. See January 31, 2000 Agreement between

Seller TNT Marine Equipment, Inc., Seller Anthony P. Schmidt, Jr. and Buyer MAO, LC attached hereto as Exhibit A and two Bills of Sale between TNT Marine Equipment, Inc. (Opposer's then corporation) and MAO, LC dated January 2, 2000 attached hereto as Exhibits B and C. Applicant also admits that Opposer's business received three million dollars for the purchase of all non-patent assets by MAO, LC. Applicant also admits MAO, LC/Michael A. O'Conner defaulted on payment of an additional three million dollars to Opposer and also defaulted on money owed to the principal owner of Applicant. Applicant also admits that in view of such defaults, a subsequent Settlement Agreement was entered into between Opposer, Applicant's principal and Michael O'Conner and his then company TNT Marine Equipment, L.C., wherein Opposer received its patent rights back from the third party as full consideration and full settlement for the money still owed to Opposer by O'Conner/TNT Marine Equipment, L.C. and wherein Applicant's principal received all other assets of O'Conner/TNT Marine Equipment, L.C., including rights to the TNT mark and name, from O'Conner/TNT Marine Equipment, L.C. as full consideration and full settlement for money still owed to Applicant's principal by O'Conner/TNT Marine Equipment, L.C. See Settlement Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit D. See also Bill of Sale between Michael O'Conner, Jr. and Dick Ulrich (Applicant's principal) attached hereto as Exhibit E and Bill of Sale between Dick Ulrich and Versacomp, Inc. attached hereto as Exhibit F.

6. With respect to the first sentence of Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant again states that it sells movable lifts and platforms secured to the transom of a boat under the marks TNT and TNT LIFT SYSTEMS. As to the second sentence, Applicant admits that the third party O'Conner, MAO, LC and/or TNT Marine

Equipment, L.C., knew of, approved of and was compensated for Applicant's adoption of TNT and TNT LIFT SYSTEMS mark, in view of the merger relationship between Applicant and O'Conner/TNT Marine Equipment, L.C. See Exhibit G attached hereto.

7. Applicant admits that Opposer wrongfully began using the TNT mark in violation and with full knowledge of Applicant's superior rights from acquiring all rights to the TNT mark from the third party buyer and from actually using the TNT and TNT LIFT SYSTEMS marks in commerce for approximately five years before Opposer began using the mark in 2006. Applicant denies all other allegations and characterizations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition.

8. If Opposer had any rights to abandon, Applicant denies all allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition. Applicant also states that Opposer transferred all rights Opposer may have had in the previous century to the TNT mark in 2000 to the third party buyer O'Conner/MAO, L.C. The transferred rights were ultimately transferred to Applicant as referenced above in Paragraph 5, which is incorporated by reference.

9. Applicant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies such allegations. Opposer does note that the vehicle lifts apparently sold by Gray Manufacturing, the original owner of Registration No. 818,635 ("the '635 Registration") were and are completely unrelated to the goods and services listed in Applicant's above noted applications and travel in completely different trade channels.

10. Applicant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies such allegations.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.