ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA252075

Filing date:

12/01/2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91182109
Party	Plaintiff The Coca-Cola Company
Correspondence Address	Bruce W. Baber King & Spalding LLP 1180 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309 UNITED STATES BBaber@KSLAW.com, cpearlstein@na.ko.com, lysims@na.ko.com, jce@ked-iplaw.com
Submission	Motion for Sanctions
Filer's Name	Emily Bienko Brown
Filer's e-mail	bbaber@kslaw.com, ebrown@kslaw.com, pguibault@na.ko.com
Signature	/Emily Bienko Brown/
Date	12/01/2008
Attachments	Motion for Sanctions.pdf (42 pages)(431350 bytes)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY,)
Opposer,) OPPOSITION
V.) NO. 91182109
ARDENBAY LIMITED,)
Applicant.))

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW COMES THE COCA-COLA COMPANY ("TCCC" or "Opposer"), the opposer in the above-captioned matter, and, by and through its undersigned counsel and in accordance with Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 2.120(g)(2) of the Trademark Rules of Practice, hereby moves before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") for entry of an order imposing sanctions on applicant Ardenbay Limited ("Ardenbay" or "Applicant") for failure to provide any responses to Opposer's discovery requests that were served on Applicant on October 6, 2008. Opposer requests, in accordance with Rule 2.120(g)(2), that the Board strike the Answer filed by Applicant and enter judgment in favor of TCCC and against Applicant, sustaining the Opposition and refusing registration to the mark VALT as shown in Application Serial No. 78-879,490.

In support of this motion, TCCC respectfully shows that TCCC served on Applicant's counsel on October 6, 2008 Opposer's First Set of Interrogatories



(hereinafter "Interrogatories") and Opposer's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (hereinafter "Document Requests"). Applicant's responses to Opposer's Interrogatories and Document Requests were due on November 10, 2008. True and correct copies of Opposer's Interrogatories and Document Requests are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B.

In further support hereof, Opposer shows that counsel for Applicant informed counsel for Opposer in an e-mail message dated November 19, 2008, that Applicant's responses to the Interrogatories and Document Requests would not be forthcoming. This message from Applicant's counsel was in response to Opposer's counsel's e-mail inquiry regarding the status of Applicant's responses to Opposer's outstanding discovery requests. A copy of the November 19, 2008 e-mail exchange between counsel is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

Applicant's failure to provide any response to Opposer's Interrogatories and Document Requests and counsel's November 19, 2008 email stating that Applicant would not be providing responses to the Interrogatories and Document Requests are sufficient to warrant sanctions against Applicant. 37 C.F.R. § 2.120(g)(2).

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, and those stated more fully in the accompanying memorandum of law filed concurrently herewith in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 2.127(a), Opposer The Coca-Cola Company respectfully requests that the Board enter sanctions against Applicant, namely, that the Board strike the Answer of Applicant filed on March 6, 2008 and enter judgment in favor of TCCC and against Applicant, sustaining Opposer's Opposition and refusing registration to the mark VALT, as shown in Applicant's Application Serial No. 78-879,490.



This 1st day of December, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

KING & SPALDING LLTP

Bruce W. Baber

Emily Bienko Brown

1180 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3521 Telephone: (404) 572-4600 Facsimile: (404) 572-5145

Attorneys for Opposer

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY



EXHIBIT A



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

