

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA245203**

Filing date: **10/27/2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91181413
Party	Plaintiff DAVID KIRSCHNER
Correspondence Address	David Kirschner c/o Ezra Brutzkus Gubner LLP 21650 Oxnard Street, Suite 500 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 UNITED STATES mhicks@ebg-law.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Todd Lander
Filer's e-mail	tlander@ebg-law.com, lchiarella@ebg-law.com
Signature	/todd lander/
Date	10/27/2008
Attachments	MSJ - Opposition - FINAL.pdf (20 pages)(5399522 bytes)

1 Mark D. Brutzkus
Robert L. Handler
2 Todd M. Lander
EZRA | BRUTZKUS | GUBNER LLP
3 21650 Oxnard Street, Suite 500
Woodland Hills, California 91367
4 Telephone: (818) 827-9000
Fax: (818) 827-9099
5 e-mail: mbrutzkus@ebg-law.com
rhandler@ebg-law.com
6 tlander@ebg-law.com

7 Attorneys for Opposer
8 DAVID KIRSCHNER

9
10 **IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**
11 **BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

12 In the Matter of Trademark Application Serial No. 76/672,502

13 DAVID KIRSCHNER, an individual
14 citizen of the United States,

15 Opposer,

16 vs.

17 HASBRO, INC.

18 Applicant.

**OPPOSER'S OPPOSITION TO
APPLICANT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMERY JUDGMENT**

OPPOSITION NO. 91181413

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
1	
2	
3 I. <u>Introduction</u>	1
4 II. <u>Statement of Facts</u>	2
5 A. <u>The 1980's – Kirschner Creates and Develops Rose Petal,</u>	
6 <u>and Protects His Intellectual Property in The Brand</u>	2
7 B. <u>The 1990's – Rose Petal Place Maintains Its Place In The</u>	
8 <u>Marketplace While Kirschner Contemplates A Re-Launch</u>	
9 <u>Of The Brand</u>	3
10 C. <u>2002-2007 – Kirschner Re-Launches Rose Petal, Contacts</u>	
11 <u>Hasbro, Arranges For A Licensing Deal With Zizzle, And Is</u>	
12 <u>Eventually Foiled By Hasbro's Misappropriating The Mark</u>	
13 <u>For Its Benefit</u>	4
14 i. <u>The First Steps At Renewing The Brand In 2002</u>	
15 <u>And 2005-2006</u>	4
16 ii. <u>The 2005-2007 Contact With Hasbro</u>	5
17 iii. <u>The 2007 Deal With Zizzle, Followed By Hasbro's</u>	
18 <u>Unveiling Of "Rose Petal Collection"</u>	6
19 D. <u>This Opposition Is Filed, And Hasbro Serves Discovery</u>	
20 <u>But Does Not Provide Responses To Kirschner's Requests</u>	7
21 III. <u>Standards For Summary Judgment</u>	8
22 IV. <u>Hasbro's Motion Must Be Denied</u>	10
23 A. <u>Kirschner Did Not Abandon His Trademark</u>	10
24 B. <u>Hasbro Misses The Point Of The EBay Sales, Which</u>	
25 <u>Show Continuing Goodwill In The Mark</u>	13
26 C. <u>Aside From Defeating The Abandonment Argument,</u>	
27 <u>Kirschner's Evidence Would, In Any Event, Establish</u>	
28 <u>Priority Of Use</u>	13

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

V. Conclusion

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	Page No.
<i>American Motors Corp. v. Action-Age, Inc.</i> , 178 U.S.P.Q.2d 377 (TTAB 1973)	11
<i>Andrews v. United Airlines</i> , 24 F.3d 39, 41 (9 th Cir. 1994)	9
<i>Ashman v. Barrows</i> , 438 F.3d 781, 784 (7 th Cir. 2006)	9
<i>Auburn Farms Inc. v. McKee Foods Corp.</i> , 51 U.S.P.Q. 1439, 1442 (TTAB 1999)	10
<i>B.R. Baker Co. v. LeBow Bros.</i> , 150 F.2d 580 (1945)	10
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Caltrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317, 106 S.Ct. 2548 (1986)	8, 9
<i>Chandon Champagne Corp. v. San Marino Wine Corp.</i> , 335 F.2d 531 (9 th Cir. 1964)	14
<i>Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Services, Inc.</i> , 504 U.S. 451, 456 (1992)	9
<i>Emergency One Inc. v. America Fireeagle. Ltd.</i> , 228 F.3d 531 (4 th Cir. 2000)	12
<i>Ferrari S.p.A. Esercizio Fabriche Automobile corse v. McBurnie</i> , 11 U.S.P.Q.2d 1843 (S.D. Cal. 1989)	11
<i>ITC Ltd v. Punchnini</i> , 482 F.3d 135 (2 nd Cir. 2007)	12
<i>Kardex, Inc. v. Sistemco N.V.</i> 583 F.Supp. 803, 815-817 (D. Me. 1984)	10
<i>Kingsmen v. K-Tel International, Ltd.</i> , 557 F.Supp. 178 (S.D.N.Y. 1983)	10
<i>Luckie Magic Corp. v. McCall Mfg. Co.</i> , 133 U.S.P.Q. 487 (1962)	10
<i>Nationwide Life Ins. Co. v. Bankers Leasing Ass'n, Inc.</i> , 182 F.3d 157, 160 (2 nd Cir. 1999)	9
<i>New West Corp. v. NYM, Co. of California, Inc.</i> , 595 F.2d 1194 (9 th Cir. 1979)	14
<i>Pfizer v. International Rectifier Corp.</i> , 538 F.2d 180, 185 (8 th Cir. 1976)	9
<i>Sterling Brewers, Inc. v. Schenley Industries, Inc.</i> , 441 F.2d 675 (1971)	11
<i>Triton Energy Corp. v. Square D. Co.</i> 68 F.3d 1216, 1222 (9 th Cir. 1995)	9
///	
///	
///	
///	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.