

protectable in the bar, nightclub, and entertainment industries; and for Cancellation of EEI's U.S. Registration No. 2,490,999. EEI asserted an Amended Counterclaim for infringement of its BONGOS CUBAN CAFE mark (see Amended Counterclaim attached hereto as *Exhibit "B"*). Marrero also raises many of the same affirmative defenses as Noble has raised herein. On November 29th, 2007, the U.S. District Court in the *Marrero* action joined Noble as a necessary party to that action. Marrero and Noble have also recently filed a motion for leave to jointly file an Amended Complaint in the *Marrero* case.

3. On September 19, 2006, Marrero assigned all right, title and interest in its COCO BONGO marks to Noble. Noble licensed to Marrero the right to use his COCO BONGO trademark.

4. The final determination of the *Marrero* action may have a bearing on the issues before the Board, because both proceedings are between the same parties concerning the same trademark rights.

5. In its Order dated January 29th, 2008, in a related Board proceeding involving EEI and Noble (*Estefan Enterprises, Inc. v. Roberto Noble and Bongo SA de CV*, consolidated proceeding Opposition No. 91121980), the Board suspended proceedings pending disposition of the civil litigation (*Marrero* action), and stated that "[i]n view of the relationship between Marrero and Noble, and the claims presented in the court case, a determination in the court case may have a bearing on this Board proceeding."

Opposer ROBERTO NOBLE hereby requests the Board suspend this proceeding pending disposition of the *Marrero* action.

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §2.120 (e), counsel for Opposer has conferred with counsel for Applicant in a good faith effort to resolve the issues raised in the herein Motion, who has objected to the relief sought.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing document was served on this 3rd day of March, 2008, by first class mail to Karen L. Stetson, Esq., P.O. Box 403023, Miami, Florida and TTAB.

Respectfully submitted,

SANTUCCI, PRIORE & LONG, LLP
Attorneys for Opposer
500 West Cypress Creek Road, Suite 500
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309
(954) 351-7474/telephone
(954) 351-7475/ facsimile

By: /s/Michael I. Santucci
Michael I. Santucci, Esq.
Florida Bar Number: 0105260

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

MARRERO ENTERPRISES OF
PALM BEACH, INC.,
a Florida corporation,

06-81036
CASE NO. **CIV-RYSKAMP**
MAGISTRATE JUDGE
VITUNAC

Plaintiff,

vs.

ESTEFAN ENTERPRISES, INC.
a Florida corporation,

Defendant.

FILED BY _____
2006 NOV -6 PM 2:33
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S.D. OF FLA.-FT. L.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, MARRERO ENTERPRISES OF PALM BEACH, INC.
and for their original Complaint for Declaratory Judgment against Defendant, ESTEFAN
ENTERPRISES, INC. alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff MARRERO ENTERPRISES OF PALM BEACH, INC.
(hereinafter "Marrero") is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Florida and
maintains its principal place of business at 2677 Forest Hill Boulevard, #112-116, West
Palm Beach, Florida 33406.

2. Defendant ESTEFAN ENTERPRISES, INC. (hereinafter "Estefan") is,
upon information and belief, a corporation formed under the laws of Florida with its
principal place of business at 420 Jefferson Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, 33139. Upon

information and belief, Estefan and/or its subsidiaries do business and make sales to retailers and other entities in this federal judicial district and throughout the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This action arises under the under 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202 in that it is an action solely for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement.

4. Subject matter jurisdiction of this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

5. At all times material hereto, the exercise of personal jurisdiction over Estefan is appropriate under the circumstances of this case as its principal place of business is located in Florida, more specifically, within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida.

6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. 1391(c) because Estefan has engaged in business in the Southern District of Florida and all of the facts and allegations of infringement and unfair competition occurred in West Palm Beach, Florida. Additionally, venue is proper in the West Palm Beach Division of the United States District Court of the Southern District of Florida because the Defendant's counsel sent a "cease-and-desist" letter to the Plaintiff in this District, threatening federal trademark infringement regarding Plaintiff's mark COCOBONGO for its use of the mark in connection with Plaintiff's West Palm Beach, Florida nightclub.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.