IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EAR U.S.A., INC.,

v.

TTAB

Opposer,

Opposition No.: 91170064

Serial No.: 76/631,094

ARMOUTH INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Applicant.

E MARINE MENTA DININ DININ MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA MENTANDA ME

OPPOSER'S SUBMISSION OF REDACTED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

03-26-2007

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #01

Pursuant to the February 23, 2007 Order of the Trademark Trial and Appeal

Board, Opposer Bear U.S.A., Inc. hereby submits the attached redacted version of its

Memorandum Of Law In Support Of Its Motion For Summary Judgment.¹

Dated: March 23, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy J. Kell

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER

& SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112

(212) 218-2100

Attorneys for Opposer, BEAR U.S.A., INC.

^{1/} The Declaration of Thomas Hong was not filed under seal, and thus no redacted version is necessary. In addition, only Exhibit 1 of the Affidavit of Timothy J. Kelly was filed under seal. That exhibit contained excerpts from the confidential transcript of the deposition of Applicant's witness, Charles Levy. Opposer believes that these excerpts need to remain confidential. In addition, on page 9 of the memorandum,



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of Bear U.S.A.'s redacted version of its Memorandum Of Law In Support Of Its Motion For Summary Judgment were served on Counsel of Record for Applicant at the address set forth below on this 23rd day of March 2007, by First Class Mail:

Joseph Sutton, Esq.
Ezra Sutton & Associates, PA
Plaza 9
900 Route 9
Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095

Timothy J. Kelly

NY_MAIN 624439v1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

	X	
BEAR U.S.A., INC.,	:	
	:	
Opposer,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Opposition No.: 91170064
	:	Serial No.: 76/631,094
ARMOUTH INTERNATIONAL, IN	C., :	,
	:	
Applicant.	:	
	X	

BEAR U.S.A., INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS **MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

** REDACTED VERSION **



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pag</u>	<u>ze</u>
TAB	LE OF A	AUTHORITIES	ii
I.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
п.	STA	TEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS	1
	A.	Bear and Its BEAR Trademarks	1
	B.	Applicant's "Bear River" Mark	8
	C.	The Record Before The TrademarkTrial And Appeal Board	0
m.	ARG	UMENT 1	1
	· A.	The Standard For Summary Judgment	1
	B.	There Is No Genuine Issue Of Material Fact As To Bear's Priority	2
	C.	There Is No Genuine Issue Of Material Fact As To The Strength Of The BEAR Trademarks And The Scope Of Protection They Are To Be Afforded	2
	D.	There Is No Genuine Issue Of Material Fact As To Likelihood Of Confusion	5
		1. The BEAR Trademarks are Famous and Strong	6
		2. "Bear River" Is Substantially Similar To The BEAR Trademarks 1	6
		3. There Is No Genuine Issue Of Material Fact As To The Identity Of The Parties' Products	8
		4. There Is No Genuine Issue Of Material Fact As To The Identity Of The Trade Channels And Potential Purchasers	9
		5. There Is No Reported Evidence Of Actual Confusion, But Applicant's Purported Sales Of "Bear River" Products Have Not Been Open Or Notorious	0
		6. Extent of Potential Confusion	2
		7. The Remaining Factors Favor Opposer	3
IV.	CON	CLUSION	4



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>CASES</u> Page
2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 12554 (2d Cir. 2000)
Allstate Insurance Co. v. De Libro, 6 U.S.P.Q.2d 1220 (T.T.A.B. 1988)
AMF, Inc. v. American Leisure Prods., Inc., 474 F.2d 1403, 177 U.S.P.Q. 268 (C.C.P.A. 1973)
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242 (1986)
Banff, Ltd. v. Federated Dep't. Stores, Inc., 841 F.2d 486, 6 U.S.P.Q.2d 1187 (2d Cir. 1988)
Bear U.S.A., Inc. v. A.J. Sheepskin & Leather Outerwear, Inc. et al., 909 F. Supp. 896 (S.D.N.Y. 1995)
Bear U.S.A., Inc. v. Kim, 71 F. Supp. 2d 237 (S.D.N.Y. 1999), aff'd, 2000 U.S. App. D.C. LEXIS (2d Cir. 2000)
Bear U.S.A., Inc. v. William Kim, et al., 97 civ. 0574 (LAK) (S.D.N.Y. 1997)
Block Drug Co. v. Den-Mat Inc., 17 U.S.P.Q.2d 1315 (T.T.A.B. 1989)
CBS, Inc. v. Morrow, 708 F.2d 1579, 218 U.S.P.Q. 198 (Fed. Cir. 1983)
Centaur Communications Ltd. v. A/S/M Communications, Inc., 830 F.2d 1217, 4 U.S.P.Q. 1541 (2d Cir. 1987)
Century 21 Real Estate Corp. v. Century Life of America, 970 F.2d 874, 23 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1698 (Fed. Cir. 1992)
Charles of the Ritz Group Ltd. v. Quality King Distribs., Inc., 832 F.2d 1317, 4 U.S.P.Q.2d 1778 (2d Cir. 1987)
In re Concordia Int'l Forwarding Corp., 222 U.S.P.Q. 355 (T.T.A.B. 1983)
Exxon Corp. v. National Foodline Corp., 579 F.2d 1244, 198 U.S.P.Q. 407 (C.C.P.A 1978)
Ferrari S.p.A. Esercizio Fabriche Automobili E. Corse v. Roberts, 944 F.2d 1235, 20 U.S.P.Q.2d 1001 (6th Cir. 1991), cert. denied, 112 S. Ct. 3028 (1992)
Fort Howard Paper Co. v. Georgia-Pacific Corp., 189 U.S.P.Q. 537 (T.T.A.B. 1975) 22
Giant Food, Inc. v. Nation's Foodservice, Inc., 710 F.2d 1565, 218 U.S.P.Q. 390 (Fed. Cir. 1983)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

