### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

MISSIONTREK LTD. CO.,

Appellant/Petitioner,

V

ONFOLIO, INC.,

Appellee/Respondent

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION OF THE TRADEMARK TRIAL
AND APPEALS BOARD IN CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION NO.
91165315 AND CANCELLATION NO. 92044538

## CORRECTED BRIEF OF APPELLANT, MISSIONTREK LTD. CO.

Sherman D. Pernia, Ph.D., JD 1110 NASA Parkway Suite 450 Houston, Texas 77058-3346 Phone: 281-333-0880 Fax: 281-333-9144

Attorney for Petitioner
April 25, 2006



2006-1271

1

### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

MISSIONTREK LTD. CO.,

Appellant/Petitioner,

v.

ONFOLIO, INC.,

Appellee/Respondent

# PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION OF THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEALS BOARD IN CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION NO. 91165315 AND CANCELLATION NO. 92044538

## CORRECTED BRIEF OF PETITIONER MISSIONTREK LTD. CO.

Sherman D. Pernia, Ph.D., JD 1110 NASA Parkway Suite 450 Houston, Texas 77058-3346 Phone: 281-333-0880 Fax: 281-333-9144

Attorney for Petitioner
April 25, 2006



1.1 45

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT			
MISSIONTREK LTD: CO: V ONFOLIO; INC:			
No. <u>2006–1</u> 271			
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST			
Counsel for the (petitioner) (appellant) (respondent) (appellee) (amicus) (name of party)			
<u>Appellant</u> certifies the following (use "None" if applicable; use extra sheets if necessary):			
1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is			
MISSIONTREK LTD: CO:			
2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:			
3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented by me are:  NONE			
<ul> <li>4.  There is no si ch corporation as listed in paragraph 3.</li> <li>5. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this</li> </ul>			
court are:			
NORE			
April22, 2006 Date Signature of counsel Sherman D. Pernia Printed name of counsel			



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Table of Authorities	4
II.	Statement of Related Cases	6
Ш.	Jurisdictional Statement	6
IV.	Statement of the Issues	6
V.	Statement of the Case	7
VI.	Statement of the Facts	7
VII.	Summary of the Argument	8
VIII.	Argument & Statement of the Standard of Review	9
IX.	Conclusion & Statement of Relief Sought	15
X.	Judgment, Order or Decision in Question	16
XI.	Proof of Service	Annex
XII.	Certificate of Compliance.	Annex



### I. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 255, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202, 216
(1986)p. 9
AMF, Inc. v. Sleekcraft Boats, 599 F.2d 341, 350 (9th Cir. 1979)p. 10
Bell v. Commercial Insurance Co., 3 Cir., 280 F.2d 514p. 10
Carlisle Chemical Works, Inc., Hardman & Holden, Ltd., 58 CCPA 751, 434
F. 2d 1403, 168 USPQ 110 (1970)p. 10
Conroy v. Reebok Int'l, Ltd., 14 F.3d 1570, 1575, 29 USPQ2d 1373, 1377 (Fed.
Cir. 1994)p. 9
Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 326 (1986)p. 14
Clicks Billiards Inc. v. Sixshooters Inc., 251 F.3d 1251, 1265 (9th Cir. 2001)
p. 13
Federal Practice & Procedure § 2726, at 446 (3d ed. 1998)
p. 12
In re Citizens Loan & Sav. Co., 621 F.2d 911, 913 (8th Cir. 1980)
(acknowledging that specific facts tending to discredit a key witness could
create a genuine issue for trial)p. 12
Lodge Music Hall, Inc. v. Waco Wrangler Club, Inc., 831 F.2d 77, 81 (5th Cir.
1987)p. 12
Loral Fairchild Corp. v. Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., 266 F.3d 1358, 1363
(Fed. Cir. 2001); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 advisory committee's note (1963
Amendment)p. 13
Minnesota Specialty Crops, Inc. v. Minn. Wild Hockey Club, LP, Civ. No. 00-
2317 (D. Minn. 07/26/02)p. 14
Tiffany v. National Gypsum Co., 59 CCPA 1063, 459 F. 2d 527, 173 USPQ 793
(1972)p. 10



## DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

