ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA15183
Filing date: 09/15/2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### **Notice of Opposition**

Notice is hereby given that the following party opposes registration of the indicated application.

### **Opposer Information**

Name	Pacesetter, Inc. doing business as St. Jude Medical Cardiac Rhythm Management Division	
Granted to Date of previous extension	09/22/2004	
Address	Pacesetter, Inc. doing business as St. Jude Medical Cardiac Rhythm Management 15900 Valley View Court Sylmar, CA 91342 UNITED STATES	
Relationship to party who filed Extension of time to oppose	The name of the opposer field has an 80 character limit and Division did not fit in the name of the opposer field.	

Attorney information	Steven M. Mitchell Pacesetter, Inc. doing business as St. Jude Medical Cardiac Rhythm Management Division 15900 Valley View Court Sylmar, CA 91342 UNITED STATES smeltzer@sjm.com Phone:818-493-2170	iddich hilddid da harddid da da harddid da da harddid da da harddid da harddi
-------------------------	--	--

### **Applicant Information**



Application No	76535840	Publication date	05/25/2004
Opposition Filing Date	09/15/2004	Opposition Period Ends	09/22/2004
Applicant	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc.		

### **Goods/Services Affected by Opposition**

### Class 010.

All goods and sevices in the class are opposed, namely: Implantable cardiac rhythm management devices capable of sensing heart activity and providing pacing and defibrillating therapy as needed

### Opposition pending against 76536437 and Opposition pending against 76535842

Attachments	Notice of Opp Statement 76535840 Pacer+.pdf ( 3 pages ) Exhibit A - Inside Baltimore.pdf ( 1 page )
-------------	---

Signature	/steven m. mitchell/
Name	Steven M. Mitchell
Date	09/15/2004



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re the Matter of Application Serial No. 76/535,840  Published in the OFFICIAL GAZETTE on March 25, 2004	) ) ) )
PACESETTER, INC. doing business as ST. JUDE MEDICAL CARDIAC RHYTHM MANAGEMENT DIVISION Opposer v. CARDIAC PACEMAKERS, INC. Applicant	Opposition No.: <u>Unknown (Unassigned)</u> Unknown (Unassigned)  Opposition No.: <u>Unknown (Unassigned)</u> Opposition No.: <u>Unknown (Unassigned)</u>

BOX TTAB FEE Commissioner for Trademarks 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

### NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

PACESETTTER, INC., doing business as ST. JUDE MEDICAL CARDIAC RHYTHM MANAGEMENT DIVISION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, believes that it will be damaged by registration of the mark shown in Serial No. 76/535,840 in Class 10 (medical apparatus) and hereby opposes the same.

As grounds of opposition, it is alleged that:

1. Opposer develops, manufactures and distributes cardiovascular medical devices for the global cardiac rhythm management, cardiac surgery and cardiology and vascular access therapy areas.



- 2. Applicant seeks to register PACER+ as a trademark "for implantable cardiac rhythm management devices capable of sensing heart activity and providing pacing and defibrillating therapy as needed".
- 3. Opposer and others in the industry use variations on the term PACER for cardiac rhythm management devices.
- 4. The term PACER and variations, including the term PACER+, are generic terms in the medical technology industry used in connection with cardiac rhythm management devices.
- 5. As a generic term and/or a variation on a generic term, PACER+ is not registrable under the Lanham Act.
- 6. In the alternative, PACER+, as a variation on a generic term, is a merely descriptive term in the medical industry when applied to and used in connection with cardiac rhythm management devices, and PACER+ has not acquired secondary meaning or become distinctive of the goods identified in the involved application.
- 7. The term PACER has been adopted by the medical community as a generic term, as shown on the following article:

Tiule of Africle	Author	Source	iDate	Page No.
FDA OKs Pacer, Rejects Other	Inside	Inside Baltimore	2001	www.insi
Device	Baltimore			<u>debaltimor</u>
	News			<u>e.com/ne</u>
	Article			ws/health;
				line 13

Copy of the foregoing article is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 8. If Applicant is permitted to use and register the term PACER+, as specified in the application herein opposed, confusion in trade resulting in damage and injury to Opposer would be caused and would result by reason of the use of a generic or merely descriptive term as a mark.
- 9. If Applicant is granted the registration herein opposed, it would thereby obtain at least a prima facie exclusive right to the use of its mark. Such registration would be a source of damage and injury to Opposer by, among other things, interfering with Opposer's right to use variations on the term PACER for cardiac rhythm management devices.



WHEREFORE, Opposer prays that the application Serial No. 76/535,840 be rejected, and that the mark therein sought for the goods herein specified in International Class 10 be denied and refused.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 15, 2004

By /Steven M. Mitchell/

Steven M. Mitchell Registration No. 31,857 Attorney for Opposer



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

