TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HERA, LLC,)		
Opposer)	Opposition Nos. 91161633	
v.)	91161648	
EC&C TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)		
Applicant)		
			_

TRANSMITTAL LETTER (GENERAL)

(With Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail)

Transmitted herewith is the following document in triplicate:

A. OPPOSER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO APPLICANT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER (Footnote Identification POF 108140)

B. OPPOSER'S FOLLOW UP SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S

DOCUMENT PRODUCTION REQUEST

Dated: January 18, 2005

Howard E. Sandler, Esq.

Hera, LLC

23792 Rockfield Blvd., Suite 140

Lake Forest, CA 92630

hsandler@herallc.com

Tele: 949.707.6543 Fax: 949.707.5435

I certify that this document is being deposited on January 18, 2005 with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R.1.10 Express Mail Label No. ER 192067729 and is addressed to Box TTAB NO FEE, Commissioner for Trademarks,

P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria VA 22313-1451

Dated: January 18, 2005

CORRES DON'T SOME HAVE DANK HAVE BEEN BRIEF AND THE

01-20-2005



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HERA, LLC,)	
)	
Opposer)	Opposition Nos. 91161633
v.)	91161648
)	
EC&C TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)	Date: January 18, 2004
)	
Applicant)	

- A. OPPOSER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO APPLICANT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER (Footnote Identification POF 108140)
- B. OPPOSER'S FOLLOW UP SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION REQUEST.
- 1 As to Subject "A" above:
 - 1.1. Opposer has enclosed herewith two originally signed copies of a proposed Protective Order for the subject oppositions, and hereby requests that, if the Applicant's attorney finds it acceptable, that both copies be countersigned by, or on behalf of, the Applicant, and a fully signed copy be returned to Oppposer.
 - 1.2 Opposer has additionally enclosed a "redline" copy of Page 1 of the enclosed



Page 1 of 3

agreement, so that Applicant may readily view the sole addition to Applicant's original draft. This change was made in view of the current discussions between the parties, wherein they have not reached a final agreement for an all encompassing Protective Order. In this regard, the currently amended Protective Order will be sufficient for Opposer for it only is drawn with respect to the current Document Production Request. This arrangement will take care of present problems and permit counsel for both parties to agree firmly as to protective measures for subsequent discovery matters.

1.3 Opposer has noted Applicant's remarks with respect to its choice of outside counsel. In this regard, Opposer has not taken a "cavalier attitude" in this matter, but merely wished to make Applicant well aware of the fact that the outside attorney selected is the son-in-law of Opposer's in-house attorney and principal and, further, the Opposer is not turning over all legal matters to him, but only those matters which are for outside counsel's eyes only. Furthermore, Mr. Salvin will be made fully aware of his legal duty not to discuss confidential matters with any owners of Hera, or its inside counsel. As to Mr. Mueth's claim that Mr. Salvin has a financial interest in the outcome of the trademark oppositions, such a claim is absurd and has absolutely no sound basis in fact. Mr. Salvin has no ownership interest in Hera, nor has he been given any reason whatsoever to believe that he will benefit from the outcome of the instant Oppositions. Mr. Salvin will simply be paid on a hourly basis, in the same manner he has been paid in the past, when occasionally retained by Hera. Furthermore, if Mr. Mueth elects to proceed with this tact, Opposer requires case law from Mr. Mueth to support this position. In any event, in order to insure a current orderly response to matters outstanding, Applicant's counsel, at this time may merely identify documents he is not providing because of this familial relationship and the matter can thereafter be addressed after the issue of outside counsel is resolved.



2. As to Subject "B" above:

- 2.1. To satisfy Applicant's continuing objections to Opposer's prior responses to Applicant's Document Production Requests, Opposer is enclosing herewith complete, partially redacted copies of the License Agreement and Termination Agreement. The redactions are primarily royalty, technical, and territorial matters, which have nothing to do with trademark issues and are not mentioned, inferred, identified, or in any manner germane to such issues. Further, the License Agreement and Termination Agreement which, while being made available to Applicant's counsel, are of a confidential nature and should not be made available to others, including the Applicant and its owners.
- 2.2 For the record, Opposer once again makes reference to the fact that Applicant's counsel is simultaneously sending trademark and patent issue letters to third parties regarding the marks in questions, as well as patents allegedly covering the underlying product. Indeed, such letters have been very recently sent to a Licensee of Opposer and, as a result of such letters, such Licensee has expressed concern because of the nature of the tone and rhetoric in such letters. Opposer mentions this point, to express its concern that the Applicant's counsel may unintentionally use confidential information gained during the trademark oppositions, for matters relating to the aligned patents.

Dated: January 18, 2005

Howard E. Sandler, Esq.

Respectfully submitted

23792 Rockfield Blvd., Suite 140

Lake Forest, CA 92560



The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and complete copy of the foregoing OPPOSER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO APPLICANT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER (Footnote Identification POF 108140) and OPPOSER'S FOLLOW UP SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION REQUEST is being served on Applicant this 18th day of January 2005, by forwarding same via Express Mail, postage prepaid addressed to:

Joseph E. Mueth, Esquire

Joseph E. Mueth Law Corporation 225 South Lake Avenue, 8th Floor

Pasadena, CA 91101

Dated: 1/18/05

Howard E. Sandler

Hera, LLC

23792 ROckfield Blvd., Suite 140

Lake Forest, CA 92630

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

