



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page(s)
I. IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES AND STATUS OF CASE .....	1
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECORD .....	2
III. FACTS .....	2
IV. ISSUES PRESENTED.....	3
V. ARGUMENTS AND DISCUSSION .....	4
1. OPPOSER HAS STANDING TO OPPOSE THE REGISTRATION OF U.S. APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 75/907,375 FOR THE MARK DERMASTAR IN THAT OPPOSER HAS DEMONSTRATED A REAL INTEREST IN THIS CASE.....	4
2. THE OPPOSITION SHOULD BE SUSTAINED BASED SOLELY ON THE PLEADINGS.....	4
3. APPLICANT'S MARK DERMASTAR, AS USED ON OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE TREATMENT OF WOUNDS ORSKIN, SO RESEMBLES OPPOSER'S REGISTERED MARK DERMOSTAT AND OPPOSER'S COMMON LAW MARK DERMOSTAT, AS USED ON OR IN CONNECTION WITH PHARMACEUTICAL PREPARATIONS FOR TREATMENT OF WOUNDS AND DERMATOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS, AS TO BE LIKELY TO CAUSE CONFUSION AMONG THE PURCHASING PUBLIC.....	7
A. The Similarity Or Dissimilarity Of The Marks In Their Entireties As To Appearance, Sound, Connotation And Commercial Impression.....	8
B. The Similarity Or Dissimilarity And Nature Of The Goods Or Services As Described In An Application Or Registration Or In Connection With Which A Prior Mark Is In Use.....	10
C. The Similarity Or Dissimilarity Of Established, Likely-To-Continue Trade Channels.....	11
D. The Fame Of The Prior Mark (Sales, Advertising, Length Of Use).....	11
E. The Number And Nature Of Similar Marks In Use On Similar Goods....	12

F.	The Extent Of Potential Confusion, I.E., Whether De Minimis Or Substantial.....	12
G.	Other <i>du Pont</i> Factors.....	13
H.	Conclusion.....	13
4.	APPLICANT DOES NOT HAVE A BONA FIDE INTENT TO USE THE MARK DERMSTAR, IN CONNECTION WITH THE GOODS LISTED IN THE STATEMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES, FILED IN ITS INTENT TO USE APPLICATION.....	13
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	15

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Commodore Electronics Ltd. v. CBM Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , 26 U.S.P.Q.2d 1503, 1507 (T.T.A.B. 1993).....	15
<i>Cunningham v. Laser Golf Corp.</i> , 222 F.3d 943 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	10
<i>Estate of Biro v. Bic Corp.</i> , 18 U.S.P.Q.2d 1382 (T.T.A.B. 1991).....	4
<i>Harjo v. Pro Football</i> , 30 U.S.P.Q.2d 1828 (T.T.A.B. 1994).....	4
<i>In re Azteca Resturant Enterprises Inc.</i> , 50 U.S.P.Q.2d 1209 (T.T.A.B.1999).....	8
<i>In re E. I. du Pont de Nemours &amp; Co.</i> , 476 F.2d 1357, 177 U.S.P.Q. 563 (C.C.P.A. 1973).....	8-13
<i>Lane Ltd. v. Jackson Int'l Trading Co.</i> , 33 U.S.P.Q.2d 1351 (T.T.A.B. 1994).....	14
<i>Octocom Systems v. Houston Computer Services, Inc.</i> , 918 F.2d 937 (Fed. Cir.1990).....	10,11
<i>Presto Products, Inc. v. Nice-Pak Products, Inc.</i> , 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 1895 (T.T.A.B. 1988).....	9
 <b><u>Statutes and Rules</u></b>	
Fed. Rules Civ. Proc. Rule 8(b), 28 U.S.C.A.....	6
Fed. Rules Civ. Proc. Rule 8(d), 28 U.S.C.A.....	6
Lanham Act § 13, 15 U.S.C.A. § 1063.....	7
Lanham Act § 2(d), 15 U.S.C.A. § 1052.....	7
Lanham Act § 1(b), 15 U.S.C.A. 15 U.S.C.A. § 1052.....	14

**Treatises**

3 J. McCarthy, *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition*  
§ 19:14 at 19-33 to 19-35 (4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2000).....14

3 J. McCarthy, *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition*  
§ 19:14 at 19-37 (4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2000).....14

3 J. McCarthy, *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition*  
§ 20:6 at 20-12 (4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2000).....6

3 J. McCarthy, *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition*  
§ 20:15 at 20-25 (4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2000).....8

3 J. McCarthy, *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition*  
§ 23:78 at 23-217 to 23-218 (4<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2000).....7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.