

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA963374**

Filing date: **03/28/2019**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	87524734
Applicant	Familia Management Group, LLC
Applied for Mark	FAMILIA DENTAL
Correspondence Address	MINDI M RICHTER SHUMAKER LOOP & KENDRICK LLP 101 E KENNEDY BLVD STE 2800 TAMPA, FL 33602 UNITED STATES mrichter@slk-law.com 813-229-7600
Submission	Appeal Brief
Attachments	FAMILIA_DENTAL_and_design_Appeal_Brief.pdf(111989 bytes)
Filer's Name	Mindi M. Richter
Filer's email	mrichter@slk-law.com
Signature	/Mindi M. Richter/
Date	03/28/2019

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re: *Ex Parte* Appeal of Applicant Familia Management Group, LLC

Correspondent Address: Mindi M. Richter, Esq.
Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP
101 E. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 2800
Tampa, Florida 33602
Tel.: 813-229-7600
Fax: 813-229-1660
mrichter@slk-law.com

Serial No. 87/524,734

Mark: FAMILIA DENTAL (and design)

E-Filing
_____ /

APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
INDEX OF AUTHORITIES	ii
I. RECITATION OF THE FACTS.....	2
II. STATEMENT OF ISSUES.....	5
1. Is Applicant’s word mark FAMILIA DENTAL for “dental hygienist services; dentist services; orthodontic services” generic such that it is not entitled to trademark protection?	5
2. If Applicant’s word mark FAMILIA DENTAL for “dental hygienist services; dentist services; orthodontic services” is not generic, is it nevertheless highly descriptive such that Applicant’s submitted declaration and additional evidence failed to show the word mark has become distinctive despite over ten years of use?.....	5
III. ARGUMENT	5
A. The Examiner has not met the difficult burden of establishing that Applicant’s FAMILIA DENTAL word mark is generic.	5
1. The Examiner’s Evidence.....	7
2. Applicant’s Evidence.....	12
B. The Examiner also failed to fulfill her high burden of demonstrating that FAMILIA DENTAL is highly descriptive such that Applicant’s 2(f) Declaration and other evidence is insufficient to show that the word mark is distinctive.	15
IV. SUMMARY	20

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Am-Pro Protective Agency, Inc. v. United States</i> , 281 F.3d 1234, 1239-40 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	6
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Pilgrim's Pride Corp.</i> , 705 F. Supp. 1522, 1525 (S.D. Fla. 1988)	11
<i>Dena Corp. v. Belvedere Int'l Inc.</i> , 950 F.2d 1555, 21 USPQ2d 1047 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	3
<i>Hunter Publ'g Co.</i> , 1 USPQ2d 1996 (TTAB Sept. 29, 1986)	11
<i>In re America Online Inc.</i> , 77 USPQ2d 1618 (TTAB 2006)	15
<i>In re American Fertility Soc.</i> , 188 F.3d 1341, 1348-49 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	8, 9
<i>In re American Food Co., Inc.</i> , 2004 WL 2368423 (TTAB 2004).....	6
<i>In re Brown-Forman Corp.</i> , 81 USPQ2d 1284 (TTAB 2006)	3
<i>In re Caddy Girls USA, Inc.</i> , 2001 WL 1587169, *4 (TTAB 2001)	17, 18
<i>In re Country Music Ass'n, Inc.</i> , 100 USPQ2d 1824 (TTAB 2011).....	10
<i>In re Dial-a-Mattress Operating Corp.</i> , 240 F.3d 1341, *1345-46 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	7
<i>In re Digital Oilfield Inc.</i> , 2008 WL 1897543, *8 (TTAB April 17, 2008)	10
<i>In re Dollar-A-Day Rent-A-Car Systems, Inc.</i> , 173 USPQ 435 (TTAB 1972).....	19
<i>In re HM Electronics, Inc.</i> , 2015 WL 12722655 (TTAB Nov. 17, 2015)	19
<i>In re Illinois Powder & Paint Co.</i> , 188 USPQ 459, 462 (TTAB 1975)).....	17
<i>In re Kraft, Inc.</i> , 218 USPQ 571 (TTAB 1983)	3
<i>In re Merrill Lynch</i> , 828 F.2d 1567, 1571 (Fed. Cir. 1987).....	5, 6, 12
<i>In re Mittal Steel Tech. Ltd.</i> , 2008 WL 5078736 (TTAB Nov. 18, 2008)	19
<i>In re Nat'l Council for Therapeutic Rec. Cert., Inc.</i> , 2006 WL 2850881 (TTAB Sept. 15, 2006)	15
<i>In re Payclerk, Inc.</i> , 2007 WL 2972193 (TTAB 2007)	6
<i>In re Port Huron Sulphite & Paper Co.</i> , 120 USPQ 343 (TTAB 1959)	14
<i>In re Steelbuilding.com</i> , 415 F.3d 1293, 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	7
<i>In re Strategic Partners, Inc.</i> , 102 USPQ2d 1397 (TTAB 2012).....	14
<i>In re Synergistics Research Corp.</i> , 218 USPQ 165, 1983 WL 51947, *2 (TTAB 1983). 16, 17, 18	
<i>In re Tennis Industry Assn.</i> , 102 USPQ2d 1671 (TTAB 2012).....	6, 7
<i>In re Trek 2000 Int'l Ltd.</i> , 97 USPQ2d 1106 (TTAB 2010).....	6, 15
<i>In re Zuffa, LLC</i> , 2005 WL 2034531(TTAB 2005).....	15
<i>Magic Wand, Inc. v. RDB, Inc.</i> , 940 F.2d 638, 639 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	11

..

Princeton Vanguard, LLC v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc., 786 F.3d 960, 969 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 7
Zimmerman v. Nat’l Ass’n of Realtors, 70 USPQ 2d 1425 (TTAB 2004) 11

Other Authorities

15 U.S.C. §1056(a) 3
18 U.S.C. § 1001 17
TMEP § 1209.02, 14
TMEP §§1213, 1213.03(a) 3
TMEP 1209.01(c)(i)..... 5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.