



TTAB

RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

81 MAIN STREET, SUITE 508
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601

TEL (914) 285-0700

FAX (914) 285-1213

www.renzullilaw.com

IN NEW YORK CITY

300 EAST 42ND STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10017

TEL (212) 599-5533

FAX (212) 599-6385

March 16, 2015

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313/1451

Re: Serial No's. 86416412 and 86416038

Dear Sir or Madam:

This law firm represents GLOCK, Inc.

GLOCK, Inc. recently had two trademarks published for opposition with the USPTO. These two trademarks, G17 and G18, correspond to the above-referenced serial numbers. On March 5, 2015, Kent Wu of The Wuster, filed a 90 day request for extension of time to oppose both trademarks for good cause. These motions were granted by the TTAB. Enclosed, please find GLOCK, Inc.'s request for reconsideration regarding the extension of time granted for both serial numbers.

As neither of these matters has been assigned opposition numbers, customer service advised that we send in our motions via certified mail.

We appreciate your consideration in this matter. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions.

Very truly yours,

RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

Julianna E. Orgel-Eaton



03-23-2015

U.S. Patent & TMOrc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #22

cc: John F. Renzulli, Esq.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In the matter of Serial No. 86416038
Date of Publication: March 3, 2015
For the mark: G17

Kent Wu,)	
)	
Opposer,)	Application Serial No. 86416038
)	
v.)	
)	
GLOCK, Inc.,)	
)	
Applicant.)	

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

GLOCK, Inc. (“Applicant”) by its attorneys, Renzulli Law Firm, LLP, requests that pursuant to § 211.01 of the TBMP, the Board reverse its March 5, 2015 decision to grant an extension of time to Kent Wu (“Opposer”).

Opposer requested a 90 day extension of time to oppose Applicant’s trademark for good cause. According to Opposer, he believes good cause is established because “the potential opposer is engaged in settlement discussions with applicant.” Applicant responds to this request to notify the Board that this claim is false information which has no basis in fact. Applicant and/or his attorney have never contacted GLOCK or this office for any reason. Applicant believes that this is a tactic by Opposer to harass Applicant and delay its trademark registration without good cause.

Applicant is the plaintiff in a trademark and patent infringement lawsuit against Opposer’s company, The Wuster (1:14 cv-00568 AT) in the Northern District of Georgia. At issue in this lawsuit is Opposer’s infringing use of Applicant’s intellectual property, including but not limited to, the G17 trademark. This lawsuit is in its early stages of discovery and there have been no settlement discussions.

CONCLUSION

It is clear that Opposer has filed this extension of time in bad faith. Opposer has espoused unmitigated untruths and is attempting to obtain a benefit from the Board to which he is not entitled.¹ Applicant submits that based on the facts, it would be unjust to allow Opposer to have a 90 day extension of time. For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board reverse its decision.

Respectfully submitted this 16th Day of March, 2015.

By: /s/ Julianna E. Orgel-Eaton
John F. Renzulli
Julianna E. Orgel-Eaton
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
jorgel@renzullilaw.com

Attorneys for Applicant, GLOCK, Inc.

¹ *Central Manufacturing Inc. v. Third Millennium Technology Inc.*, 61 USPQ2d 1210 (TTAB 2001).