

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

14-1203

**IN RE SIMON SHIAO TAM**

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Trademark Trial and Appeal Board in No. 85/472,044.

**MANDATE**

In accordance with the judgment of this Court, entered December 22, 2015, and pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the formal mandate is hereby issued.

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Daniel E. O'Toole

Daniel E. O'Toole  
Clerk of Court

cc: Todd Anten  
Esha Bhandari  
Charanjit Brahma  
Megan Leef Brown  
Robert D. Carroll  
Thomas L. Casagrande  
George C. Chen  
Ronald D. Coleman  
John C. Connell  
Jennifer L. Elgin  
Mark R. Freeman  
Ronald D. Green Jr.  
Christina Hieber  
Hugh C. Hansen  
Brett Max Kaufman  
Nathan K. Kelley  
Christopher J. Kelly  
Thomas W. Krause  
Jeffrey Joseph Lopez  
Joel Geoffrey MacMull  
Phillip R. Malone  
Douglas R. McKusick  
Darth M. Newman  
Lawrence Kurt Nodine  
Jeffrey Theodore Pearlman

Robert Lloyd Raskopf  
Lee Rowland  
Joshua Marc Salzman  
Dwayne D. Sam  
Ilya Shapiro  
Molly R. Silfen  
Arthur B. Spitzer  
Richard L. Stanley  
Daniel Tenny  
Joshua S. Turner  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Sanford Ian Weisburst  
John W. Whitehead  
Jesse A. Witten  
Mathew W. dos Santos

# United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

---

February 11, 2016

## ERRATA

---

Appeal No. 2014-1203

### IN RE SIMON SHIAO TAM

Decided: December 22, 2015  
Precedential Opinion

---

Please make the following changes:

Page 6, lines 18–19, delete “prevent ‘cybersquatters’ from misappropriating his domain name, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)”.

Page 34, line 37 to page 35, line 2, delete “It cannot prevent ‘cybersquatters’ from misappropriating the mark in a domain name. *Id.* § 1125(d).”

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT****NOTICE OF ENTRY OF  
JUDGMENT ACCOMPANIED BY OPINION**

OPINION FILED AND JUDGMENT ENTERED: 12/22/2015

The attached opinion announcing the judgment of the court in your case was filed and judgment was entered on the date indicated above. The mandate will be issued in due course.

Information is also provided about petitions for rehearing and suggestions for rehearing en banc. The questions and answers are those frequently asked and answered by the Clerk's Office.

No costs were taxed in this appeal.

Regarding exhibits and visual aids: Your attention is directed Fed. R. App. P. 34(g) which states that the clerk may destroy or dispose of the exhibits if counsel does not reclaim them within a reasonable time after the clerk gives notice to remove them. (The clerk deems a reasonable time to be 15 days from the date the final mandate is issued.)

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Daniel E. O'TooleDaniel E. O'Toole  
Clerk of Court

cc: Todd Anten  
Esha Bhandari  
Charanjit Brahma  
Megan Leef Brown  
Robert D. Carroll  
Thomas L. Casagrande  
George C. Chen  
Ronald D. Coleman  
John C. Connell  
Jennifer L. Elgin  
Mark R. Freeman  
Ronald D. Green Jr.  
Christina Hieber  
Hugh C. Hansen  
Brett Max Kaufman  
Nathan K. Kelley  
Christopher J. Kelly  
Thomas W. Krause  
Jeffrey Joseph Lopez  
Joel Geoffrey MacMull  
Phillip R. Malone  
Douglas R. McKusick  
Darth M. Newman  
Lawrence Kurt Nodine  
Jeffrey Theodore Pearlman  
Marc J. Randazza  
Robert Lloyd Baskoff

Joshua Marc Salzman  
Dwayne D. Sam  
Ilya Shapiro  
Molly R. Silfen  
Arthur B. Spitzer  
Richard L. Stanley  
Daniel Tenny  
Joshua S. Turner  
Sanford Ian Weisburst  
John W. Whitehead  
Jesse A. Witten  
Mathew W. dos Santos

14-1203 - In re: Tam  
United States Patent and Trademark Office, Case No. 85472044

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.