

Request for Reconsideration after Final Action

The table below presents the data as entered.

Input Field	Entered
SERIAL NUMBER	85088266
LAW OFFICE ASSIGNED	LAW OFFICE 111
MARK SECTION (no change)	
ARGUMENT(S)	
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION</u></p> <p>In the Final Office Action dated February 1, 2011, registration was refused under 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(1) stating that Applicant's mark, MINI-HYBRID, merely describes the primary purpose of Applicant's goods identified in this application. Applicant seeks to register the mark MINI-HYBRID for use in connection with "cooling fan systems for engines" in International Class 7 ("Applicant's Goods"). Applicant respectfully disagrees with the analysis in the Office Action, and requests reconsideration of the refusal based on the following remarks and supporting evidence presented herewith.</p> <p><u>Definitions Relied Upon by Examiner</u></p> <p>The Feb. 1, 2011 Office Action defined "hybrid" as "anything made up of two or more elements" and "mini" as "anything that is miniature, or smaller than is usual." Applicant respectfully disagrees with the definitions relied upon by the Examining Attorney and how the defined terms are applied to Applicant's goods.</p> <p><u>Hybrid</u></p> <p>The Office Action relied upon a definition listed in the Oxford Dictionaries for "hybrid" as "a thing made by combining two different elements." This definition is overbroad when taken in context and applied literally would render virtually anything in existence that is comprised of more than one atomic</p>	

element a “hybrid.” For example, under the referenced definition, water would be a “hybrid” of hydrogen and oxygen. Taken further, every machine (defined as “an assemblage of parts that transmit forces, motion, and energy one to another in a predetermined manner”) would be a “hybrid” and the term would lose all of its meaning, especially in the context of engines used in vehicles. Thus, a more narrow definition of “hybrid” is appropriate for the purposes of the present descriptiveness analysis. The Society of Automotive Engineers (“SAE”) is a standards development organization for the engineering of self-powered vehicles of all kinds, including cars, trucks, buses, boats, aircraft, and others. SAE provides a forum for companies, government agencies, educational institutions and consultants to agree on technical standards for the worldwide vehicle mobility industry. SAE publishes more than 1,600 technical standards that are widely used in the transportation industry. One such standard is “(R) Hybrid Electric Vehicle (HEV) & Electric Vehicle (EV) Terminology,” a copy of which is submitted herewith. *SAE J1715, Rev. Feb. 2008*. The standard’s purpose “is to provide a record of commonly used terminology established by the technical community involved in writing practices and information reports for HEVs and EVs.” *Id.* Section 4 of the standard is titled “Hybrid Electric Vehicle (HEV) Terminology.” *Id.* It defines “Hybrid” as “[a] vehicle with two or more energy storage systems both of which must provide propulsion power – either together or independently.” *Id.* The most common type of hybrid vehicle is one in which power is delivered to the driven wheels by a combination of an internal combustion engine and electric motors powered by a series of batteries.

Here, the product being sold under the MINI-HYBRID mark is installed on a bus with a traditional, single propulsion system involving an internal combustion engine. The MINI-HYBRID cooling fan system does not provide any propulsion power to the bus. Nor does the installation of the MINI-HYBRID system cause the bus to have more than one system providing propulsion to the bus. Rather, the MINI-HYBRID cooling system reduces the energy load on the single propulsion engine by replacing a cooling system that runs on the bus’s hydraulic system with one that runs through a more efficient electrical, non-propulsive, system. It should also be noted that although busses have hydraulic systems, the hydraulic system does not provide propulsion to the vehicle, so the presence of the hydraulic system does not render the bus a hybrid. Hydraulic systems on busses typically control things like the brakes, and systems allowing the bus to “kneel” by lowering the front suspension of the bus to allow passengers to easily enter and exit the bus. Thus, busses that have the MINI-HYBRID system

installed do not fall under the SAE definition of “Hybrid.” Applicant’s use of the term HYBRID does not describe an aspect or characteristic of the product because the MINI-HYBRID system does not convert a traditional bus into a hybrid bus, and vehicles where the MINI-HYBRID system is installed are not, nor will ever be, hybrid vehicles under the SAE definition.

Mini

The Oxford Dictionaries definition of “mini” relied upon in the Office Action does not include the phrase “smaller than is usual.” The definition listed in the Oxford Dictionaries reads in full: “denoting a miniature version of something.” Applicant did not find any definitions of “mini” that include the phrase “smaller than is usual.”

The Office Action relied on the “smaller than is usual” language to highlight the difference in size between the single, hydraulically driven fan present on many existing bus cooling systems, and the multiple, smaller fans present in the MINI-HYBRID system. Cooling fans come in a wide variety of sizes, however, and to suggest that the single, hydraulically driven fan present on many existing bus cooling systems is a “usual” size cooling fan does not accurately describe cooling fan sizes. There is no such thing as a “usual” size cooling fan. Indeed, cooling fans are used in a wide variety of applications where it is advantageous to cool one or more components of a system. For example, some very large cooling fans may be found on heavy equipment such as construction or mining trucks. Such fans may be several feet in diameter, much larger than the single cooling fan the MINI-HYBRID system replaces. On the other hand, nearly all computer systems include at least one cooling fan. Even the thinnest and smallest laptop computers include cooling fans, many with diameters less than 2”, much smaller than the fans present in the MINI-HYBRID system. The fans present in the MINI-HYBRID cooling system are somewhat smaller than the single fan being replaced, but to characterize them as “mini” is not a precise description.

Thus, it is clear that the applied for MINI-HYBRID mark for a cooling fan system is not merely descriptive. The MINI-HYBRID system does not convert a non-hybrid bus into a hybrid bus, nor are the vehicles where the MINI-HYBRID system is installed hybrids, and the cooling fans present in the MINI-HYBRID system are not miniature. If anything, the term MINI-HYBRID merely suggests that the product will produce some of the benefits (better fuel economy) of a hybrid bus, without the great expense of purchasing a new expensive hybrid bus.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks, Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for publication and requests notification of same. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examining Attorney telephone counsel for Applicant in the event that a telephone conference would expedite the processing of the application.

EVIDENCE SECTION

EVIDENCE FILE NAME(S)	
ORIGINAL PDF FILE	<u>evi 675213130-101359837 . Surface Vehicle Info Report.pdf</u>
CONVERTED PDF FILE(S) (22 pages)	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0002.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0003.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0004.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0005.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0006.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0007.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0008.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0009.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0010.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0011.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0012.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0013.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0014.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0015.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0016.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0017.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0018.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0019.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0020.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0021.JPG</u>
	<u>\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT11\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0022.JPG</u>

	\\TICRS\EXPORT1\IMAGEOUT1\850\882\85088266\xml7\RFR0023.JPG
DESCRIPTION OF EVIDENCE FILE	SAE Surface Vehicle Information Report: (R) Hybrid Electric Vehicle (HEV) & Electric Vehicle (EV) Terminology.
SIGNATURE SECTION	
RESPONSE SIGNATURE	/acl/
SIGNATORY'S NAME	Andrew C. Landsman
SIGNATORY'S POSITION	Attorney of record, Wisconsin bar member
DATE SIGNED	07/20/2011
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY	YES
CONCURRENT APPEAL NOTICE FILED	YES
FILING INFORMATION SECTION	
SUBMIT DATE	Wed Jul 20 12:07:32 EDT 2011
TEAS STAMP	USPTO/RFR-67.52.13.130-20 110720120732913143-850882 66-48031843017f26fea8661f 039f4ac268c45-N/A-N/A-201 10720101359837695

PTO Form (Rev 4/2000)
OMB No. 0651-... (Exp. 08/31/2004)

**Request for Reconsideration after Final Action
To the Commissioner for Trademarks:**

Application serial no. **85088266** has been amended as follows:

ARGUMENT(S)

In response to the substantive refusal(s), please note the following:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In the Final Office Action dated February 1, 2011, registration was refused under 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(1) stating that Applicant's mark, MINI-HYBRID, merely describes the primary purpose of Applicant's goods identified in this application. Applicant seeks to register the mark MINI-HYBRID for

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.