ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA135479 04/15/2007

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

1	
Proceeding	78538396
Applicant	Retriever Brands LLC
Applied for Mark	LITTON
Correspondence Address	Theodore R. Remaklus Wood, Herron & Samp; Evans, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower441 Vine Street Cincinnati, OH 45202 UNITED STATES tremaklus@whepatent.com
Submission	Appeal Brief
Attachments	appeal brief.pdf (18 pages)(67294 bytes)
Filer's Name	Theodore R. Remaklus
Filer's e-mail	tremaklus@whepatent.com
Signature	/theodore r remaklus/
Date	04/15/2007



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re Retriever Brands LLC,				
Serial No.:	78538396			
Mark:	LITTON			
Appeal Filed:	November 30, 2006			

APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTE	RODUC	CTION	1			
II.							
III.	ISSU	ES ON	ES ON APPEAL				
IV.	ARG	ARGUMENT					
	A.		TON" Is Not Primarily Merely a Surname Under Section 4) of the Trademark Act	4			
		1.	There is No Person Associated With Applicant With the Last Name LITTON	6			
		2.	The Mark LITTON Does Not Have the "Look or Feel" of a Surname and is Distinctive	6			
		3.	The Term LITTON Has Other Recognized Significance	6			
		4.	If Seen as a Surname, LITTON is a Very Rare Surname	8			
		5.	LITTON Is Not Primarily Merely a Surname	11			
	В.		icant's Alternative Amendment of the Application to the blemental Register	11			
V	CON	CHUSI	ON	15			



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

In re Benthin Mgt., 37 USPQ2d 1332 (TTAB 1995)	5, 9, 11
In re Ciclo Tecnica Industrial, S.A., 194 USPQ 547 (TTAB 1977)	8
In re Eddie Z's Blinds and Drapery, Inc., 74 USPQ2d 1037 (TTAB 2005)	12-13
In re Garan, Inc., 3 USPQ2d 1537 (TTAB 1987)	8
In re Gregory, 70 USPQ2d 1792 (TTAB 2004)	9, 11
In re Harris-Intertype Corp., 518 F.2d 629, 186 USPQ 238 (CCPA 1975)	5
In re Industrie Pirelli Societa per Azioni, 9 USPQ2d 1564 (TTAB 1988)	4
In re Kahan and Weisz Jewelry Manufacturing Corp., 184 USPQ 421, 508 F.2d 831 (CCPA 1975)	4-5
In re Pyro-Spectaculars Inc., 63 USPQ2d 2022 (TTAB 2002)	7-8
In re Sava Research Corp., 32 USPQ2d 1380 (TTAB 1994)	10
In re United Distillers plc, 56 USPQ2d 1220 (TTAB 2000)	5, 6, 8, 9
Litton Systems, Inc. v. Whirlpool Corp., 728 F.2d 1423 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	6
Statutes/Rules	
MPEP 816.04	3, 11
MPEP 816.05	12, 13
MPEP 1211.01(a)	5
MPEP 1212.029(c)	14
Trademark Act Section 2(d), 15 U.S. C. § 1052(d)	2, 3
Trademark Act Section $2(e)(A)$ 15 II S.C. 8 1052(e)(A)	nassim



I. INTRODUCTION

Applicant appealed from the Examining Attorney's refusal to register the mark LITTON of Application No. 78/538,396 for use with "household appliances, namely, microwave ovens for cooking, electric toasters, electric coffee makers" in Class 11, on the grounds that the mark is primarily merely a surname under Section 2(e)(4) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (as amended), 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(4). The LITTON mark, when used with the goods of the present application, would not be perceived by consumers as *primarily* merely a surname given the rarity of LITTON as a surname and the notoriety of the LITTON when used in connection with electronic kitchen appliances, and particularly microwave ovens. Accordingly, the Examining Attorney's refusal to register the LITTON mark should be reversed.

Applicant alternatively amended its application to the Supplemental Register in the event that the Examining Attorney, and now the Board, does not conclude the LITTON mark is entitled to registration on the Principal Register. The Examining Attorney did not assert the mark is incapable identifying and distinguishing Applicant's goods. Accordingly, in the event the Board does not reverse the Examining Attorney's refusal to register the LITTON mark on the Principal Register, the Board should remand the matter to the Examining Attorney for implementation of the alternative amendment of the application to the Supplemental Register.

II. FACTS

Applicant Retriever Brands LLC applied for registration of the LITTON mark on the Principal Register on December 26, 2004 for "Electronic equipment and accessories, namely, cables; surge protectors; extension cords. Household appliances, namely,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

