THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT
CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB APRIL 11, 00
Paper No. 14
HRW

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

In re Michael Maynard, M.D.

Serial No. 75/165,418

Lawrence E. Abelman of Abelman, Frayne & Schwab for applicant.

K. Margaret Le, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 103 (Michael Szoke, Managing Attorney)

Before Hairston, Chapman and Wendel, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Wendel, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Michael Maynard, M.D. has filed an application to register the mark JOCKDOC¹ for the following goods and services:

Class 9: Pre-recorded computer disks, pre-recorded video tapes and disks, pre-recorded audio disks, tapes, and cassettes, and CD ROM, all featuring educational information

¹ Serial No. 75/165,418, filed September 13, 1996, based on an allegation of a bona fide intent to use the mark in commerce.



relating to sports and sports injuries.

- Class 16: Books, magazines, printed educational materials, brochures, pamphlets, newsletters all relating to sports and sports injuries.
- Class 41: Educational services, namely, conducting classes, seminars, conferences, and workshops in the field of sports and sports injuries; Programming and scheduling of programs in the field of sports and sports injuries on a global computer network; Entertainment in the nature of ball, racket, swimming and other games; Providing sports information and information concerning sports injuries via a computer network; Providing entertainment services relating to sports and sports injuries; and providing audio visual and multimedia interactive and non-interactive programming and informational services concerning sports and sports injuries over computer networks.
- Class 42: Computer programming for others in the field of sports and sports injuries; providing medical information in the field of sports medicine and sports injuries.²

Registration has been finally refused on the ground that the mark is merely descriptive under Section 2(e)(1) of the Trademark Act. Applicant and the Examining Attorney have filed briefs, but no oral hearing was requested.

The Examining Attorney takes the position that applicant's mark JOCKDOC creates the same commercial impression as the term JOCK DOC, which from the evidence of

² It appears from the briefs that certain changes have been agreed upon with respect to the identification of goods and services submitted by applicant on November 23, 1998, although no paper to this effect is found in the record.



record has been shown to be a slang term used to refer to a physician specializing in the field of sports medicine. As such, the Examining Attorney maintains that the mark merely describes a feature of the Class 9 and 16 goods, namely, that the goods are sponsored by or produced by a doctor specializing in sports medicine or sports injuries, i.e., a JOCK DOC. She argues similarly with respect to the services involved, that is, applicant's mark would be merely descriptive of the person or persons rendering or sponsoring applicant's educational and information services. As a second basis for finding the mark descriptive, she argues that if the Class 16 publications are in fact intended to be sold to physicians in the field of sports medicine, the mark would be merely descriptive of the class of purchasers to whom the publications are directed.

Applicant argues that the evidence produced by the Examining Attorney is insufficient to establish that the average consumer would understand applicant's mark JOCKDOC as having a specific meaning; that the few Nexis articles relied upon the Examining Attorney show use only of the two word combination "jock doc"; that most excerpts use this combination in quotation marks, suggesting acknowledgment



by the authors that the wording is not widely recognized or commonly used; that most of the excerpts appear to use "jock doc" as a synonym for a doctor treating professional athletes and not a doctor of sports medicine; and that none of these excerpts show use of the term JOCKDOC in connection with goods or services similar to applicant's.

As for its mark, applicant argues that JOCKDOC is, at most, suggestive of its goods and services; and that it is a clever alliterative word combination which creates a commercial impression beyond a mere description of the goods and services. Applicant further argues that its mark incorporates a double entendre, in that there are other meanings for both the word "jock" and "doc," particularly "document" for "doc," which would give rise to other plausible interpretations of the mark. Applicant also contends that the "personification" in the mark would cause consumers some mental pause so as to determine the relationship between a doctor and the inanimate objects with which the mark is being used. In addition, applicant states that its goods and services are not intended to target sports medicine doctors.

A term or phrase is merely descriptive within the meaning of Section 2(e)(1) if it immediately conveys information about a characteristic or feature of the goods



or services with which it is being used. In re Abcor

Development Corp., 588 F.2d 811, 200 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1978).

It is not necessary that the term or phrase describe all

the characteristics or features of the goods or services in

order to be merely descriptive; it is sufficient if the

term or phrase describes a significant attribute thereof.

See In re H.U.D.D.L.E, 216 USPQ 358 (TTAB 1982).

We first turn to the evidence made of record by the Examining Attorney to support her contention that the term "jock doc" is a slang term used to refer to a physician specializing in the field of sports medicine. While applicant refers only to the eight Nexis database excerpts made of record in the first Office action, we note that the evidence was expanded by thirty-seven excerpts in the Examining Attorney's response to applicant's request for reconsideration, although with considerable duplication. The following are representative of the excerpts:

Hughes, who specializes in treating sports injuries, like many other "jock docs" in the bay area, including Koco Eaton.... St. Petersburg Times (Mar. 12, 1997);

The will to win has served Andrews well. At 54, he is America's "top jock doc," as Newsweek recently dubbed him, the orthopedic surgeon who fixed Bo Jackson's hip, Scottie Pippen's ankle, Troy Aikman's... The Washington Post (Jan. 28, 1997);

...general population in the same way that we have made it on those more athletically inclined. It was



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

