

No. 23A35

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC,

Applicant,

v.

The Wilderness Society, et al.,

Respondents.

**On Emergency Application to Vacate the Stays Entered by the
United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
in Nos. 23-1384, 23-1592, & 23-1594**

**OPPOSITION TO THE APPLICATION TO VACATE THE STAY
ENTERED IN NOS. 23-1592 & 23-1594**

Kimberley Hunter
Spencer Scheidt
SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL
LAW CENTER
601 West Rosemary Street
Suite 220
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516

Gregory Buppert
Counsel of Record
Spencer Gall
SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL
LAW CENTER
120 Garrett Street
Suite 400
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902
Telephone: (434) 977-4090
Email: gbuppert@selcva.org

Counsel for Respondent The Wilderness Society

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

Respondent The Wilderness Society has no parent corporation, and no publicly held company has any ownership interest in The Wilderness Society.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
RULE 29.6 STATEMENT	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
STATEMENT.....	2
A. Factual Background	2
B. Procedural Background.....	5
REASONS TO DENY THE APPLICATION	10
I. MVP TAKES THE COURT’S REVIEW FOR GRANTED.	11
II. THE COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT ERR, MUCH LESS DEMONSTRABLY ERR, WHEN GRANTING THE STAY.....	13
A. The Fourth Circuit Has Jurisdiction to Consider Arguments In Pending Cases About Section 324’s Constitutionality.	13
B. Section 324 Violates the Separation of Powers.	19
1. <i>Section 324(c)(1) and Section 324(f) compel results under old law.</i>	20
2. <i>Section 324(e)(1) manipulates jurisdiction as a means to an end.</i>	25
C. The Stay Was Comfortably Within the Fourth Circuit’s Discretion.	31
III. MVP FACES NO IRREPARABLE HARM FROM THE STAY AFFECTING THE JEFFERSON NATIONAL FOREST.....	34
IV. MVP IS NOT ENTITLED TO A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR CERTIORARI BEFORE JUDGMENT.	36
CONCLUSION.....	39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Amoco Prod. Co. v. Vill. of Gambell</i> , 480 U.S. 531 (1987)	31, 32, 33
<i>Appalachian Voices v. U.S. Dep’t of the Interior</i> , 25 F.4th 259 (4th Cir. 2022).....	3
<i>Appalachian Voices v. U.S. Dep’t of the Interior</i> , No. 20-2159 (4th Cir. Nov. 18, 2020)	13
<i>Armstrong v. United States</i> , 80 U.S. 154 (1871)	29
<i>Axon Enter., Inc. v. Fed. Trade Comm’n</i> , 143 S. Ct. 890 (2023)	15
<i>Backer v. United States</i> , 7 Ct. Cl. 551 (1871).....	29
<i>Bank Markazi v. Peterson</i> , 578 U.S. 212 (2016)	1, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 29
<i>Bankers Life & Cas. Co. v. Holland</i> , 346 U.S. 379 (1953)	36
<i>Bd. of Governors of Fed. Rsrv. Sys. v. Dimension Fin. Corp.</i> , 474 U.S. 361 (1986)	18
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	17
<i>Bell v. Maryland</i> , 378 U.S. 226 (1964)	16
<i>Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.</i> , 542 U.S. 367 (2004)	36, 38
<i>Coleman v. Paccar, Inc.</i> , 424 U.S. 1301 (1976)	11, 12, 30
<i>Conkright v. Frommert</i> , 556 U.S. 1401 (2009)	35

<i>Doe v. Gonzales</i> , 546 U.S. 1301 (2005)	11
<i>Does 1–3 v. Mills</i> , 142 S. Ct. 17 (2021)	11
<i>Ex parte Fahey</i> , 332 U.S. 258 (1947)	36
<i>Garcia-Mir v. Smith</i> , 469 U.S. 1311 (1985)	11
<i>Gwaltney of Smithfield, Ltd. v. Chesapeake Bay Found.</i> , 484 U.S. 49 (1987)	16
<i>Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Wolf Bros. & Co.</i> , 240 U.S. 251 (1916)	38
<i>Holtzman v. Schlesinger</i> , 414 U.S. 1304 (1973)	10
<i>Jama v. Immigr. & Customs Enft</i> , 543 U.S. 335 (2005)	16
<i>League of Women Voters of U.S. v. Newby</i> , 838 F.3d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2016)	32
<i>Lucky Brand Dungarees, Inc. v. Marcel Fashions Grp.</i> , 140 S. Ct. 1589 (2020)	15
<i>Lynch v. United States</i> , 292 U.S. 571 (1934)	28
<i>Ex parte McCardle</i> , 74 U.S. 506 (1868)	29, 30
<i>Morissette v. United States</i> , 342 U.S. 246 (1952)	15, 17, 18
<i>N. Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.</i> , 458 U.S. 50 (1982)	28
<i>Nichols v. United States</i> , 511 U.S. 738 (1994)	28
<i>Nken v. Holder</i> , 556 U.S. 418 (2009)	11, 31

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.