

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

**EDWARD GALMON, et al.**  
*Applicants*

v.

**KYLE ARDOIN, LOUISIANA SECRETARY OF STATE, et al.**  
*Respondents.*

---

**RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY APPLICATION  
FOR STAY OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

---

JEFF LANDRY  
*Louisiana Attorney General*  
ELIZABETH B. MURRILL  
*Solicitor General*  
SHAE MCPHEE  
*Deputy Solicitor General*  
MORGAN BRUNGARD  
*Assistant Solicitor General*  
ANELIQUE DUHON FREEL  
CAREY TOM JONES  
JEFFREY M. WALE  
*Assistant Attorneys General*  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
P.O. Box 94005  
Baton Rouge, LA 70804  
murrille@ag.louisiana.gov

JASON B. TORCHINSKY  
PHILLIP M. GORDON  
EDWARD M. WENGER  
HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN TORCHINSKY &  
JOSEFIK, PLLC  
15405 John Marshall Highway  
Haymarket, VA 20169  
jtorchinsky@holtzmanvogel.com

*Counsel for the State of Louisiana*

JOHN C. WALSH  
SHOWS, CALI & WALSH, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 4046  
Baton Rouge, LA 70821  
john@scwllp.com

PHILLIP J. STRACH  
THOMAS A. FARR  
ALYSSA M. RIGGINS  
NELSON MULLINS RILEY &  
SCARBOROUGH, LLP  
301 Hillsborough Street, Suite 1400  
Raleigh, NC 27063  
phillip.strach@nelsonmullins.com

*Counsel for R. Kyle Ardoin, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Louisiana*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT ..... 5

STATEMENT OF THE CASE..... 6

ARGUMENT ..... 9

    I.    THE PLAINTIFFS CANNOT SHOW ANY LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON  
          THEIR ARGUMENT THAT THE FIFTH CIRCUIT ERRED BY ISSUING A WRIT  
          OF MANDAMUS. .... 10

        A.  At this stage in the proceedings, the State has a clear and  
            indisputable right to be free from the imposition of a court-  
            drawn remedial map. .... 10

        B.  The State had no other way to secure relief except for a  
            petition for a writ of mandamus..... 16

        C.  The tremendous importance of this case justified mandamus  
            relief..... 18

    II.  THE PLAINTIFFS WILL SUFFER NO INJURY WHATSOEVER BY THE FIFTH  
          CIRCUIT’S MANDAMUS ORDER. .... 19

    III. THE BALANCE OF THE EQUITIES TILT HEAVILY IN FAVOR OF ALLOWING  
          THE MANDAMUS ORDER TO REMAIN IN EFFECT. .... 20

    IV.  THIS COURT SHOULD NOT CONSTRUE PLAINTIFF’S APPLICATION AS A  
          PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI..... 21

CONCLUSION..... 23

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Allen or Students for Fair Admission, Inc. v. President &amp; Fellows of Harvard College,</i> 600 U.S. 181 (2023) .....	4, 10, 14
<i>Allen v. Milligan,</i> 599 U.S. 1 (2023) .....	2, 3, 8, 9
<i>Ardoin v. Robinson,</i> 143 S. Ct. 2654 (2023) .....	1, 5
<i>Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court,</i> 542 U.S. 367 (2004) .....	14
<i>Conkright v. Frommert,</i> 556 U.S. 1401 (2009) .....	5, 6
<i>Cooper v. Harris,</i> 581 U.S. 285 (2017) .....	14
<i>Covington v. North Carolina,</i> 316 F.R.D. 117 (M.D.N.C. 2016), <i>aff'd</i> , 137 S. Ct. 2211 (2017) .....	8, 18
<i>Fayerweather v. Ritch,</i> 195 U.S. 276 (1904) .....	7
<i>In re Gee,</i> 941 F.3d 153 (5th Cir. 2019) .....	6
<i>In re Lloyd's Register N. Am., Inc.,</i> 780 F.3d 283 (5th Cir. 2015) .....	13
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.,</i> 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008) .....	6
<i>Ind. State Police Pension Trust v. Chrysler LLC,</i> 556 U.S. 960 (2009) .....	5, 6
<i>Jackson Women's Health Org. v. Currier,</i> 760 F.3d 448 (5th Cir. 2014) .....	14
<i>League of Women Voters of N.C. v. North Carolina,</i> 769 F.3d 224 (4th Cir. 2014) .....	17
<i>Obama for Am. v. Husted,</i> 697 F.3d 423 (6th Cir. 2012) .....	17

<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i> , 377 U.S. 533 (1964) .....	10
<i>Robinson v. Ardoin</i> , 605 F. Supp. 3d 759 (M.D. La. 2022) .....	8-9
<i>Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass’n</i> , 319 U.S. 21 (1943) .....	14
<i>Satanic Temple, Inc. v. Tex. Health &amp; Hum. Serv. Comm’n</i> , No. 22-20459, 2023 WL 5316718 (5th Cir. Aug. 18, 2023) .....	12
<i>Shelby County v. Holder</i> , 570 U.S. 529 (2013) .....	10
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i> , 553 U.S. 880 (2008) .....	7
<i>Thornburg v. Gingles</i> , 478 U.S. 30 (1986) .....	8
<i>Univ. of Tex. v. Camenisch</i> , 451 U.S. 390 (1981) .....	7
<i>Wise v. Lipscomb</i> , 437 U.S. 535 (1978) .....	10

### Statutes

La. Stat. Ann. § 18:467 .....	6
-------------------------------	---

### Other Authorities

11A Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 3905.1 .....	12
--	----

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.