20visi~

ORIGINAL

232-6551

FILED

IN THE

JAN 0 9 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FIRE OF THE CLERK

PALANI KARUPAIYAN; P. P.; R. P. --Petitioners

V.

WOODBRIDGE TOWNSHIP OF NJ;

STATE OF NEW JERSEY;

UNITED STATES;

UNION OF INDIA;

OFFICER GANDHI, 5038 individually and in his official capacity as Parking enforcement officer of Woodbridge; POLICE DEPARTMENT OF WOODBRIDGE

-- Respondents

PETITION FOR WRIT FOR CERTIORARI

to the United States Court of Appeals for the <u>Third Circuit</u>, before judgment is entered in that Court

Palani Karupaiyan.
Pro se, Petitioner,
c/o Pravin
110 Caton Ave, #2M
Brooklyn, NY 11218
palanikay@gmail.com
212-470-2048(m)



1660 'SS



I. QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Petitioner's reliefs were prayed as Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition or alternative so the questions were part of three test condition of the Writs.

II. PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
PALANI KARUPAIYAN; P. P.; R. P., are petitioners
WOODBRIDGE TOWNSHIP OF NJ; STATE OF NEW JERSEY;
UNITED STATES; UNION OF INDIA; OFFICER GANDHI, 5038
individually and in his official capacity as Parking enforcement officer of Woodbridge; POLICE DEPARTMENT OF WOODBRIDGE are respondents.

III. RELATED CASE(S)

USSC's docket# 22-6342, Petition for Writ of Certiorari- <u>Palani</u> <u>Karupaiyan et al v. L Naganda et al</u> is **Parallel dockets**



IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I. QUESTIONS PRESENTED [i
II. PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING
III. RELATED CASE(S)
IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS
V. INDEX TO APPENDICES
VI. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES vi
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
VII. PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
VIII. OPINION(S)/ORDERS/JUDGMENT(S) BELOW (FROM DIST COURT AND
USCA3)1
IX. JURISDICTION
X. CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED
2
XI. STATEMENT OF THE CASE4
1) DIST COURT PROCEEDING
2) CORE FACTS OF THE COMPLAINT
3) DIST COURT ANALYZE AND RULING
4) USCA PROCEEDING
XII. ALL WRITS ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(A)
XIII. PETITIONER'S PARENTING RIGHTS
XIV. PETITIONER PRAYED DECLARATIVE/INJUNCTIVE RELIEFS IN THE
LOWER COURT BY FOLLOWING15
XV. WHY USCA3 WILL NOT ABLE TO GRANT THE APPELLANT'S
WRITS/INJUNCTION(S) RELIEFS16
XVI. USSC'S WRIT AGAINST USCA/DIST COURT OR ANY COURT16

A)	AGAINST ANY JUDICIAL AUTHORITY (INCLUDING NJ AUTHORITY)	16
XVII	USSC's Rule 20.1 and Rule 20.3	17
XVII	I. THREE TEST CONDITIONS FOR GRANT THE WRITS (OF MANDAMUS	,
PROE	HIBITION OR ANY ALTERNATIVE)	17
XIX.	Pro se pleading standards	18
XX.	REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	18
A)	PARENTAL RIGHTS AGAINST US AND NJ	18
1) C	Writ against United States and New Jersey that make amendment to the constitution that Parental rights are Constitutional rights	
to	(i)US govt/President should not appoint the US Supreme Court justices and i) Thru Collegium process Promote 34 most experience/expertise USCA Judgo US Supreme Court for 5 years, and they should retire at 70 whichever comest. (iii) invalidate the Judge/Justice Brown appointment to US Supreme Court 20	ge es
В)	AGAINST INDIA FOR PARENTAL AND INHERITANCE /PROPERTY RIGHTS	24
h	Order to Union of India that US citizen kids should not be hold in India, nd US citizen Kids need to return to US for their education, vacations, and olidays, parental rights and properly kids Ancestral inheritance roperty(s)/wealth need to transfer to the kids in USA	2 4
C)	AGAINST LOWER COURTS	
4	Order to vacate the sua sponte order of dismissal the complaint	26
5	Order to appoint guardian ad litem or alternatively pro bono attorney	27
6 la	Order that Lower Court should exercise supplemental jurisdiction for states aw claims	
a v to ti	Municipal Mayor should not appoint Municipal Court Judge(s) and such ppointment should be done by NJ State govt. and (iii) Deposit traffic iolations fine in New Jersey treasury. (iv) Remove the Petitioners traffic tick to US District court (v) By parties request Jury should be available for traffic ticket hearing/municipal hearing.	et
8 q) NJ and it's local Govt should not tow/taken away the home less's roperty(s)	. 33
<i>2</i>)	WDIMG A GAING WOODDIDGE	9.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

