

22-5807

Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

OCT 04 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE DANIEL KWAKU GBEDEMAH, Petitioner

**TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
(21-5154) (22-5088)**

AND

THE-UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Respondents

1-20-mc-00128-UNA (Chief Judge)

1-21-cv-00438-DLF (Junior Judge)

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

ORIGINAL

**DANIEL KWAKU GBEDEMAH, PRO SE
C/O PROSPER FOUNDATION
126 MEA BELL WAY
LAWRENCEVILLE-GA 30044**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. whether Congress implicitly or explicitly bar Petitioner a victim of “extrajudicial killings and torture” from seeking relief under the Torture Victims Protection Act.
2. whether a lower court can exhibit two judgments in a case. One judgment with constitutional authority and subject matter jurisdiction, hereinafter-deemed the Chief Judge ORDER, while the second an unconstitutional order, hereinafter-deemed the Junior Judge ORDER.
3. whether a lower court without subject matter jurisdiction, in jurisprudence can order an amendment in a complaint, when the lower court lack subject matter jurisdiction.
4. whether the Court of Appeals can summarily affirm a “void judgment” of a lower court without looking into its own Article III standing and justiciable issues of the case.
5. whether the Clerks of the U.S District Court and the DC Circuit Court of Appeal perform fiduciary duties and if Clerks can corrupt the law, when Clerks swear oath to uphold the law.
6. whether this case implicate or circumvent “National Security” interest, or a “National Embarrassment” that manifest the injustice of denial of a forum to seek redress for “black sited” human rights violations. And whether 18 U.S.C.S. 242 is implicated.
7. The question presented is whether a writ of mandamus shall issue directing the court of appeals to remand the case to the Chief Judge of the district court without delay.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner in this Court is Plaintiff in the United States District Court and Appellant in the court of appeals. Respondents in this Court is the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and the United States District Court, District of Columbia. Respondents include all the Judges that acted in their official capacity as Judges of the D.C. Circuit Appeals Court and United States District Court; including the Clerks of court in their official capacity as record keepers in the District Court and Appeals Court of the District of Columbia, without mentioning their names in compliance.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Petitioner DANIEL KWAKU GBEDEMAH hereby disclose the following pursuant to this Court's Order on Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement: DANIEL KWAKU GBEDEMAH, is not aware of any association of persons, firm, law firm, partnership, and corporation that has or may have an interest in the outcome of this action – including subsidiaries, conglomerates, affiliates, parent corporations, publicly-traded companies that own 10% or more of a party's stock, and all other identifiable legal entities related to any party in the case: Petitioner, however have sought amicus curiae from various human rights organizations, including the United Nations Human Rights Committee. Petitioner certify that I am unaware of any actual or potential conflict of interest involving the Chief Judge or Junior District Court Judge and Clerks assigned to this case, and will immediately notify the Court in writing on learning of any such conflict. Petitioner further certify that I am aware of a conflict or basis of recusal of the Junior District Court Judge and Clerk of the District Court as follows: Petitioner filed a writ of mandamus against the Junior District Court Judge and Clerk in the DC Circuit Appeals court. Petitioner also filed a bias and recusal motion against the junior judge for usurping the chief Judge and lack of judicial temperament. The junior judge lip-synced undetectable "espionage" one that the United States cannot bring before its grand juries for criminal prosecution, only to become a "void judgment." A dereliction to report espionage to the AG or FBI.

STATEMENT OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

The following proceedings are directly related to the case in this Court within the meaning of Rule 14.1(b)(iii): U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, Daniel K. Gbedemah v. CIA, et. al. No. 1:20-mc-00128-UNA, (Chief Judge) (Appendix "A"); Daniel K. Gbedemah v. CIA, et. al. 1-21-cv-00438-(DLF) (Junior Judge) (Appendix "B"); U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, No. 21-5154, In re Daniel Kwaku Gbedemah, (per curiam) (Oct. 05, 2021) (Appendix "D"); Daniel Kwaku Gbedemah v. CIA, (per curiam) (Jul. 28, 2022) (Appendix "G"). All opinions annexed in the appendix and numbered in compliance.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.