APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

RELEVANT OPINION RE JUDGMENT SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED

Memorandum Opinion, Ninth Circuit (June 7, 2022)1a
OTHER RELEVANT ORDERS
Judgment, Case 1712, District Court, and Image of Judgment (March 15, 2021) 7a
Judgment, Case 4167, District Court, and Image of Judgment (Entered March 18, 2021)10a
Statement of Ruling Granting Motion for Summary Judgment, Filed in Case 1712 & Case 4167 (March 11, 2021)
ORDERS ON REHEARING
Order Denying Petition for Panel Rehearing, Ninth Circuit (June 21, 2022)73a
Rule 59/60 Motion for Reconsideration, Case 1712 (May 13, 2021)



APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont.)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Relevant Constitutional and Statutory Provisions	
Involved99	∂a
U.S. Const. amend. V)a
17 U.S.C. § 101)a
17 U.S.C. § 102	2a
28 U.S.C. § 1254113	3a
28 U.S.C. § 1291114	
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 42 114	la
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 59 115	бa
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 60 116	Зa
Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 201 118	3a
DISTRICT COURT, RELEVANT MATERIAL	
First Amended Complaint, Case 1712	
Relevant Excerpts (March 7, 2019) 120)a
Complaint, Case 4167,	
Relevant Excerpts (May 14, 2019) 124	la
	ta
Scheduling Conference Order, Case 1712	
(July 11, 2019) 128	3a
Substitution of Attorney, Case 1712	
(February 24, 2020))a
Motion for Summary Judgment, Case 1712,	,
Relevant Excerpts (January 31, 2020) 132	'a
Rule 59/60 Motion for Reconsideration, Case 1712	
(March 26, 2021)	la
Notice of Appeal, Case 1712	
(June 3, 2021))ຄ
	<i>.</i> u
Electronic Filing Mailing List,	
Case 1712142	'a



APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont.)

Electronic Filing Mailing List, Case 4167	144a
Docket Report for Case #: 2:19-cv-01712-GW-AGR	146a
Docket Report for Case #: 2:19-cv-04167-GW-AGR	202a
NINTH CIRCUIT, RELEVANT MATERIAL	
Appellant's Opening Brief, Relevant Excerpts (October 8, 2021)	219a
Answering Brief, Relevant Excerpts (January 12, 2022)	224a
Appellant's Request for Judicial Notice (March 3, 2022)	235a
Appellant's Reply Brief, Relevant Excerpts (March 3, 2022)	237a
Petition for Panel Rehearing (June 16, 2022)	242a



App.1a

MEMORANDUM OPINION, NINTH CIRCUIT* (JUNE 7, 2022)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GARY FRISBY, PKA G-MONEY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, DBA RCA Records, a Delaware General Partnership; ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

and

CORTEZ BRYANT, an Individual; ET AL.,

Defendants.

Nos. 21-55586, 21-55587

D.C. Nos. 2:19-cv-01712-GW-AGR, 2:19-cv-04167-GW-AGR

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California George H. Wu, District Judge, Presiding



^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

App.2a

Submitted May 19, 2022** Pasadena, California

Before: OWENS and BRESS, Circuit Judges, and FITZWATER,*** District Judge.

Plaintiff-Appellant Gary Frisby ("Frisby") appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment to Defendants on his sound recording and musical composition copyright infringement claims based on his beat track, *Shawty So Cold* ("*Shawty*"). He also appeals the denial of his motion for reconsideration under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 59(e) and 60(b) of the judgment dismissing his sound recording copyright infringement claim. Frisby alleges that Defendants-Appellees Sony Music Entertainment, Bryson Tiller, and Michael Hernandez are liable for copyright infringement based on their involvement with the platinum-selling hip-hop song, *Exchange*, which Frisby maintains copies key parts of *Shawty*.

Frisby asserted these claims in two separate lawsuits. The first—based on his sound recording copyright infringement claim—was docketed in the district court as Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-01712-GW-AGR (the "1712 Action"). The second—filed later and based on his musical composition copyright infringement claim was docketed in the district court as Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-04167-GW-AGR (the "4167 Action"). We have



^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

^{***} The Honorable Sidney A. Fitzwater, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Texas, sitting by designation.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

