22 23 1100

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES GINAL

PALANI KARUPAIYAN; P. P.; R. P.

--Petitioners

WOODBRIDGE TOWNSHIP OF NJ;

STATE OF NEW JERSEÝ;

UNITED STATES:

UNION OF INDIA;

OFFICER GANDHI, 5038 individually and in his official capacity as Parking enforcement officer of Woodbridge;

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF WOODBRIDGE

-- Respondents

FILED

MAY 05 2023

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the <u>Third Circuit</u>

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Palani Karupaiyan. Pro se, Petitioner, 1326 W William St, Philadelphia, PA 19132 212-470-2048(M)



I. QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Petitioner's prayed reliefs were

- National importance of having the US Supreme Court decide or conflict with USSC ruling, or importance of similarly situated over millions of citizens or the first impression is raised at USSC.
- ii) Petitioners' property rights under 42 U.S.C §
 1982 and Hindu Successive Act were denied
 when USSC ruled in
 Sullivan v. Little Hunting Park, Inc., 396 US
 229 Supreme Court 1969 @237
 "Section 1982 [42 U.S.C § 1982] covers the right
 "to inherit, purchase, lease, sell, hold, and
 convey real and personal property."
- iii) Local Govts/Foreign Govt violating, Parents rights (14th amendment) which were ruled by USSC under <u>Troxel v. Granville</u>, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) and <u>Washington v. Glucksberg</u>, 521 U.S. 702, 720.
- iv) Local Govts <u>illegally taken away</u> Petitioners property without Jury trial.
- v) Local Govts <u>illegally issued arrest warrant</u> without Jury trial.

Petitioner's prayed over 10 reliefs were as Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition or alternative so the questions were part of three test condition requirement of the Writs.



II. PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

- i) PALANI KARUPAIYAN; P. P.; R. P., are petitioners
- ii) WOODBRIDGE TOWNSHIP OF NJ; STATE OF NEW JERSEY; UNITED STATES; UNION OF INDIA; OFFICER GANDHI, 5038 individually and in his official capacity as Parking enforcement officer of Woodbridge; POLICE DEPARTMENT OF WOODBRIDGE are respondents.



III. TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Questions Presented	i
II. Parties to the Proceeding	
III. Table of Contents	iii
IV. Table of Authorities	v i
V. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari	1
VI. Opinion(s)/orders/Judgment(s) BELOW (from	
Dist Court and USCA3)	1
VII. Jurisdiction	1
VIII. Constitutional and Statutory Provisions	
Involved	
IX. Statement of the Case	4
a) Dist Court Proceeding	4
c) Dist Court analyze and ruling	12
d) USCA proceeding	13
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)XI. Petitioner's Parenting rights	. 13 . 13
 X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) XI. Petitioner's Parenting rights XII. Petitioner prayed declarative/injunctive reliefs 	. 13 . 13 . in
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13 . 13 . in
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13 . 13 . in . 13
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13 . 13 . in . 13
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13 . 13 . in . 13
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13 . 13 . 13 . 15
 X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 18 in . 18 . 18
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 13 . 13 . 13 . 15 . 15 16
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 18 . 13 . 18 . 15 . 15
X. All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	. 18 . 13 . 18 . 15 . 16 . 16

X	IVIII. Reasons for Grating the Writs18
a)	Parental rights against US and NJ
b)	Against INDIA for parental and inheritance /property rights .24 3) Order to Union of India that US citizen kids should not be hold in India, and US citizen Kids need to return to US for their education, vacations, and holidays, parental rights and properly kids Ancestral inheritance property(s)/wealth need to transfer to the kids in USA 24
c)	Against lower courts
d)	Writs against Woodbridge
e)	Additional prayers36



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

