In the Supreme Court of the United States

THE ANDY WARHOL FOUNDATION FOR THE VISUAL ARTS, INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

Lynn Goldsmith and Lynn Goldsmith, Ltd., Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ANDREW GASS JOSEPH R. WETZEL LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 391-0600

SAMIR DEGER-SEN LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 1271 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 (212) 906-1200 ROMAN MARTINEZ

Counsel of Record

SARANG VIJAY DAMLE

ELANA NIGHTINGALE DAWSON

CHERISH A. DRAIN

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

555 11th Street, NW

Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20004

(202) 637-3377

roman.martinez@lw.com

Counsel for Petitioner



QUESTION PRESENTED

This Court has repeatedly made clear that a work of art is "transformative" for purposes of fair use under the Copyright Act if it conveys a different "meaning or message" from its source material. Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc., 510 U.S. 569, 579 (1994); Google LLC v. Oracle Am., Inc., 141 S. Ct. 1183, 1202 (2021). In the decision below, the Second Circuit nonetheless held that a court is in fact forbidden from trying to "ascertain the intent behind or meaning of the works at issue." App. 22a-23a. Instead, the court concluded that even where a new work indisputably conveys a distinct meaning or message, the work is not transformative if it "recognizably deriv[es] from, and retain[s] the essential elements of, its source material." Id. at 24a. The question presented is:

Whether a work of art is "transformative" when it conveys a different meaning or message from its source material (as this Court, the Ninth Circuit, and other courts of appeals have held), or whether a court is forbidden from considering the meaning of the accused work where it "recognizably deriv[es] from" its source material (as the Second Circuit has held).

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS BELOW

Petitioner The Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. was a plaintiff-counter-defendant-appellee in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Respondents Lynn Goldsmith and Lynn Goldsmith, Ltd. were defendants-counter-plaintiffs-appellants in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

RULE 29.6 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Petitioner The Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10 percent or more of its stock.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS

The proceedings directly related to this case are:

Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. v. Goldsmith, No. 19-2420, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Amended judgment entered on August 24, 2021. Petition for rehearing en banc denied on September 10, 2021.

Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. v. Goldsmith, No. 1:7-cv-02532-JGK, U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Judgment entered July 15, 2019. Notice of appeal filed August 7, 2019.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS BELOW	ii
RULE 29.6 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	ii
RELATED PROCEEDINGS	ii
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	1
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	1
INTRODUCTION	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
A. Legal Background	4
B. Fair Use And Warhol's Transformativ Works Of Art	
C. The Proceedings In This Case	9
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	16
I. The Second Circuit's Transformativenes Test Conflicts With <i>Campbell</i> And <i>Google</i>	
II. The Second Circuit's Holding Creates A Circuit Split And Implicates Deepe Confusion Over The Transformative Us Test	er se
III. The Second Circuit's Holding Is Unworkabl And Will Inflict Serious Harm On Artisti Expression	ic
CONCLUSION	30



TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page

APPENDIX

Opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. v. Goldsmith, 11 F.4th 26 (2d Cir. 2021)	1a
Opinion and Order of the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York, Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. v. Goldsmith, 382 F. Supp. 3d 312 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)	53a
Order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit denying Petition for Rehearing, Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc. v. Goldsmith, No. 19- 2420 (2d Cir. Sept. 10, 2021), ECF No. 294	84a
17 U.S.C. § 107	86a
17 U.S.C. § 109(a), (c)	87a
17 H S C	899



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

