

No. 20-1499

---

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, PETITIONER

*v.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

---

*ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE  
COURT OF REVIEW*

---

**BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES IN OPPOSITION**

---

BRIAN H. FLETCHER  
*Acting Solicitor General  
Counsel of Record*

MARK J. LESKO  
*Acting Assistant Attorney  
General*

JEFFREY M. SMITH  
*Attorney  
Department of Justice  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001  
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov  
(202) 514-2217*

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1254(1) or 50 U.S.C. 1803(b) to issue a writ of certiorari to review the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review's decision in this matter.

2. Whether this Court should issue a writ of mandamus or common-law certiorari to review the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review's determination that it lacked jurisdiction to entertain petitioner's claim of public access to classified opinions issued by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

(1)

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Opinions below .....	1
Jurisdiction.....	1
Statement .....	2
A. Legal background.....	2
B. The present controversy.....	7
Argument.....	10
A. This Court lacks jurisdiction to issue a statutory writ of certiorari in this case.....	12
B. The petition does not satisfy the requirements for extraordinary relief under the All Writs Act.....	14
1. An extraordinary writ would not be in aid of this Court’s appellate jurisdiction .....	15
2. No extraordinary circumstances would warrant the exercise of discretionary jurisdiction by this Court .....	17
3. Alternative avenues exist for petitioner to obtain adequate relief .....	20
4. The FISA Court of Review correctly declined to exercise jurisdiction over petitioner’s appeal ..	22
C. Even if this Court and the lower courts had jurisdiction, petitioner’s First Amendment claim would not warrant this Court’s review .....	27
Conclusion .....	32

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Cases:

<i>Accuracy Concerns Regarding FBI Matters Submitted to the FISC, In re</i> , 411 F. Supp. 3d 333 (FISA Ct. Dec. 17, 2019) .....	22
<i>Alterra Healthcare Corp., In re</i> , 353 B.R. 66 (Bankr. D. Del. 2006).....	25
<i>Ankenbrandt v. Richards</i> , 504 U.S. 689 (1992) .....	24

(III)

IV

Cases—Continued:	Page
<i>Application of New York Times Co. To Unseal Wiretap &amp; Search Warrant Materials, In re</i> , 577 F.3d 401 (2d Cir. 2009) .....	30
<i>Bennett Funding Grp., Inc., In re</i> , 226 B.R. 331 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1998).....	25
<i>Cary v. Curtis</i> , 44 U.S. (3 How.) 236 (1845) .....	24
<i>Cheney v. United States Dist. Ct.</i> , 542 U.S. 367 (2004).....	15, 20, 22
<i>CIA v. Sims</i> , 471 U.S. 159 (1985) .....	31
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l</i> , 568 U.S. 398 (2013) .....	2, 4, 22
<i>Department of the Navy v. Egan</i> , 484 U.S. 518 (1988).....	31
<i>Electronic Frontier Found. v. United States Dep't of Justice</i> , 376 F. Supp. 3d 1023 (N.D. Cal. 2019).....	20, 21
<i>El Vocero v. Puerto Rico</i> , 508 U.S. 147 (1993).....	29
<i>Fahey, Ex parte</i> , 332 U.S. 258 (1947) .....	18
<i>Flynt v. Lombardi</i> , 782 F.3d 963 (8th Cir. 2015).....	25
<i>Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Ct.</i> , 457 U.S. 596 (1982).....	28, 29
<i>Hohn v. United States</i> , 524 U.S. 236 (1998).....	12, 16
<i>Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.</i> , 511 U.S. 375 (1994).....	25
<i>Kontrick v. Ryan</i> , 540 U.S. 443 (2004) .....	24
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803).....	17
<i>Milner v. Department of the Navy</i> , 562 U.S. 562 (2011).....	20
<i>Motion for Release of Court Records, In re</i> , 526 F. Supp. 2d 484 (FISA Ct. 2007) .....	29, 30
<i>Motions of Dow Jones &amp; Co., In re</i> , 142 F.3d 496 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 820 (1998) .....	29
<i>Nixon v. Fitzgerald</i> , 457 U.S. 731 (1982) .....	16, 28

Cases—Continued:	Page
<i>Opinions and Orders by the FISC Addressing Bulk Collection of Data Under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, In re</i> , 957 F.3d 1344 (FISA Ct. Rev. 2020).....	8, 9, 22, 26, 27
<i>Proceedings Required by § 702(i) of FISA Amendments Act of 2008, In re</i> , Misc. No. 08–01, 2008 WL 9487946 (FISA Ct. Aug. 27, 2008).....	22
<i>Parker Drilling Mgmt. Servs., Ltd. v. Newton</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1881 (2019) .....	13
<i>Peacock v. Thomas</i> , 516 U.S. 349 (1996) .....	26
<i>Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Ct.</i> , 478 U.S. 1 (1986).....	28, 29, 30, 31
<i>Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass’n</i> , 319 U.S. 21 (1943)...	15, 16
<i>Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Env’t</i> , 523 U.S. 83 (1998).....	27
<i>Symington, In re</i> , 209 B.R. 678 (Bankr. D. Md. 1997) .....	26
<i>Times Mirror Co. v. United States</i> , 873 F.2d 1210 (9th Cir. 1989).....	30
<i>United States Dep’t of Justice v. Tax Analysts</i> , 492 U.S. 136 (1989).....	20
<i>United States v. Cavanagh</i> , 807 F.2d 787 (9th Cir. 1987).....	2
<i>United States v. Denedo</i> , 556 U.S. 904 (2009).....	15
<i>United States v. Dickinson</i> , 213 U.S. 92 (1909).....	15, 23
<i>United States v. El-Sayegh</i> , 131 F.3d 158 (D.C. Cir. 1997) .....	30
<i>Will v. United States</i> , 389 U.S. 90 (1967).....	18
<i>Zivotofsky v. Clinton</i> , 556 U.S. 189 (2012).....	27

Constitution, statutes, and rules:

U.S. Const.:

Art. III, § 2.....	17
--------------------	----

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.