

No. 19-8289

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
APR 07 2020
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOSÉ YEYILLE —PETITIONER

vs.

CECILIA ALTONAGA, WALTER HARVEY, AND ALBERTO
CARVALHO—RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

José Yeyille

5505 SW 135th Court

Miami, Florida 33175

786-201-6142

RECEIVED
APR 14 2020

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court appropriately resolved genuine issues of disputed facts; correctly applied legal conclusions; and provided any statement explaining its dismissal of the Complaint that would facilitate any remotely “intelligent appellate review””. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 34 (1992).
2. Whether the summary disposition by the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeal of Petitioner’s Permission to Appeal In Forma Pauperis and Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) (5) is justified under *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438 (1962), *Cruz v. Hauck*, 404 U.S. 59 (1971), and *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319 (1989).
3. Whether district court judge Beth Bloom violated Petitioner’s Equal Protection rights protected by the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.
4. Whether district court judge Beth Bloom violated Petitioner’s right to a Jury Trial protected by the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

LIST OF PARTIES

[X] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

RELATED CASES

José Yeyille v. Cecilia M. Altonaga, Walter Harvey, Alberto Carvalho,
19-14835 (2020) (Motion for Leave to Proceed on Appeal
in Forma Pauperis DENIED without opinion)

José Yeyille v. Cecilia Altonaga, Walter Harvey, and Alberto Carvalho
19-cv-24869[Document 10](2019)
(Order Denying Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis on Appeal)

José Yeyille v. Cecilia Altonaga, Walter Harvey, and Alberto Carvalho
19-cv-24869[Document 7](2019)
Order on Motion Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.(60)(b)(6)

José Yeyille v. Cecilia Altonaga, Walter Harvey, and Alberto Carvalho
19-cv-24869 [Document 4](2019)
Order Dismissing Case and Denying as moot his Motion for Leave to Proceed
In Forma Pauperis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
REASONS FOR GRANTING BRIEF.....	11
CONCLUSION.....	24

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A (José Yeyille v. Cecilia M. Altonaga, Walter Harvey, Alberto Carvalho, 19-14835 [February 26th, 2020](2020))

APPENDIX B (José Yeyille v. Cecilia Altonaga, Walter Harvey, and Alberto Carvalho, 19-cv-24869[Document 10](2019))(December 5, 2019)(**ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL**)

APPENDIX C (José Yeyille v. Cecilia Altonaga, Walter Harvey, and Alberto Carvalho, 19-cv-24869[Document 7](November 26, 2019))(**ORDER ON MOTION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P 60(b)(6)**)

APPENDIX D (José Yeyille v. Cecilia Altonaga, Walter Harvey, and Alberto Carvalho, 19-cv-24869[Document 4](November 26, 2019))(ORDER DISMISSING CASE AND DENYING AS MOOT MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
<i>Ackerman v. United States</i> , 340 U.S. 193 (1950).....	19,21
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009).....	8,17
<i>Barron ex rel. Tiernan v. Mayor of Baltimore</i> , 32 U.S. 243 (1833).....	18
<i>Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents</i> , 403 U.S. 388 (1971).....	2,16,20
<i>Bolling v. Sharpe</i> , 347 U.S. 497 (1954).....	18
<i>City of Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes at Monterey, Ltd., et al.</i> , 526 U.S. 687 (1999).....	20
<i>Coppedge v. United States</i> , 369 U.S. 438 (1962).....	10,11,22,24
<i>Cruz v. Hauck</i> , 404 U.S. 59 (1971).....	11,15,18,22,24
<i>Curtis v. Loether</i> , 415 U.S. 189 (1974).....	20
<i>Cutter v. Wilkinson</i> , 544 U.S. 709 (2005).....	12,23
<i>Dennis v. Sparks</i> , 449 U.S. 24 (1980).....	15,16,17
<i>Denton v. Hernandez</i> , 504 U.S. 25 (1992).....	7,11,12,15
<i>Dimick v. Schiedt</i> , 293 U.S. 474 (1935).....	21
<i>F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd. V. Empagran, S.A.</i> , 542 U.S. 155 (2004)....	12,23
<i>Firestone Financial Corp. v. Meyer</i> , 796 F.3d 822 (7 th Cir. 2015).....	14
<i>Gallop v. Cheney</i> , 642 F.3d 364 (2d Cir. 2011).....	7,14,21
<i>Jefferson County v. Acker</i> , 92 F.3d 1561 (11 th Cir. 1996).....	18
<i>Klapprott v. United States</i> , 335 U.S. 601 (1949).....	19,21
<i>Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.</i> , 486 US 847 (1988).....	19,22
<i>Louie M. Schexnayder, Jr. v. Darrel Vannoy Warden</i> , 589 U.S. ____ (2019),No.18-8341 [Dec. 9, 2019] (The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied).....	23
<i>Napier v. Preslicka</i> , 314 F.3d 528 (11 th Cir. 2002).....	11,12
<i>Neitzke v. Williams</i> , 490 U.S. 319 (1989).....	8,10,11,12,17
<i>Retirement Plans Committee of IBM, et al. v. Larry W. Jander, et al.</i> , 589 U.S. ____ (2019), No.18-1165 [January 14, 2020].....	12,23
<i>Romer v. Evans</i> , 517 U.S. 620 (1996).....	18
<i>Stump v. Sparkman</i> , 435 U.S. 349 (1978).....	8,16
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	8
<i>United States v. New Mexico</i> , 455 U.S. 720, 102 S. Ct. 1373(1982).....	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.