In The Supreme Court of the United States

ANNE BLOCK,

Petitioner,

v.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Respondents.

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit

KING COUNTY'S AND DEPUTY COBLANTZ'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GEOFFREY M. GRINDELAND* NICOLE C. CARSLEY SEAMARK LAW GROUP PLLC 400 Winslow Way E, Ste 230 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 (206) 502-2511 geoff@seamarklaw.com nikki@seamarklaw.com *Counsel of Record

Counsel for Respondents King County and Deputy Coblantz

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964 WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether judges who are members of a state bar association may hear a case against the bar association, because mere membership in the bar association is not the type of interest that would reasonably call into question the judges' impartiality.
- 2. Whether Ms. Block's retaliation claim against King County and Deputy Coblantz was properly dismissed, because she failed to plead sufficient facts to state a plausible claim against them.
- 3. Whether Ms. Block's petition for a writ of certiorari is untimely, because it was neither dated nor served until two months after the 90-day deadline.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Questions Presented	i
Table of Contents	ii
Table of Authorities	iii
Introduction	1
Decisions Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Statement of the Case	2
The Petition Should Be Denied	3
I. Membership in a bar association does not require judges to recuse themselves from hearing a case involving the bar association, because mere membership does not reasonably call into question the judges' impartiality	.
II. Ms. Block's retaliation claim against King County and Deputy Coblantz was properly dismissed, because she failed to plead suffi- cient facts to state a plausible claim against them	, ,
III. Ms. Block's petition for a writ of certiorari is untimely, because it was neither dated nor served until nearly two months after the 90-day deadline	•
Conclusion	Q



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Cases	
Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	6
Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	6
Denardo v. Anchorage, 974 F.2d 1200 (9th Cir. 1992)	4
Hu v. American Bar Ass'n, 334 Fed. Appx. 17 (7th Cir. 2009)	4
Lawrence v. Chabot, 182 Fed. Appx. 442 (6th Cir. 2006)	4
N.L.R.B. v. Pittsburgh S.S. Co., 340 U.S. 498 (1951)	7
Plechner v. Widener College, Inc., 569 F.2d 1250 (3d Cir. 1977)	4
Riss v. Angel, 934 P.2d 669 (Wash. 1997)	5
United States v. Johnston, 268 U.S. 220 (1925)	3
STATUTES	
28 U.S.C. § 1254(1)	2
28 U.S.C. § 455(a)	4
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)	3
Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)	5



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES - Continued

	Page
Sup. Ct. R. 10	3
Sup. Ct. R. 12.3	7
Sun Ct. R. 13	7



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

