

Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, 19-1458

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner,

– v. –

ARTHREX, INC., *et al.*,

Respondents.

(Caption Continued on Reverse Side of Cover)

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

**BRIEF *AMICUS CURIAE* FOR
FAIR INVENTING FUND
IN SUPPORT OF ARTHREX, INC.**

MATHEW B. TULLY
Counsel of Record
MICHAEL W. MACOMBER
ALLEN A. SHOIKHETBROD
CHERI L. CANNON
MICHAEL C. FALLINGS
GREGORY J. MELUS
JOHN GNIADEK
PHILIP B. BANASZEK
Counsel for Fair Inventing Fund
TULLY RINCKEY PLLC
2001 L. St., NW, Suite 902
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 787-1900
MTully@tullylegal.com

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., *et al.*,

Petitioners,

– v. –

ARTHREX, INC., *et al.*,

Respondents.

ARTHREX, INC.,

Petitioner,

– v. –

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., *et al.*,

Respondents.

(i)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	3
ARGUMENT	4
I. SUBSTANTIAL DISPARITIES EXIST IN THE PATENTS GRANTED TO MINORITY INVENTORS	4
A. Significant Gaps Persist In The Number African-American, Hispanic, And Female Inventors	5
B. Congress Sought To Promote Innovation For Minority Small Inventors ..	8
II. CONGRESS INTENDED TO PROTECT SMALL INVENTORS DURING THE POST-GRANT REVIEW PROCEEDINGS.....	10

(ii)

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTINUED

	Page(s)
III.MAINTAINING LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF THE APJS PROTECTS SMALL INVENTORS FROM UNFAIR REVIEW.....	16
A. The Court Should Affirm That APJs Are Principal Officers Because Of The Lack Of Review By A Principal Officer.....	16
B. Severing The Civil Service Protections Of APJs Is Unconstitutional And Increases Political Pressure	18
C. Judicial Independence Is Crucial To Protect The Interests Of Small Inventors...	22
CONCLUSION	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES:	
<i>Alaska Airlines, Inc. v. Brock</i> , 480 U.S. 678 (1987).....	20
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	17, 19, 21
<i>Ass’n of Am. R.Rs. v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.</i> , 821 F.3d 19 (D.C. Cir. 2016).....	18
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714 (1986).....	20
<i>Dep’t of Trans. v. Ass’n of Am. R.Rs.</i> , 575 U.S. 43 (2015).....	18
<i>Edmond v. United States</i> , 520 U.S. 651 (1997).....	16, 17, 20
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	18
<i>Freytag v. Commissioner</i> , 501 U.S. 868 (1991).....	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.