

Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, 19-1458

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

UNITED STATES,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

ARTHREX, INC., *et al.*,  
*Respondents.*

---

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., *ET AL.*,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

ARTHREX, INC., *ET AL.*,  
*Respondents.*

---

ARTHREX, INC.,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., *ET AL.*,  
*Respondents.*

---

**On Writs of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY  
FOUNDATION AND TECHFREEDOM IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITIONER IN NO. 19-1458**

---

MICHAEL PEPSON  
*Counsel of Record*  
CYNTHIA FLEMING CRAWFORD  
AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY FOUNDATION  
1310 N. Courthouse Road, Ste. 700  
Arlington, VA 22201  
(571) 329-4529  
mpepson@afphq.org  
*Counsel for Amicus Curiae*

December 30, 2020

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Table of Authorities.....ii

Brief of *Amici Curiae* in Support of Petitioners .....1

Interest of *Amici Curiae*.....1

Summary of Argument.....3

Argument.....6

I. This Court Should Vacate and Set Aside the Board’s Decision.....6

    A. The Blue-Pencil Remedy Exceeds the Judicial Power Under Article III.....6

    B. The Separation of Powers Requires Meaningful Relief.....9

    C. Federal Courts May Not Arrogate to Themselves Congress’s Prerogative (and Duty) to Make Public Policy Decisions .....12

II. Judicial Removal of APJ Independence Would Create Due Process Problems .....18

III. This Court Should Reject Parade-of-Horribles Arguments Against Providing Arthrex Complete Relief .....24

Conclusion.....27

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Barnhart v. Sigmon Coal Co.</i> , 534 U.S. 438 (2002).....	16
<i>Barr v. Am. Ass’n of Political Consultants</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020).....	8, 10, 12
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i> , 424 U.S. 1 (1976).....	9
<i>Caperton v. A. T. Massey Coal Co.</i> , 556 U.S. 868 (2009).....	22
<i>Collins v. Mnuchin</i> , 938 F.3d 553 (5th Cir. 2019).....	8, 10
<i>Commodity Futures Trading Comm’n v. Schor</i> , 478 U.S. 833 (1986).....	23, 24
<i>DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno</i> , 547 U.S. 332 (2006).....	8
<i>Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. Covidien</i> , 812 F.3d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	21
<i>Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	18

<i>Henson v. Santander Consumer USA</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1718 (2017).....	14, 15
<i>Humphrey's Ex'r v. United States</i> , 295 U.S. 602 (1935).....	23
<i>In re Alappat</i> , 33 F.3d 1526 (Fed. Cir. 1994) .....	19
<i>In re Murchison</i> , 349 U.S. 133 (1955).....	21
<i>James B. Beam Distilling Co. v.</i> <i>Georgia</i> , 501 U.S. 529 (1991).....	10
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).....	11
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803) .....	4, 8, 11
<i>Murphy v. NCAA</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1461 (2018).....	8, 11
<i>Nichols v. United States</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1113 (2016).....	17
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad</i> <i>Ocean Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	20
<i>Noel Canning v. NLRB</i> , 705 F.3d 490 (D.C. Cir. 2013).....	11

<i>N. Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 U.S. 50 (1982)</i> .....	9
<i>Oil States Energy Servs. v. Greene’s Energy Grp., LLC, 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018)</i> .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>PHH Corp. v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 881 F.3d 75 (D.C. Cir. 2018)</i> .....	9
<i>Polaris Innovations Ltd. v. Kingston Tech. Co., 792 F. App’x 820 (Fed. Cir. 2020)</i> .....	13, 18
<i>Randall v. Sorrell, 548 U.S. 230 (2006)</i> .....	14
<i>Reno v. ACLU, 521 U.S. 844 (1997)</i> .....	8
<i>Ryder v. United States, 515 U.S. 177 (1995)</i> .....	12
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)</i> .....	14, 16
<i>Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 140 S. Ct. 2183 (2020)</i> .....	7, 9
<i>Synar v. United States, 626 F. Supp. 1374 (D.D.C. 1986)</i> .....	9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.