Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, 19-1458

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner;

v.

ARTHREX, INC., ET AL.,

Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

BRIEF FOR AMICUS CURIAE INTEL CORP. IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

DONALD B. VERRILLI, JR. Counsel of Record GINGER D. ANDERS MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 601 Massachusetts Avenue NW Suite 500 E Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 220-1100 donald.verrilli@mto.com

JORDAN D. SEGALL MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 S. Grand Ave., 50th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 683-9208

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Intel Corp.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKE.

Δ

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., ET AL.,

Respondents.

ARTHREX, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL.,

Respondents.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESiii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE 1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT
ARGUMENT 5
I. ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGES ARE INFERIOR OFFICERS
II. IF THIS COURT CONCLUDES THAT ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGES ARE IMPROPERLY APPOINTED, IT SHOULD SEVER THEIR REMOVAL PROTECTIONS
A. Severing the removal restrictions is consistent with this Court's severability doctrine and avoids disruption to the <i>inter</i> <i>partes</i> review system
B. Eliminating tenure protections will not undermine congressional intent because Congress has already elected to cabin the independence of administrative patent judges
III. THE OTHER REMEDIAL OPTIONS PROPOSED BY THE PARTIES THREATEN SUBSTANTIAL DISRUPTION TO THE <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW SYSTEM

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

A.	This Court should reject Arthrex's startling suggestion that the Court decline to adopt any remedy	
В.	Expanding the scope of the Director's authority undermines the benefits of the	
	PTAB's structure	
CONCLUSION		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 953 F.3d 760 (Fed. Cir. 2020)
Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of N. New England, 546 U.S. 320 (2006)
Barr v. Am. Ass'n of Political Consultants, Inc., 140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020)
Brown v. Dep't of the Navy, 229 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2000)13
Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)11
Drink Tanks Corp. v. GrowlerWerks, Inc., No. 3:16-cv-410-SI, 2016 WL 3844209 (D. Or. July 15, 2016)
Edmond v. United States, 520 U.S. 651 (1997)
Fed. Mar. Comm'n v. S.C. State Ports Auth., 535 U.S. 743 (2002)17
Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd., 561 U.S. 477 (2010)6, 8, 11, 19

Page(s)

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.