In the Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.,

Petitioner,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,

Respondents.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.

Petitioners,

V

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,

Respondents.

ARTHREX, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.,

Respondents

On Writs Of Certiorari To
The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit

BRIEF OF HIGH TECH INVENTORS ALLIANCE AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS IN NOS. 19-1434 AND 19-1452

JED W. GLICKSTEIN
Mayer Brown LLP
71 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL
(312) 782-0600
jglickstein@mayerbrown.com

Andrew J. Pincus Counsel of Record Mayer Brown LLP 1999 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

(202) 263-3000

apincus@mayerbrown.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page	9
IN'	TERES	ST OF AMICUS CURIAE1	
IN'		UCTION AND SUMMARY OF UMENT2	
AR	GUME	ENT4	
I.	Main	Partes Review Is Essential To taining A Properly Balanced Patent m4	
	A.	Erroneously-Granted Patents Obstruct Innovation By HTIA Members And Other Businesses6	
	В.	Judicial Assessment Of Patent Validity By Itself Is Inadequate For Weeding Out Unjustified Patents9	ı
	С.	Congress Crafted Inter Partes Review To Give The PTO An Effective Process For Correcting Its Erroneous Patent Grants12	
	D.	Inter Partes Review Has Proven Successful In Identifying And Invalidating Unjustified Patents16	
II.	Not, A	Appointments Clause Challenge Need And Should Not, Disrupt <i>Inter Partes</i> w18	
	A.	APJs Are Validly Appointed Inferior Officers18	



TABLE OF CONTENTS—continued

		Page
В.	Any Appointments Clause Violation Could Be Addressed By Severing APJ Tenure or Rehearing	
	Restrictions.	25
CONCLU	SION	31



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases In re Alappat, 33 F.3d 1526 (Fed. Cir. 1994)24, 25, 27 Barr v. Am. Ass'n of Political Consultants, Inc., 140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020)......25, 30 BlackLight Power, Inc. v. Rogan, 295 F.3d 1269 (Fed. Cir. 2002)......22 Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc., 135 S. Ct. 1920 (2015).....9 Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee, Edmond v. United States, 520 U.S. 651 (1997)......passim Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd., 561 U.S. 477 (2010)......29 Freytag v. Commissioner, 501 U.S. 868 (1991)......18 Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc., Harper v. Va. Dep't of Taxation, 509 U.S. 86 (1993)......29



iv

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—continued

Page(s)
Kimble v. Marvel Entm't, LLC, 576 U.S. 446 (2015)4
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 US 398 (2007)
Lucia v. SEC 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018)21, 22
Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd. P'ship, 564 U.S. 91 (2012)9
Moore v. United States, 40 App. D.C. 591 (D.C. Cir. 1913)25
Morrison v. Olson, 487 U.S. 654 (1988)23
N. Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 U.S. 50 (1982)29
Oil States Energy Servs., LLC v. Greene's Energy Grp., LLC, 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018)
Return Mail, Inc. v. United States Postal Svc., 139 S. Ct. 1853 (2019)14
SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

