

Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, 19-1458

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Petitioner*,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., *et al.*, *Respondents*.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., *et al.*, *Petitioners*,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., *et al.*, *Respondents*.

ARTHREX, INC., *Petitioner*,

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., *et al.*, *Respondents*.

ON WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

**BRIEF FOR ASKELADDEN L.L.C.
AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF
PETITIONERS IN NOS. 19-1434 & 19-1452**

GREGORY H. LANTIER
Counsel of Record
DAVID M. LEHN
ANDRES C. SALINAS
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 663-6000
gregory.lantier@wilmerhale.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE.....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	2
ARGUMENT.....	4
I. CONGRESS PROPERLY AUTHORIZED APJS To Adjudicate IPRs In Order To Address Pernicious Problems Arising From Dubious Patents	4
A. Congress Established The PTAB And IPR To Fix A Broken Patent System.....	4
B. IPR Proceedings Have Repeatedly Survived Constitutional Challenges In This Court And Lower Courts.....	8
II. APJS ARE INFERIOR OFFICERS WHO MAY Be Constitutionally Appointed By The Secretary Of Commerce	11
A. The Secretary And PTO Director Are Empowered To Supervise APJs To Ensure Adherence To Their Policies And Consistent Review Of Patentability	11
B. This Court's Precedent Establishes That APJs Are Inferior Officers.....	16
C. The Federal Circuit's Decision Has Disrupted The Effective Regime That Congress Established.....	19
CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
<i>Avalos v. Department of Housing & Urban Development</i> , 963 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	13
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010).....	5
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714 (1986).....	17
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i> , 424 U.S. 1 (1976)	17
<i>Celgene Corp. v. Peter</i> , 931 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	10
<i>Collabo Innovations, Inc. v. Sony Corp.</i> , 778 F. App'x 954 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	10
<i>Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	9
<i>Denver & Rio Grande Western Railroad Co. v. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen</i> , 387 U.S. 556 (1967)	10
<i>Edmond v. United States</i> , 520 U.S. 651 (1997)	3, 11-13, 17
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010)	18
<i>Freytag v. Commissioner</i> , 501 U.S. 868 (1991).....	18
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	9
<i>Granfinanciera, S.A. v. Nordberg</i> , 492 U.S. 33 (1989)	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page(s)
<i>In re Boloro Global Ltd.</i> , 963 F.3d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	19
<i>In re Hennen</i> , 38 U.S. 230 (1839).....	18
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018)	17-18
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd. Partnership</i> , 564 U.S. 91 (2011)	7
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i> , 487 U.S. 654 (1988)	13, 18
<i>Motion Picture Patents Co. v. Universal Film Manufacturing Co.</i> , 243 U.S. 502 (1917)	5
<i>Myers v. United States</i> , 272 U.S. 52 (1926).....	17-18
<i>Oil States Energy Services, LLC v. Greene's Energy Group, LLC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018)	6-7, 9, 13-15
<i>Pope Manufacturing Co. v. Gormully</i> , 144 U.S. 224 (1892)	5
<i>Return Mail, Inc. v. U.S. Postal Service</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1853 (2019).....	6-7
<i>State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc.</i> , 149 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	5
<i>Thryv, Inc v. Click-To-Call Technologies, LP</i> , 140 S. Ct. 1367 (2020)	6
<i>Virnetx Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , 958 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	19

DOCKETED CASES

<i>Celgene Corp. v. Peter</i> , No. 19-1074 (U.S.)	10
--	----

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page(s)
<i>Collabo Innovations, Inc. v. Sony Corp.</i> , No. 19-601 (U.S.).....	10
<i>Enzo Life Sciences v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , No. 19-1097 (U.S.).....	10
CONSTITUTIONAL, STATUTORY, AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
U.S. Const. art. II, § 2, cl. 2.....	11
5 U.S.C. § 7513	4, 13
35 U.S.C.	
§ 1.....	12
§ 2.....	14
§ 3.....	13-14
§ 6.....	7, 9, 13-15
§ 143.....	7
§ 282.....	7
§ 311.....	6
§ 314.....	6, 15
§ 316.....	7, 14
§ 318.....	7
§ 319.....	7
§ 326.....	14
Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)	2, 9
37 C.F.R. pt. 42, subpts. A, B	14
LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS	
H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, pt. 1 (2011)	4-6, 8, 16
157 Cong. Rec. S130 (daily ed. Jan 25, 2011)	3, 8

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.