

Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, 19-1458

---

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

UNITED STATES,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,  
*Respondents.*

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,  
*Respondents.*

ARTHREX, INC.,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.,  
*Respondents.*

**On Writs of Certiorari to the United States  
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit**

**BRIEF OF PROFESSOR JOHN HARRISON AS  
AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF NEITHER PARTY**

JOHN HARRISON  
*Counsel of Record*  
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA  
SCHOOL OF LAW  
*580 Massie Road*  
*Charlottesville, VA 22903*  
*(434) 924-3093*  
*jh8m@law.virginia.edu*

December 1, 2020

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... iv

INTEREST OF *AMICUS CURIAE* ..... 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT..... 1

ARGUMENT ..... 4

    I.    The Court of Appeals Relied on the  
          Mistaken Assumption that Courts Give  
          Remedies that Change the Content of  
          Statutory Law..... 4

        A.  The Court of Appeals Decided the Case  
            on the Assumption that Its Decision  
            Changed the Content of the Statutory  
            Law, Transforming a Principal Office  
            into an Inferior Office..... 4

        B.  Invalidation, Severance of  
            Unconstitutional Statutory Provisions,  
            and the Activation of Statutory  
            Fallback Systems, Are Brought About  
            by the Constitution and the Statutes  
            Involved, and Are Not Remedies by  
            Which Courts Change the Content of  
            the Law ..... 5

        C.  The Possibility that Lower Courts May  
            Resolve the Same Question Differently  
            Demonstrates that Courts Do Not Give  
            Remedies that Change the Content of  
            Statutory Law, But Rather Set  
            Precedents, the Scope of Which is  
            Limited for Courts Other than this  
            Court..... 9

II. Because Invalidity Arises, and Statutory  
Fallbacks Are Effective, Ab Initio, If the  
Court of Appeals Was Correct on the  
Constitutional and Fallback Issues, the  
Appointments of APJs at Issue In this Case  
Were Valid When Made and Did Not  
Become Valid Only After the Lower Court’s  
Decision ..... 14

CONCLUSION..... 18

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Alaska Airlines, Inc. v. Brock</i> , 480 U.S. 678 (1987).....	16
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith &amp; Nephew, Inc.</i> , 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	4, 5, 7, 15
<i>Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of N. New England</i> , 546 U.S. 320 (2006).....	8
<i>Barr v. Am. Ass’n of Pol. Consultants, Inc.</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020).....	6, 16
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714 (1986).....	7, 17
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Accounting Oversight Bd.</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	12
<i>Intercollegiate Broad. Sys., Inc. v. Copyright Royalty Bd.</i> , 684 F. 3d 1332 (D.C. Cir. 2012).....	11, 12
<i>Leavitt v. Jane L.</i> , 518 U.S. 137 (1996).....	8
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).....	5
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803).....	6
<i>Myers v. United States</i> , 272 U.S. 52 (1926).....	15, 16
<i>United States v. Booker</i> , 543 U.S. 220 (2005).....	8

*United States v. Davis*,  
139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019)..... 6, 7

**CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION**

U.S. Const. art. II, § 2, cl. 2 ..... 7

**STATUTES**

5 U.S.C. § 703..... 10  
5 U.S.C. § 7513(a) ..... 9, 11, 13, 15  
17 U.S.C. §§ 801-02..... 11  
17 U.S.C. § 802(i) ..... 12  
28 U.S.C. § 1295..... 10, 12  
28 U.S.C. § 1331..... 10  
28 U.S.C. § 1491(a) ..... 12  
28 U.S.C. § 2201..... 10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.