

Nos. 19-1434; 19-1452; 19-1458

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
UNITED STATES,

Petitioner,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,

—◆—
SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.,

—◆—
ARTHREX, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., et al.

—◆—
**On Writs Of Certiorari To The
United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE PROFESSOR
ANDREW MICHAELS SUPPORTING NO PARTY**

—◆—
ANDREW C. MICHAELS
UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON LAW CENTER
4604 Calhoun Road
Houston, TX 77204
(713) 743-6919
acmichaels@uh.edu

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
STATEMENT OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
ARGUMENT	5
I. The Federal Circuit Failed To Properly Apply Its Decision Retroactively, Causing Significant Delay And Waste	5
II. <i>Lucia</i> Does Not Support The Federal Circuit’s Remands Because Any Fix In <i>Lucia</i> Was Administrative Rather Than Judicial	12
III. Although Rare Prospective Judicial Making May Still Be Permissible Under <i>Chevron Oil</i> , That Doctrine Does Not Support The Federal Circuit’s Remands	19
IV. Other Circuit Courts Have Similarly Shown Confusion Surrounding Retroactivity Doctrine In Recent Cases	23
CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2019).....	6, 12, 17
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 953 F.3d 760 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 23, 2020)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Aurelius Inv., LLC v. Puerto Rico</i> , 915 F.3d 838 (1st Cir. 2019)	25, 26
<i>Bedgear, LLC v. Fredman Bros. Furniture Co.</i> , 783 Fed. Appx. 1029 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 7, 2019)	6
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i> , 424 U.S. 1 (1976)	26
<i>Chevron Oil Co. v. Huson</i> , 404 U.S. 97 (1971).....	19, 20, 21, 22
<i>Collins v. Mnuchin</i> , 938 F.3d 553 (5th Cir. 2019)	24
<i>DIRECTV, Inc. v. Imburgia</i> , 136 S. Ct. 463 (2015)	7
<i>e-Watch Inc. v. Avigilon Corp.</i> , 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 176807 (S.D. Tex. Dec. 17, 2013).....	11
<i>Ex parte Siebold</i> , 100 U.S. 371 (1880)	7
<i>FCC v. Fox TV Stations, Inc.</i> , 556 U.S. 502 (2008)	13
<i>FCC v. Fox TV Stations, Inc.</i> , 567 U.S. 239 (2012)	13
<i>Financial Oversight & Mgmt. Bd. For Puerto Rico v. Aurelius Investment, LLC</i> , 140 S. Ct. 1649 (2020)	26
<i>Flood v. Kuhn</i> , 407 U.S. 258 (1972)	15
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	10, 15, 16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>General Order in Cases Remanded Under Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> (PTAB May 1, 2020)	11
<i>Harper v. Va. Dep’t of Taxation</i> , 509 U.S. 86 (1993)	7, 8, 14, 15, 22
<i>Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. JP Morgan Chase & Co.</i> , 781 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	22
<i>Intercollegiate Broad. Sys. v. Copyright Royalty Bd.</i> , 684 F.3d 1332 (D.C. Cir. 2012)	24
<i>James B. Beam Distilling Co. v. Georgia</i> , 501 U.S. 529 (1991)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Kuhn v. Fairmont Coal Co.</i> , 215 U.S. 349 (1910)	19
<i>Linkletter v. Walker</i> , 381 U.S. 618 (1965)	19
<i>Lucia v. S.E.C.</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018)	12, 13, 15, 17, 18
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. 137 (1803)	7
<i>Mauget v. Kaiser Engineers, Inc.</i> , 546 F. Supp. 486 (S.D. Ohio 1982)	20
<i>Polaris v. Kingston</i> , Nos. 2018-1768, -1831	10
<i>Quicken Loans, Inc. v. Jolly</i> , 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 150634 (E.D. Mich. 2010)	20
<i>Reynoldsville Casket Co. v. Hyde</i> , 514 U.S. 749 (1995)	7, 8, 22
<i>Rivers v. Roadway Express, Inc.</i> , 511 U.S. 298 (1994)	5, 7
<i>Ryder v. United States</i> , 515 U.S. 177 (1995)	22, 26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. Complement Soft, LLC</i> , 825 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	22
<i>Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Fin. Prot. Bureau</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2183 (2020)	23, 27
<i>United States v. Booker</i> , 543 U.S. 220 (2005)	8, 17
<i>United States v. Security Industrial Bank</i> , 459 U.S. 70 (1982)	5
<i>Waite v. Santa Cruz</i> , 184 U.S. 302 (1902)	25
 CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS	
U.S. CONST. Art. II	<i>passim</i>
U.S. CONST. Art. III	7, 10
 STATUTES	
15 U.S.C. § 7211(e)(6)	16
15 U.S.C. § 7217(d)(3)	16
 RULES AND REGULATIONS	
Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, <i>Rat- ification of Bureau Actions</i> , 12 C.F.R. Chapter X (July 7, 2020)	23
 OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Andrew C. Michaels, <i>Retroactivity and Appoint- ments</i> , 52 LOY. UNIV. CHI. L. J. ____ (forthcom- ing 2021)	1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.