

Nos. 19-1434, 19-1452, and 19-1458

In the Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

v.

ARTHREX, INC., ET AL.

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT*

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES

| | |
|--|---|
| | JEFFREY B. WALL <i>Acting Solicitor General Counsel of Record</i> |
| | JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK <i>Acting Assistant Attorney General</i> |
| | MALCOLM L. STEWART <i>Deputy Solicitor General</i> |
| | SOPAN JOSHI <i>Senior Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General</i> |
| DAVID L. BERDAN <i>General Counsel</i> | JONATHAN Y. ELLIS <i>Assistant to the Solicitor General</i> |
| THOMAS W. KRAUSE <i>Solicitor</i> | SCOTT R. MCINTOSH MELISSA N. PATTERSON COURTNEY L. DIXON <i>Attorneys</i> |
| FARHEENA Y. RASHEED <i>Deputy Solicitor</i> | <i>Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530-0001 SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov (202) 514-2217</i> |
| MOLLY R. SILFEN DANIEL KAZHDAN <i>Associate Solicitors United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, Va. 22314</i> | |

(Additional Captions On Inside Cover)

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL., PETITIONERS

v.

ARTHREX, INC., ET AL.

ARTHREX, INC., PETITIONER

v.

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether, for purposes of the Appointments Clause, U.S. Const. Art. II, § 2, Cl. 2, administrative patent judges of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office are principal officers who must be appointed by the President with the Senate's advice and consent, or "inferior Officers" whose appointment Congress has permissibly vested in a department head.

2. Whether, if administrative patent judges are principal officers, the court of appeals properly cured any Appointments Clause defect in the current statutory scheme prospectively by severing the application of 5 U.S.C. 7513(a) to those judges.

(I)

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner is the United States of America, which intervened in the court of appeals pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2403(a).

Respondents are Arthrex, Inc., which was the appellant in the court of appeals; and Smith & Nephew, Inc. and Arthrocare Corp., which were the appellees in the court of appeals.

(II)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Opinions below 1

Jurisdiction 2

Constitutional and statutory provisions involved..... 3

Statement:

 A. Statutory background..... 3

 B. The present controversy..... 8

Summary of argument 13

Argument:

 Administrative patent judges are inferior officers
 whose appointment Congress has validly entrusted to
 the Secretary of Commerce..... 16

 A. Under the Appointments Clause, an officer whose
 work is subject to sufficient direction and
 supervision by Senate-confirmed officers is an
 inferior officer 17

 B. Administrative patent judges are inferior officers
 because their work is subject to significant direction
 and supervision by two different Senate-confirmed
 officers 25

 C. The Federal Circuit’s contrary conclusion is
 incorrect 33

 1. The court of appeals erred in its application of
 Edmond 33

 2. History provides no sound basis for classifying
 administrative patent judges as principal
 officers..... 41

Conclusion 45

(III)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.