In the Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

v

ARTHREX, INC., ET AL.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES

JEFFREY B. WALL
Acting Solicitor General
Counsel of Record

JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK
Acting Assistant Attorney
General

MALCOLM L. STEWART

MALCOLM L. STEWART
Deputy Solicitor General
SOPAN JOSHI
Senior Counsel to the

Assistant Attorney General Jonathan Y. Ellis

Assistant to the Solicitor General

SCOTT R. MCINTOSH MELISSA N. PATTERSON COURTNEY L. DIXON Attorneys

Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530-0001 SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov (202) 514-2217

David L. Berdan
General Counsel
Thomas W. Krause
Solicitor
Farheena Y. Rasheed
Deputy Solicitor
Molly R. Silfen
Daniel Kazhdan
Associate Solicitors
United States Patent and
Trademark Office
Alexandria, Va. 22314

(Additional Captions On Inside Cover)



SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL., PETITIONERS

ARTHREX, INC., ET AL.

ARTHREX, INC., PETITIONER

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., ET AL.



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether, for purposes of the Appointments Clause, U.S. Const. Art. II, § 2, Cl. 2, administrative patent judges of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office are principal officers who must be appointed by the President with the Senate's advice and consent, or "inferior Officers" whose appointment Congress has permissibly vested in a department head.
- 2. Whether, if administrative patent judges are principal officers, the court of appeals properly cured any Appointments Clause defect in the current statutory scheme prospectively by severing the application of 5 U.S.C. 7513(a) to those judges.



PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner is the United States of America, which intervened in the court of appeals pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2403(a).

Respondents are Arthrex, Inc., which was the appellant in the court of appeals; and Smith & Nephew, Inc. and Arthrocare Corp., which were the appellees in the court of appeals.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Opinio	ons below	1
	liction	
	itutional and statutory provisions involved	
Stater	V 1	
A.	Statutory background	3
	The present controversy	
	nary of argument	
Argur		
_	ministrative patent judges are inferior officers	
	ose appointment Congress has validly entrusted to	
	e Secretary of Commerce	16
	Under the Appointments Clause, an officer whose	
	work is subject to sufficient direction and	
	supervision by Senate-confirmed officers is an	
	inferior officer	17
В.	Administrative patent judges are inferior officers	
	because their work is subject to significant direction	n
	and supervision by two different Senate-confirmed	
	officers	25
С.	The Federal Circuit's contrary conclusion is	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33
	1. The court of appeals erred in its application of	
	Edmond	33
	2. History provides no sound basis for classifying	
	administrative patent judges as principal	
	officers	41
Conal		

(III)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

