In the

Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner,

v.

ARTHREX, INC., et al.

Respondents.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED,

Petitioner,

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC., et al.

Respondents.

ON PETITIONS FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF THE NEW YORK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF THE UNITED STATES' PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Colman Ragan

President

New York Intellectual

2125 Center Avenue,

Suite 406

Fort Lee, NJ 07024

MELVIN C. GARNER Counsel of Record

ROBERT M. ISACKSON

Property Law Association President Elect and Board Liaison, Amicus Briefs

Committee

LEASON ELLIS LLP One Barker Avenue

White Plains, NY 10601

(914) 288-0022

garner@leasonellis.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

(Additional Counsel Listed on Inside Cover)

July 29, 2020

297460



ROBERT J. RANDO
Second Vice President and
Board Liaison, PTAB
Committee
THE RANDO LAW FIRM P.C.
6800 Jericho Turnpike,
Suite 120W
Syosset, NY 11791
(516) 799-9800

KSENIA TAKHISTOVA Seven Ellison Avenue East Brunswick, NJ 08816

CHARLES E. MILLER LEICHTMAN LAW PLLC 228 East 45th Street, Suite 605 New York, NY 10017 (212) 419-5210

Counsel for Amicus Curiae



i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
TABLE OF CONTENTSi
TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES ii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE
II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT2
III. ARGUMENT
A. The Issues Raised by the United States Are the Subject of Substantial Debate and Should be Addressed by This Court5
B. The Important Issues Raised by This Case Require Prompt Resolution by this Court9
IV. CONCLUSION



TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES

Page
Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 953 F.3d 760 (Fed. Cir. 2020)
Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019) passim
Customedia Techs., LLC. v. DISH Network Corp., No. 19-1001 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 23, 2019)
Edmond v. United States, 520 U.S. 651 (1997)
Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd., 561 U.S. 477 (2010)
Freytag v. Commissioner, 501 U.S. 868 (1991)
In re Hennen, 38 U.S. 230 (1839)
Intercollegiate Broad. Sys. v. Copyright Royalty Bd., 684 F.3d 1332 (D.C. Cir. 2012)
Lucia v. SEC, 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018)
Morrison v. Olson, 487 U.S. 654 (1988)



iii

Cited Authorities

Page
Myers v. United States, 272 U.S. 51 (1926)
Polaris Innovations Ltd. v. Kingston Tech. Co., 792 Fed. Appx. 820 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 3, 7, 8
Steuben Foods, Inc. v. Nestle USA, Inc., No. 20-1082 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 18, 2019)10
Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Facebook, Inc., No. 18-2251 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2019)
Virtnetx Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc., No. 19-1671 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 18, 2019)
Statutes
5 U.S.C. §7513(a)
Constitution
U.S. Const., Art. II, § 2, cl. 2
Rules
Sup. Ct. R. 30.1
Sup. Ct. R. 37.2(a)
Sup. Ct. R. 37.6



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

