

No. 19-1244

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ANTHONY JOHNSON,

Applicant,

v.

STORIX, INC.,

Respondent.

On Application to Stay the Mandate of the
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

**APPLICATION FOR A STAY PENDING THE DISPOSITION OF A
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Anthony Johnson
Pro Se Petitioner
1728 Griffith Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89104
(619) 246-6549



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
ATTACHMENTS	iii
I. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
II. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE STAY	7
A. There Is a Reasonable Probability This Court Will Grant Certiorari and Reverse the Judgment Below	7
1. Johnson Raises an Important Constitutional Issues Warranting the Court's Review.....	7
2. There is a Fair Prospect the Court Will Reverse the Judgment.....	10
B. Enforcement of the Mandate Will Inflict Irreparable Injury.....	11
III. CONCLUSION.....	12
PROOF OF SERVICE	13
APPENDIX.....	1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Barrientos v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 633 F.3d 1186 (9th Cir. 2011) 9

Entm't Research Grp., Inc. v. Genesis Creative Grp., Inc., 122 F.3d 1211 (9th Cir. 1997) 8

Garaux v. Pulley, 739 F.2d 437 (9th Cir. 1984) 8

Hollinsworth v. Perry, 558 U.S. 183 (2010) 7

Johnson v. STORIX, INC., 9th Cir. Case No. 16-55439 4

Johnson v. STORIX, INC., 9th Cir. Case No. 18-56106 3, 5

Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (Kirtsaeng), 136 S. Ct. 1979, 579 U.S. ___, 195 L. 256, Ed. 2d 368 (2016) 2, 4, 11

Lawrence v. Dep't of Interior, 525 F.3d 916 (9th Cir. 2008) 9

Pullman-Standard v. Swint, 456 U.S. 273 (1982) 9

United States v. Mateo-Mendez, 215 F.3d 1039 (9th Cir. 2000) 9

Statutes

17 U.S.C. § 505 2, 6

28 U.S.C. § 2101(f) 1

Rules

Fed. R. App. Proc. 36 9

Ninth Circuit Local Rule 36-1 9

Supreme Court Rules 23 & 24 1

ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment A: Memorandum of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Johnson v. Johnson v. STORIX, INC.*, No. 16-55439 (9th Cir. Dec. 19, 2017) (cert. denied.) (Pet. App. 45a)
- Attachment B: Memorandum of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Johnson v. STORIX, INC.*, No. 18-56106 (9th Cir. Feb. 5, 2020) (Pet 1a)
- Attachment C: Order of the District Court for the Southern District of California in *Johnson v. STORIX, INC.*, No. 3:14-cv-1873-H-BLM, dated August 7, 2018. (Pet. App. 5a; Exhibit B omitted)
- Attachment D: Second amended judgment of the District Court for the Southern District of California in *Johnson v. STORIX, INC.*, No. 3:14-cv-1873-H-BLM, dated August 7, 2018. (Pet. App. 42a)
- Attachment E: Order of the Ninth Circuit denying a motion to stay the mandate pending filing and disposition of petition for writ of certiorari with the Supreme Court, dated April 29, 2020.

To the HONORABLE ELENA KAGAN, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Ninth Circuit:

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2101(f) and Supreme Court Rules 23 & 24, Plaintiff-Applicant Anthony Johnson (Johnson) respectfully requests an immediate stay of execution of the judgment in Southern District of California Case No. 3:14-cv-01873-H-BLM pending the disposition of a petition for writ of certiorari with this Court. Earlier today, the Ninth Circuit summarily denied Johnson's motion to stay its mandate pending the filing of Johnson's petition and Johnson's amended motion to stay the mandate pending the disposition of the petition after it was filed. Absent relief from this Court, the mandate is scheduled to issue in **seven days**. Petitioner has herein satisfied the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 23 for seeking a stay from a Circuit Justice.

First, there is a reasonable probability the Court will grant Johnson's petition for certiorari. Ordinarily, an unpublished 2-page memorandum affirming a judgment against a *pro se* appellant would weigh against certiorari. But in this case, those factors strongly favor the Court granting certiorari. Johnson will ask the Court to answer an important constitutional question of whether the Ninth Circuit denied his Fifth Amendment right to due process by summarily affirming a district court's order, wherein the district court repeatedly failed to acknowledge any legal arguments or authority contrary to its decision to award unprecedented attorney's fees in a copyright case. The Ninth Circuit panel also affirmed the order following remand without determining if the district court complied with its mandate to

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.