

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

RESPECT WASHINGTON,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

BURIEN COMMUNITIES FOR INCLUSION,  
et al.,  
*Respondents.*

---

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
Washington Court of Appeals Division I

---

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

RICHARD M. STEPHENS\*

*\* Counsel of Record*

Stephens & Klinge LLP

601 108<sup>th</sup> Avenue N.E.

Suite 1900

Bellevue, WA 98004

Telephone: (425) 429-2532

E-mail: [stephens@sklegal.pro](mailto:stephens@sklegal.pro)

*Counsel for Petitioner Respect Washington*

## QUESTION PRESENTED

Twenty four states allow citizens to propose state or local legislation through the initiative and referendum process—a remnant reminder of that consent from which just powers derive. Over 30 years ago this Court expressly recognized that the initiative process rests at the heart of the First Amendment’s protection because it involves communication about governmental policies and constitutes core political speech. Nonetheless, after an initiative has met all time, place and manner restrictions, a routine practice has developed for political opponents to seek an injunction prohibiting people from expressing their views at the ballot box and courts have repeatedly issued such injunctions expressly based on the subject matter of the initiatives.

### Question:

Whether the First Amendment protects the right of citizens to vote on an initiative that meets all time, place and manner requirements for the initiative to qualify for placement on the ballot.

## LIST OF ALL PARTIES

The parties to this proceeding are Petitioner Respect Washington and the Respondents are Burien Communities for Inclusion; King County Elections; Julie Wise, King County Director of Elections and the City of Burien.

## CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Petitioner has no parent corporations or any publicly held corporations that own 10% or more of the stock of any parties to this proceeding.

## RELATED CASES

- *Burien Communities for Inclusion v. Respect Washington*, No. 17-2-23799-0-KNT, Superior Court for King County, Washington. Order entered on September 14, 2017.
- *Burien Communities for Inclusion v. Respect Washington*, No. 77500-6-1, Division I of the Washington Court of Appeals. Judgment entered on September 9, 2019.
- *Burien Communities for Inclusion v. Respect Washington*, No. 97755-1, Washington Supreme Court. Order denying petition for review entered on January 8, 2020.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....i

LIST OF ALL PARTIES.....ii

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....ii

RELATED CASES.....ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....iii

TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES.....vi

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....1

OPINIONS BELOW.....1

JURISDICTION .....1

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AT ISSUE.....2

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....3

ARGUMENT.....7

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....7

I.

This Court should grant the Petition because the Washington state court has decided an important question of federal law in a way that conflicts with

relevant First Amendment decisions of this Court.....7

A. The decision below conflicts with this Court’s decisions that the First Amendment protects the initiative process.....10

B. The Washington court uses an impermissibly vague standard for determining whether people can vote on a proposal steeped in civic controversy.....13

C. Injunctions against initiatives before an election implicate the First Amendment right of voters to express their views through the election.....16

D. The opportunity to vote on an initiative serves important First Amendment interests beyond adopting legislation.....19

II.

This Court should grant this Petition to resolve the conflict between the decisions of the First and Sixth Circuits and the decisions of the District of Columbia and Tenth Circuits on an important question of First Amendment law.....22

CONCLUSION.....27

Appendix A—Opinion of the Court of Appeals for the State of Washington, Division I.....App. 1a

Appendix B—Decision of the Washington Court of

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.