No. 18-956

In the Supreme Court of the United States

GOOGLE LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Respondent.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE PROFESSOR AND FORMER CONTU MEMBER ARTHUR R. MILLER IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT

> THEODORE STEVENSON, III Counsel of Record CHELSEA A. PRIEST PATRICK PIJLS MCKOOL SMITH, P.C. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201 (214) 978-4974 tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKE.

RM

Δ

Δ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	LE OF AUTHORITIESII	
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE1		
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT2		
I.	Nothing Has Changed Since CONTU Addressed Many of the Issues Before This Court	
II.	Congress Has Accorded Copyright Protection to Functional Works Since 17908	
III.	If a Work Becomes a Standard Form of Expression, Copyright Law Does Not Deny Protection Via Copyrightability or a Fair Use Defense	
CON	CLUSION	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Apple Comput., Inc. v. Franklin Comput. Corp., 714 F.2d 1240 (3d Cir. 1983)11, 12		
Baker v. Selden, 101 U.S. 99 (1879)10		
Burrow-Giles Lithographic Co. v. Sarony, 111 U.S. 53 (1884)		
Eales v. Envtl. Lifestyles, Inc., 958 F.2d 876 (9th Cir. 1992)9		
Howell v. Miller, 91 F. 129 (6th Cir. 1898)9		
Perris v. Hexamer, 99 U.S. 674 (1878)		
Rockford Map Publ'rs v. Directory Serv. Co. of Colo., 768 F.2d 145 (7th Cir. 1985)9, 13		
Sony Corp. of Am. v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417 (1984)16		
Statutes and Regulations		

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

17 U.S.C. § 102(b)15,	18
17 U.S.C. §§ 107–22	17
17 U.S.C. § 117	18
Computer Software Copyright Act of 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-517, 94 Stat. 3015	im
Copyright Act of 1790, 1 Stat. 124 (1790)	', 8
Copyright Act of 1831, 4 Stat. 436 (1831)	7
Copyright Act of 1870, 16 Stat. 198 (1870)	7
Copyright Act of 1909, Pub. L. No. 60- 349, 35 Stat. 1075 (1909)	7
Copyright Act of 1976, Pub. L. No. 94- 553, 90 Stat. 2541 (1976)	7
Orrin G. Hatch–Bob Goodlatte Music Modernization Act, Pub. L. No. 115- 264, 132 Stat. 3676 (2018)	8
37 C.F.R. § 202.1(a)	13

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Other Authorities

Anthony L. Clapes, Software, Copyright, and Competition: The "Look and
<i>Feel" of the Law</i> (1989)12
Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner,
Reading Law: The Interpretation of
Legal Texts (1st ed. 2012)
Arthur R. Miller, Copyright Protection
for Computer Programs, Databases,
and Computer-Generated Works: Is
Anything New Since CONTU?, 106
Harv. L. Rev. 977 (1993)passim
National Commission on New Techno-
logical Uses of Copyrighted Works,
Final Report (1979)passim
Jane C. Ginsburg, Four Reasons and a
Paradox: The Manifest Superiority of
Copyright Over Sui Generis
Protection of Computer Software, 94
Colum. L. Rev. 2559, 2567 (1994)8
Ralph Oman, Computer Software As
Copyrightable Subject Matter: Oracle
v. Google, Legislative Intent, and the
Scope of Rights in Digital Works, 31
Harv. J.L. & Tech. 639, 642 (2018)4

IV

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.